

# Joseph Scaliger's Notes on Quintus of Smyrna's *Posthomerica*

Guillermo Galán Vioque

JOSEPH SCALIGER was without a doubt a prolific humanist. Apart from his editions of major Latin authors, he produced numerous notes and conjectures to classical and postclassical texts, both Greek and Latin.<sup>1</sup> In this article I study and publish Scaliger's notes on Quintus of Smyrna's *Posthomerica*, using the surviving witnesses that we have of his annotations.

An autograph manuscript with Scaliger's notes is in the library of the University of Leiden, *Leidensis SCA* 57, ff. 11–14 (= L). It contains a list of corrections and conjectures on the *Posthomerica* organised by books, but without line references. It seems that Scaliger copied onto these pages his own notes from the margins of a manuscript or an edition of the *Posthomerica*. It is well known that a great part of Scaliger's library was inherited by the University of Leiden shortly after his death in 1609.<sup>2</sup> Others of Scaliger's books were acquired at the auction of an important selection of his books held in Leiden on 11 March that same year, or came later through donations by

<sup>1</sup> For his life and work see A. Grafton, *Joseph Scaliger: A Study in the History of Classical Scholarship I–II* (Oxford 1983–1993).

<sup>2</sup> There is an apograph of his will at the University of Leiden (MS. *Leidensis Perizon. Q* 5, fol. 39–44v); cf. P. C. Molhuysen, *Codices manuscripti. Codices Scaligerani (praeter orientales)* (Leiden 1910) V–VIII, and K. van Ommen, “The Legacy of Joseph Justus Scaliger in Leiden University Library Catalogues, 1609–1716,” in M. Walsby and N. Constantiniou (eds.), *Documenting the Early Modern Book World. Inventories and Catalogues in Manuscript and Print* (Leiden 2013) 51–82.

other humanists.<sup>3</sup> And Scaliger's manuscripts are already mentioned as part of the University Library in its first printed catalogue, published by Daniel Heinsius in 1612.<sup>4</sup>

There are also two volumes with Scaliger's notes in the Bodleian Library, manuscripts *d'Orville* 442 and 405.

Ms. *d'Orville* 442 (= O<sup>1</sup>) is an undated Aldine edition of Quintus of Smyrna's *Posthomerica*, Colutho's *Rape of Helen*, and Tryphiodorus' *Sack of Troy*.<sup>5</sup> It contains notes taken by S. Haverkamp from an unidentified manuscript which belonged

<sup>3</sup> Cf. *Catalogus librorum bibliothecae Iosephi Scaligeri, quorum auctio habebitur in aedibus Ludovici Elzevirii, bibliopol. Lugd. Bat. ad diem 11. Martij* (1609). A facsimile edition: H. J. de Jonge, *The Auction Catalogue of the Library of J. J. Scaliger* (Utrecht 1977); see esp. 1–4.

<sup>4</sup> [D. Heinsius], *Catalogus librorum Bibliothecae Lugdunensis. Praefixa est Danielis Heinsii Bibliothecarii [...] oratio* (Leiden 1612) 79–88 (cf. Molhuysen, *Codices manuscripti III*, and van Ommen, *The Legacy* 77–79).

<sup>5</sup> *Quinti Calabri Derelictorum ab Homero libri quatuordecim* (Venice s.d.; usually dated 1505). Cf. C. J. Strackhoven, *Catalogus librorum manuscriptorum et impressorum uiri nobilissimi Joannis Doruille* (manuscript copy [Oxford, Bodl. Lib. D'Orville 302–303], ca. 1765) f. 63 (I always quote following *d'Orville* 302); *Bibliotheca d'Oruilliana siue catalogus librorum instructissimae bibliothecae uiri summi d. Jacobi Philippi d'Oruillii* (Amsterdam 1764) 156, no. 1318; T. Gaisford, *Codices manuscripti et impressi cum notis manuscriptis, olim d'Oruilliani qui in bibliotheca Bodleiana apud Oxonienses adseruantur* (Oxford 1806) 57; F. Madan, *A Summary Catalogue of Western Manuscripts in the Bodleian Library at Oxford IV* (Oxford 1897) 122, no. 17320). Strackhoven mistakenly dates this Aldine edition to 1529. This date appears in one of the *exlibris* written at p. Ai: "Memorare nosissima 1529." This copy belonged to Francis Fox, who received it in 1530 from his brother John (p. Ai: "Sum Francisci Foxi ex dono Iohanni Foxi 1530"), first owner of the book (his *exlibris* gives a date of 1521 [p. Ai: "εἰμὶ τοῦ Φόξου καὶ τῶν φιλῶν 1521"]). John Fox was nephew of Richard Fox, founder of Corpus Christi College, Oxford, and became the Archdeacon of Surrey (1520) and Winchester (1521). He died in 1530 (cf. C. J. Drees, *Bishop Richard Fox of Winchester: Architect of the Tudor Age* [Jefferson 2014] 153). That same year Francis also inherited from his brother a copy of *Aristotelis opera omnia* (Venice 1495–1498) (*Oxoniensis Jesus Coll. K.16.8*) and another of *Luciani opera* (Venice 1503) (*Cantabrigensis Corpus Christi Coll. Gaselee 156*) (cf. "Early Bookowners in Britain. British provenances from 1450 to 1550," <http://ebob.cerl.org/cgi-bin/record.pl?rid=00002007>).

to G. Falkenburg.<sup>6</sup> The notes on the *Posthomerica* stop at Quintus Smyrn. 4.203.<sup>7</sup> Most of these notes were published by J. C. de Pauw (= *p*) as an appendix to his edition, *Quinti Calabri praetermissorum ab Homero libri XIV* (Leiden 1734).<sup>8</sup> According to its preface, it was Haverkamp himself who sent this book to the editor (f. \*5<sup>r-v</sup>):

Communicavit etiam mecum uir cl. Sigebertus Haverkamp aliud exemplar Aldinum, in quo emendationes Josephi Scaligeri a libro primo ad uersum CCIII libri quarti, ex ipsius Scaligeri codice descripserat: quae cum numero plures et Sylburgianis longe essent meliores, et illis excerpti et ad calcem libri, serius

<sup>6</sup> Cf. the note written by S. Haverkamp in the back cover: “Emendationes quae sunt in margine Calabri, Tryphiodori et Coluthi descripti ex codice Falkenburgiano.” There is a copy of the Basel edition of 1569 (*Quinti Calabri antiquissimi et sapientissimi poetae Praetermissorum ab Homero libri XIV, quibus Trojanam historiam ab Homero derelictam graviter et splendide prosecutus est*) in the library of the University of Leiden with notes copied by Falkenburg himself (Sign. 755 C 28 [= H. 540]; cf. W. Senguerdius, J. Gronovius, and J. Heyman, *Catalogus librorum tam impressorum quam manuscriptorum bibliothecae publicae Universitatis Lugduno-Batavae* [Leiden 1716] 261). There are numerous notes to the first book of the *Posthomerica*, but subsequently they are rare (see pp. 107–108, 146, 182, 200, 226, and 276) until the beginning of Tryphiodorus’ *Sack of Troy* (pp. 303–326) and Colluthus’ *Rape of Helen* (pp. 327–334). This volume is mentioned in the prologue to J. C. de Pauw’s edition, although it is mistakenly considered an Aldine one: “Asseruantur in Bibliotheca Lugduno-Batava duo Aldina (*sic*) huius poetae exemplaria, in quorum uno Sylburgius multa, in altero Falkenburgius pauca oppido manu sua notauerant” (f. \*5). In fact, today there are two Aldine editions in the library of the University of Leiden with manuscript notes, one actually with F. Sylburg’s notes (755 C 26 [= H. 559]; cf. Senguerdius-Gronovius-Heyman, *Catalogus* 261); the other is annotated by G. Canter (755 C 27; absent from Senguerdius-Gronovius-Herman). There is also a copy of the Hannover edition of 1604 (*Q. Cal. Paraleipomena, id est Derelicta ab Homero*) with manuscript notes by D. Heinsius (755 C 30 [= H. 220]; cf. Senguerdius-Gronovius-Heyman, *Catalogus* 261).

<sup>7</sup> Madan, *A Summary Catalogue* IV 122, says erroneously that the notes stop at Quintus Smyrn. 4.210.

<sup>8</sup> “Addenda et corrigenda,” pp. 872–874.

enim mihi innotuerunt, eas exhibui, quae ab aliis non erant proditae, ut ne cum molestia tua eadem bis terue recurrerent.<sup>9</sup>

Later, Haverkamp sent it to Jacques Philippe d'Orville along with some coins, as he tells him in a letter written 9 May 1736: “Calabri exemplar et nummos pro commodo tuo, quamdiu indigas, potes retinere.”<sup>10</sup> D’Orville never returned it to its owner.<sup>11</sup> In this same letter Haverkamp explains why he could not finish copying the notes:

Nullo modo exsculpere ex memoria possum, unde Scaligeri illa in Calabrum nactus fuerim, certe ille noster Ultraiectinus plura nequaquam habet. Credo autem me iuuenem Leidae descripsisse in libro qui publica auctione vendebatur, atque angustia temporis prohibitum reliqua describere, pretio uero deterritum ab emendo codice ipso.<sup>12</sup>

Three years after the publication of de Pauw’s edition, d’Orville published a book criticising it, his *Critica vannus in inanes Joannis Cornelii Pavonis paleas* (Amsterdam 1737), because, in his opinion, the editor used Scaliger’s notes more than he ad-

<sup>9</sup> “Sigebertus Haverkamp sent me another Aldine copy, in which he had copied J. Scaliger’s corrections from the first book as far as line 4.203, from Scaliger’s own copy. Since they were more numerous and better than Sylburg’s, I selected and edited at the end of this book (they came to my notice late) those which have not been published before, so that you do not find them repeated two or three times to your own discomfort.”

<sup>10</sup> Ms. d’Orville 482, f. 95. For d’Orville and S. Haverkamp as coin collectors see J. J. Bellermann, *Bemerkungen über die phoenizischen und punischen Münzen I* (Berlin 1812) 32–34.

<sup>11</sup> On d’Orville’s fondness for not returning the books he borrowed see P. Burmann, “De uita uiri inlustris Nicolai Heinsii comentarius,” in *Nicolai Heinsii aduersariorum libri IV* (Harlingen 1742) 9 (quoted at G. Galán Vioque, “Isaac Vossius’ *Sylloge* of Greek Pattern Poems,” *GRBS* 52 [2012] 304 n.75).

<sup>12</sup> “I cannot remember where I found those notes of Scaliger. That citizen from Utrecht [= de Pauw] certainly published many of them in vain. I think that when I was a young man in Leiden I found them in a book that was going to be sold in a public auction. I could not copy the other notes for lack of time, and I was discouraged from buying the book itself because of its price.” Quoted at Gaisford, *Codices manuscripti* 57.

mitted, without acknowledging them.<sup>13</sup>

On the other hand, MS. *d'Orville* 405 (= O<sup>2</sup>) is a copy of the edition by de Pauw, mentioned above, which contains notes by d'Orville, who copied into the margins collations of the first book taken from two manuscripts and numerous annotations. According to a note written at the top of p. 3, one of the manuscripts belonged to the Laurentian Library and was collated by A. M. Bisconius; the other belonged to the Barberini collection and was collated by Philippus Vitalis.<sup>14</sup> The latter was *Barberinus gr.* 166,<sup>15</sup> and the Laurentian was *Laurentianus LVI* 29.<sup>16</sup> The notes are assigned to F. Sylburg, P. Francius, J. Lectius, G. Falkenburg,<sup>17</sup> D. Heinsius, A. M. Salvinius, and also J.

<sup>13</sup> See especially d'Orville, *Critica uanus* 577: "Scaligeriana autem serius accepisse ait; etiamsi mihi hoc uarii de causis credibile non sit."

<sup>14</sup> P. 3: "cod. L. siue Laurentianus collatus cum edit. Aldina contulit Anton. Maria Biscionius, Laurentianae custos. Cod. B. ex bibliotheca Card. Barberini. Contulit P. D. Philippus Vitalis hieromonachus Cryptae Ferratae crit. Diui Basileii, nec non eminentissimi Cardinal. Franc. Barberini bibliothec[arius]." Cf. Madan, *A Summary Catalogue* IV 118 (also Strackhoven, *Catalogus* f. 63, and Gaisford, *Codices manuscripti* 52).

<sup>15</sup> Cf. S. di Ricci, "Liste sommaire des manuscrits grecs de la Bibliotheca Barberina," *Revue des Bibliothèques* 17 (1907) 94; J. Mogenet, *Codices barberiani Graeci II Codices 164–281* (Vatican City 1989) 3–4; F. Vian, *Histoire de la tradition manuscrite de Quintus de Smyrne* (Paris 1958) 11, 13, and 67.

<sup>16</sup> The notes agree with the readings of the manuscript and its *subscriptio* is also transcribed at p. 2: "In catalogo bibliothecae Laurentianae quam conficit Langius [= W. Langius, *Catalogus librorum mss. bibliothecae Mediceae* (Leipzig/Frankfurt 1710) 156] dicitur scriptis hic codex a Georgio Trapezuntio, sed potius est ille Georg. Tribizius. Ἐγὼ Γεῶργιος ὁ Τριβίζιος, ὁ τῶν ἐν Βενετίαις Γραικῶν ἱερεὺς μετέγραψα τόδε τὸ παρὸν βιβλίον Κοῖντοῦ [ποιητοῦ] ἐκ βιβλίου διεφθαρμένου καὶ τὰ μὲν ἐπιδιώρθουν, τὰ δὲ ώς ἔχει τὸ ἀντίγραφον, οὐ γάρ οἶόν τε διορθοῦν τὰ πάντα, ἅπερ σαφῶς οὐκ οἶδα" (quoted at A. M. Bandini, *Catalogus codicium manuscriptorum Bibliothecae Mediceae II* [Florence 1768] 330, and Vian, *Histoire* 24). The relationship of *Barberinus gr.* 166 and *Laurentianus LVI* 29 to this collation has already been pointed out by M. D. Reeve in a note at W. M. Lindsay's catalogue of *annotati* in the Bodleian library: *List of Editions of the Classics with Marginalia* (Oxford ca. 1900) 32 (MS. Top. Oxoniensis C 310).

<sup>17</sup> I have verified that d'Orville copied Falkenburg's notes from the 1569

Scaliger. This volume belonged to G. Canter, and later to D. Heinsius (f. \*1: “Olim Gulielmi Canteri, nunc Danielis Heinssi”).

We know of the existence of another volume with Scaliger's notes on the *Posthomeric* thanks to the catalogue of the library of Jacques Philippe d'Orville produced by Strackhoven around 1765.<sup>18</sup> Strackhoven included in his catalogue d'Orville's manuscripts and printed books with notes. Strackhoven mentions at f. 63, just between the Aldine and de Pauw's edition mentioned above, an edition of Quintus of Smyrna's *Posthomeric* published in Frankfurt in 1614, in reference no doubt to a copy of *Troia expugnata seu Supplementum Homeri, eorum omnium quae urbi illi acciderunt ab interitu Hectoris, donec tandem omnino exscinderetur; interprete L. Rhodomanno, cum C. Dausqueii adnotamentis* (Frankfurt 1614). This copy is also mentioned in both auction catalogues of his library of 1764 and 1804.<sup>19</sup> Unfortunately it is now lost. What makes this missing book especially interesting is that, according to Strackhoven's catalogue, it contained L. Rhodomann's and J. Scaliger's notes on Quintus of Smyrna's *Posthomeric* copied from their own manuscripts: “cum emendationibus Jos. Scaligeri et L. Rhodomanni ex eorum exemplaribus descriptis.”<sup>20</sup>

---

volume mentioned in n.6 above. Moreover, at p. 1 d'Orville mentions a fragment of the third book of Constantinus Lascaris' *Grammatica* (“H δὲ ποίησις τοῦ ὁμηρικοτάτου Κοίντου”; cf. f. 164 in the Aldine edition of 1495 and f. t VIII<sup>v</sup> in the edition Venice 1521), copied also at f. 2 of Falkenburg's volume, pointing out his source as “Falkenb.”, and besides he adds below “Falkenburgi liber habet quaedam in Tryphiodorum” (on which see n.6).

<sup>18</sup> Cf. n.5 above. According to a note in f. v<sup>v</sup>, the catalogue was started in 1761 and finished in 1779.

<sup>19</sup> Cf. *Bibliotheca d'Oruilliana* (1764) 156, no. 1319: “Quintus Calaber Gr. & Lat. Rhodomanni & Dausquii. Ffurt 1614,” and *Bibliotheca d'Oruilliana. A Catalogue of the reserved part of the library of the learned and critic James Philip d'Orville* (London 1804) 5, no. 123: “Calaber (Quintus) Gr. Lat. Rhodomanni et Dausqueii notis mss. Francof. 1614.”

<sup>20</sup> Strackhoven, *Catalogus* f. 63. The notes were copied from Scaliger's Aldine by P. Francius (cf. Strackhoven f. 62<sup>v</sup>: “In margine huius libri emendationes Jos. Scaligeri ex ipsius exemplari Aldino adscriptae sunt [...] P.

D'Orville's library was acquired by the Bodleian in 1804, but this 1614 edition did not enter the Bodleian.<sup>21</sup> It was not mentioned by Gaisford in his catalogue of the d'Orville legacy, published only two years after the acquisition of d'Orville's books and manuscripts. It is also absent from the manuscript *List of Editions* produced by the classicist W. M. Lindsay around 1900,<sup>22</sup> and from F. Madan's catalogue.<sup>23</sup> This missing copy could well be the one that is mentioned in the auction catalogue of Scaliger's books, although the date is there mistaken, as it is dated 1604 ("Quintus Smyrnaeus Calaber Rhodomani, gr. lat. Francof. 1604").<sup>24</sup>

On the other side, we also know that Scaliger owned a copy of J. Brodaeus' *Annotationes in Oppiani Cynegeticon libros IIII, Quinti Calabri Paralipomenon Homeri lib. XIV, Coluthi Thebani de Helenae*

---

Francius"). D'Orville owned other printed editions with Scaliger's notes, such as an edition of Diodorus Siculus' *Bibliotheca* (Paris 1559) (MS. d'Orville 311), and D. Heinsius' edition of Theocritus' *Idylls* ([Heidelberg] 1604) (MS. d'Orville 294). There was also a copy of Abraham Ortelius, *Thesaurus Geographicus* (Antwerp 1596), with Scaliger's notes, when Strackhoven wrote his catalogue (*Catalogus* f. 88v: "ex bibliotheca illustris uiri Josephi Scaligeri, cuius manu conjectura annotata hic leguntur. [fuit] Nicolai Heinsii D. F."), but it is no longer in d'Orville's collection. It is already absent from Gaisford's *Catalogue*. It was sold in 1836 as part of R. Heber's library (cf. B. Wheatley et al., *Catalogue of the Library of the Late Richard Heber* [London 1836] part X, 83, no. 2516), and is today Bodleian Library B 17.C Th.

<sup>21</sup> A copy of this edition with manuscript notes is mentioned in the catalogue of R. Heber's auction that could possibly be this missing one (Wheatley, *Catalogue* part X, 86, no. 2600).

<sup>22</sup> It is today's *Top. Oxon.* C 310 (R. 6136); cf. n.16 above.

<sup>23</sup> See P. O. Kristeller, *Iter Italicum. A Finding List of Uncatalogued or Incompletely Catalogued Humanistic MSS of the Renaissance in Italian and Other Libraries IV* (Leiden 1989) 263–265.

<sup>24</sup> Cf. de Jonge, *The Auction Catalogue 54* (Rhodomann's edition of 1604 was printed in Hanover, see below). According to this catalogue, it has no annotations, since it appears without an asterik (the asterisk means that "aliquid in eisdem libris ab Illustrissimo Scaligero notatum esse": *Catalogus librorum bibliothecae Iosephi Scaligeri* f. A1<sup>v</sup>).

*raptu lib. unum* (Basel 1552) without manuscript notes,<sup>25</sup> and perhaps an unknown edition of the *Posthomerica* bound together with the *editio princeps* of the tragedies of Aeschylus (*Aeschyli tragoeiae sex* [Venice 1518]), later owned by N. Heinsius.<sup>26</sup> According to the auction catalogue of N. Heinsius' books, it was “passim emendati manu Jos. Scaligeri.”<sup>27</sup> Unfortunately, it is today also missing. This unknown edition could well be an Aldine, since Scaliger mentioned that he owned one in a letter to J. Gruterus 10 March 1601,<sup>28</sup> and moreover, R. Montague wanted to borrow one from Scaliger on 1 July ca. 1600–1603.<sup>29</sup>

Thus Scaliger's notes are the following:

*Conspectus siglorum*

- |                      |  |
|----------------------|--|
| <i>L</i>             | <i>Leidensis, SCA 37, ff. 11–14</i>  |
| <i>O<sup>1</sup></i> | <i>Oxoniensis, d'Orville 442</i>   |
| <i>O<sup>2</sup></i> | <i>Oxoniensis, d'Orville 405</i>   |
| <i>p</i>             | <i>J. C. de Pauw, Quinti Calabri praeterritorum ab Homero libri XIV</i><br>(Leiden 1734) 872–874 |

*Liber primus*

**12** εἱλαρ (instead of ἡμαρ) O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **16** μάλ’ ὄρώρει (instead of πεπότητο) O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **33** ἄλλαι ἔποντο *L* O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **44** μετὰ δ’ O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **48** Οὐλύμπιοι O<sup>2</sup> **71** πτόλεμοι O<sup>1</sup> **72** ὅτε φρένας O<sup>1</sup> *p* **77** ἢ O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **103** ἥδη O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> *p* **111** ὁζυρῶς O<sup>1</sup> **116** μάλα γάρ τοι πένθος O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> *p* **137** ἐξαπάφησεν O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> • πονέσθαι O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **143** χρυσέας O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **150** ὀάσπετον O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **153** ἀψ ἀπ’ Ὁλύμπου *L* **169** Ἀρπυίησι O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> *p* **187** Ἀρηιάδος O<sup>2</sup> **190** ἐπουρανίησι O<sup>2</sup> **192** κακὰ

<sup>25</sup> De Jonge, *The Auction Catalogue* 54. Brodaeus' printed annotations to Quintus of Smyrna are at pp. 153–424.

<sup>26</sup> Cf. R. Smitskamp, *The Scaliger Collection* (Leiden 1993) 109: “Quintus Calaber & Aeschylus Venice 1518.” N. Heinsius' father, the famous Daniel Heinsius, was allowed, along with friends such as C. Mylius and J. Badius, to select and keep the printed books he wanted, and in addition D. Heinsius bought some books with annotations at the auction of Scaliger's library (cf. de Jonge, *The Auction Catalogue* 3 and 5).

<sup>27</sup> *Catalogi bibliothecae Heinsiana pars posterior* (Leiden 1682) 90.

<sup>28</sup> P. Botley and D. von Miert, *The Correspondence of Justus Joseph Scaliger III* (Geneva 2012) 588.

<sup>29</sup> Botley and von Miert, *The Correspondence III* 435. Cf. also n.20 above.

πολλὰ O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **195** αἰδεο δ' ἔως O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **196** Δαρδάνου ἔως O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **205** ἀπάνευθεν O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **220** περὶ χροί O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **227** Μολίονα O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **228** Γυλισσόν τε O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **231** ἐφέσπετο O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **232** ἐνσθενέσσι O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **240** δὲ O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **245** ὅς δ' O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **282** Μυκάλην ἔνεμον O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> **296** οἱ συνστονάχουσι O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **298** μηλονόμοισιν ἀεὶ O<sup>1</sup> **299** παρεσσομένοισι O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **310** σφισι O<sup>1</sup> **332** που δ' ἵς Αἴαντος ἔβῃ O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **333** ἐναντία ἡριάασθαι O<sup>1</sup> **334** πελάσσω O<sup>1</sup> **345** θοοῖς O<sup>1</sup> **357** μαψιδίησιν O<sup>1</sup> **369** κακὰ πολλὰ O<sup>1</sup> **380** ἐρήτυε O<sup>1</sup> **388** ἐπεσσυμένων O<sup>1</sup> p **389** κλυτὸν ὀτρύνεσκ' L O<sup>2</sup> : κλυτὸν ὀτρύνεσκ' O<sup>1</sup> **395** κυδαίνουσ' ἥ δ' O<sup>1</sup> **406** Τισιφόνην O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p • ἐμμεμαυνία O<sup>1</sup> **408** θράσος O<sup>1</sup> **420** ὄράτε O<sup>1</sup> **451** τίπτε περὶ κλόνον αἰνόν L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **457** εὐαδον O<sup>1</sup> **469** πτολέμοιο O<sup>1</sup> **472** κακῶν O<sup>1</sup> **479** βλοσυρέσσι O<sup>1</sup> **490** κέδασσεν O<sup>1</sup> **491** κέχυνται O<sup>1</sup> **502** μεγάλουν O<sup>1</sup> **518** ὕσσαν τ' O<sup>1</sup> **519** ὄππως O<sup>1</sup> **536** καὶ O<sup>1</sup> **540** ἡύτε θυυρία uel λυγρὴ L O<sup>1</sup> **541** ἦτορ ἔχουσα L O<sup>1</sup> **546** χαλκὸς ἔλαμπε L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **549** διατρυφὲν εὗτε μόλιβδος L **552** ἀμφοτέροισιν O<sup>1</sup> **558** ικέσθε O<sup>1</sup> **587** ἀπαντήσασα O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **592** κραταιῆ O<sup>1</sup> **599** εἴσιδε O<sup>1</sup> **606** καὶ εἰ O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **615** ἥ δ' ὥς O<sup>1</sup> **618** ἥ ἐπὶ O<sup>1</sup> **627** παρὰ O<sup>1</sup> **630** ὥς μιν ἴδοντο O<sup>1</sup> **637** τετρυμένοι ἄψεα πάντες L O<sup>1</sup> **645** ἀντὶ O<sup>1</sup> **648** τόδε σοί γε O<sup>1</sup> **651** ἐπεὶ ἥ O<sup>1</sup> **656** et **661** δε O<sup>1</sup> **668** τι O<sup>1</sup> **669** ἐνχεσάντο τοιαύτης ἀλόχοιο O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **675** ἐνηέος ἀμφὶ θυγατρὸς L • ἀάπλετον uel ἀμείλιχον ἀμφὶ θυγατρὸς O<sup>1</sup> **686** ἐπεβήσατο O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **693** ἀπαιθομένοις O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **696** ἡλιβάτου O<sup>1</sup> **700** ἀνὰ ταρφέα O<sup>1</sup> **706** πορφύροντι O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **711** μνήσαθ' ὕσσοι Κρονίωνος L • μνήσαθ' ὕσσοι καὶ Ζηνὸς O<sup>1</sup> **722** ποὶ νείκεσε μύθῳ L • μάλα νείκεσε uel κατανείκεσε μύθῳ O<sup>1</sup> **723** φρένας ἡλεέ τίς νύ σε L O<sup>1</sup> **746** ἀπ' ἐκ μελέων O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **772** νιέα O<sup>1</sup> **773** τοῦ δ' ἐτέτυκτο πάϊς σθεναρὸς L O<sup>1</sup> **779** ἐριδμαίνειν O<sup>1</sup> **804** οἱ παρκατέθαψαν O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **806** οὐδ' ἀρ σφὶ L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **827** ἐκίδνατο O<sup>1</sup>

*Liber secundus*

1 ὄρέων ὑπερηχηέντων L **11** ὄππως O<sup>1</sup> **30** ἀλλά που O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **34** ἥ νυ οἱ O<sup>1</sup> **50** ἀναλκείης ὑπὸ λυγρῆς O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **51** μῆδ' ἐπὶ πάτρης O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **56** δισσάκι L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p • φέροντας L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **57** δῶμεν ἔως οὐ κτῆσιν L : ἄψ δῶμεν ὥς οὐ κτῆσιν O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **59** ἐμοὶ O<sup>1</sup> **64** ἥνεον αἴψ' ἀίοντες O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **71** πάντων O<sup>1</sup> **72** ἄγε δὴ O<sup>1</sup> **85** πορφύρη ... χαλέπητη O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **110** Ἀρηΐα O<sup>1</sup> **115** μητέρος O<sup>1</sup> **116** ἀθάνατον βίον αἰὲν L O<sup>1</sup> **126** μύθῳ O<sup>1</sup> **129** ὥς κεν μοι κρήνειαν L : ὥς κεν ἔτι κρήνειαν O<sup>1</sup> p **130** δόλυμένους μάλ' ἀπαντας uel δόλυμένους ἀμα πάντας O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **131** μακάρεσσιν ἀτειρέσι L O<sup>1</sup> **134** θυμὸν ἐν εἰλαπίνησι φύλησι L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **154** μαχέσασθαι O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **157** ἐθέλεις O<sup>1</sup> • μεταδαίνυσο O<sup>1</sup> **161** φάτ' O<sup>1</sup> **175** μηδὲ O<sup>1</sup> **197** προπόλοισι L : ποιοβόροις O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **206** ἵπποις καὶ ὁχεσφιν O<sup>1</sup> **230** εὗτε Γύης μελάθροισι L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **232** μάλα γάρ ρα O<sup>1</sup> **245** πρόσθ' O<sup>1</sup> • καὶ τοῦ O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **252** ἐσσυμένον τοῦ δ' οὐ τι λύθη κέαρ L O<sup>1</sup> **255** βλημένῳ O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p **286** θάρσει O<sup>1</sup> **287** ὥς τότε κε Μέμνων

φρόνες μέγα *L* : ὃς τότε δὴ Μέμνων μέγα φώνες Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **288** μάλα κτανέειν Ο<sup>1</sup> **289** ἀπέπλαχθη Ο<sup>1</sup> **299** φοβέοντε Ο<sup>1</sup> **305** φίλῳ πότε παιδὶ Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **306** ἐναλίγκιος Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **316** παρὰ παιδὶ Ο<sup>1</sup> *p* **317** μή τοι σε Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **329** οὐκ ἀν τοι Ο<sup>1</sup> **331** πολυρρήνιο δίηται *L* Ο<sup>1</sup> **373** ἵλαδὸν Ο<sup>1</sup> • ὑστάτιον Ο<sup>1</sup> **377** ὃς Μέμνων Ο<sup>1</sup> **382** ἄρ' ἀνὰ uel ἀνά τε Ο<sup>1</sup> **385** ἔξαλέονται Ο<sup>1</sup> **392** τεθνεῶτος Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **400** κατέναντα κεχωμένος *L* Ο<sup>1</sup> **408** δὲς δὲ Ο<sup>1</sup> • περ ἀταρβέι Ο<sup>1</sup> **418** ἔκποθι Ο<sup>1</sup> • λειριόεσσαι Ο<sup>1</sup> **421** προφερεστέρῃ Ο<sup>1</sup> **436** Νηρεΐδας Ο<sup>1</sup> **439** ὅπότε δειμαίνεσκε *L* Ο<sup>1</sup> **441** Οὐλύμπιο πεσόντα Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> **451** ἐγγὺς δὲ Ο<sup>1</sup> **464** ἔνερθε δὲ δαιδαλέοι Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **471** *Lacunam statuit Scaliger* Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **486** μέλας δ' ἐπετέρπετ' "Ολεθρος" *L* Ο<sup>1</sup> **509** δοιαὶ ἄρ' Ο<sup>1</sup> **510** ἐρεμναίη μὲν ἔβη Ο<sup>1</sup> **518** μάρνασθαι τε Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **529** ἀνεγνάμφησαν Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **535** τοὺς ἕπποι χρεμέθοντες *L* Ο<sup>1</sup> **545** βράχεν Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **551** μῆ Ο<sup>1</sup> **560** Παφλαγόνιον *non legitur* Ο<sup>1</sup> *p* **562** αἰματόεις Ο<sup>1</sup> **566** πυθομένους Ο<sup>1</sup> **568** φορέοντες Ο<sup>1</sup> **573** ὑπηρίοι φορέεσθαι *L* Ο<sup>1</sup> **575** ὅπτοτ' Ο<sup>1</sup> **593** δύσατο Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **595** δυοκαίδεκα Ο<sup>1</sup> **596** ἀιὲν ἐλισσομένου 'Υπερίονος *L* : ἀιὲν ἐλισσομένου περὶ τέρμονος Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **600** κύκλον Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **607** περὶ Ο<sup>1</sup> **609** φύλε Ο<sup>1</sup> **618** ἄψ ὀπίσατο *L* : ὡπίσατο Ο<sup>1</sup> **623** φαμένης Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **626** κατέκρυψεν uel κατέκρυψεν οὐρανὸς Ο<sup>1</sup> **627** φέρων Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **632** ἄφνω ἐνμελίην *L* • Ἀχιλήει Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **642** μελανόχροες Ο<sup>1</sup> **654** ἄμα κλόνον ἦε καὶ ἄφνω *L* **658** εἰσανόρουσεν Ο<sup>1</sup> **662** γὰρ μέγα Ζηνὸς Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **664** ἔχει Ο<sup>1</sup> **666** ἐκέδασσε δ' ἄρ' ἀχλύν *L*

*Liber tertius*

**3** μέγ' ἔον στενάχοντες *L* Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **17** φθῖσθαι Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **39** θεσπεσίην Ο<sup>1</sup> **44** γάρ μιν Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **48** ὁρυμαγδοῦ Ο<sup>1</sup> **72** με Ο<sup>1</sup> **84** ἔξείρυσσεν Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **89** ἄμβροτον ἴὸν *L* Ο<sup>1</sup> **90** κραιπνὸς Ο<sup>1</sup> **94** Ἀχαιοῖς αὐθὶ διάνδιχα Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **100** ἐν δὲ σὺ Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> **101** δαινυμένοις Ο<sup>1</sup> **102** λιποῦσαν Ο<sup>1</sup> **105** ἥλυθεν ὕλη Ο<sup>1</sup> **110** κραταιοῦ Ο<sup>1</sup> **115** et **116** ὅτις Ο<sup>1</sup> **116** τετιμένος Ο<sup>1</sup> **123** οὐ νῦ τι σοὶ Τρώων ἐπιμέμβλεται Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **125** σοῖσιν ὑπ' Ο<sup>1</sup> **129** ἡμείβετο uel ἀπαμείβετο Ο<sup>1</sup> **133** κατηφιών Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **137** κρύβδ' "Ηρης" *L* Ο<sup>1</sup> **154** ἴνας τ' ἐγκεφάλοι Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* • κέασσε Ο<sup>1</sup> **155** ἐρείσας Ο<sup>1</sup> **165** ἐφέβοντο Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **187** ἐγήθεεν Ο<sup>1</sup> **201** ἀμφι- Ο<sup>1</sup> **206** αἴ δ' ὑπὲρ ἀνδρῶν Ο<sup>1</sup> **210** ποτὶ τὴν πόλιν Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **213** ἀμφέβαν Ο<sup>1</sup> **219** ἀπὰ νέκυος Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **222** ποτέονται Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> **224** ἀκάχηται Ο<sup>1</sup> **234** ἀντία Μασσικύτοι Χελιδονίης Ο<sup>1</sup> **253** οὐ νῦ τοι Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **258** ξεῖνος Ο<sup>1</sup> **259** δωτίνησι παραιφάμενος Ο<sup>1</sup> **264** οὐτιδανῆσιν Ο<sup>1</sup> **266** ἐρεμνάς Ο<sup>1</sup> **269** δ' αὐτὸν ἐδάμασσε uel δ' εἴτ' ἐδάμασσε Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **275** Ἀχιλλέος Ο<sup>1</sup> **277** κτείνοντ' Ο<sup>1</sup> **297** δυσμενέσι Ο<sup>1</sup> : δυσμενέσε Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **299** Μαίναλου Ο<sup>1</sup> **302** ποταμοῖ Ο<sup>1</sup> **307** ὑπ' Ο<sup>1</sup> **319** λεῖπεν Ο<sup>1</sup> **333** κράatoς; ἐκ δ' ἄρα Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **342** κόμισσαν Ο<sup>1</sup> **363** ἐπιειμέν' ἀνάλκεα *L* Ο<sup>1</sup> *p* : ἐπιειμέν' ἀνάκλεα Ο<sup>2</sup> **380** πολυκλαύτοι Ο<sup>1</sup> **386** πονέοντο ἀπείριτον; ἔστε *L* Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup> *p* **396** πουλυπέλεθρος Ο<sup>1</sup> **398** ἐχθόμενον

O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 411 προθελύμνους O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 419 θεοκτίτοισι aut θεοκτήτοισι βελέμνοι L : θεοκτήτοισι βελέμνοι O<sup>1</sup> 421 πολυαχθέι O<sup>1</sup> 423 ἀμύμονος Αἰακίδαι L : ἀμύμονος οὗ ἄνακτος O<sup>1</sup> 431 τὸν τότε κῆρα ἀχέων L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 437 ἔμποθεν O<sup>1</sup> 446 που τάδε O<sup>1</sup> 451 ἀθλήσει O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 452 θυμὸς O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 460 ἀάσπετα O<sup>1</sup> 466 χερειότερον ἐπελήλυθεν O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 467 ἀγανούς O<sup>1</sup> 473 ἐμοῖσι O<sup>1</sup> 474 χειλεσι O<sup>1</sup> 480 νῦν δ' οἴχῃ μὲν ἄιστος O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 482 λευγαλέος O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 495 καταφθιμένου O<sup>1</sup> 496 δυσμενέσιν O<sup>1</sup> 499 ἥ ρὰ καὶ ἄμμε βροτοὺς ψευδέσσι λόγοισι L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p uel ἥ ρὰ νυ καὶ σὺ καὶ βροτοὺς ψευδέσσι λόγοισι O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 500–501 Πριάμοιο ἄνακτος / ἄστυ L O<sup>1</sup> 501 δὲς ὑπέστης O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 527 ὕδατος ἐν πυρὶ θεντας ἄφαρ κρυεροῦ λέβητας L O<sup>1</sup> 531 πάντα O<sup>1</sup> 534 κράτος O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p • ἦν ρεῖα φασὶ L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> 557 αἴγλη O<sup>1</sup> • ἀλεγεινῶς O<sup>1</sup> 562 εὐρυχόρου περὶ O<sup>1</sup> 572 δυσάμμορος O<sup>1</sup> • με O<sup>1</sup> 582 ὀρινομένοιο γόοιο L O<sup>1</sup> 610 εὐρυρέεθρος O<sup>1</sup> 621 οῦ μοι O<sup>1</sup> 622 γινομένη O<sup>1</sup> • ὅσα γῆ τε καὶ O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 632 ἀγειραμένη O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 653 Τρώων τε O<sup>1</sup> 662 ἥστο O<sup>1</sup> 666 πάντεσσι φάσι O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 691 ὀδώδεε O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> 696 πολύδρακυν O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> • ἔκποθεν Ὄυλύμπιο O<sup>1</sup> 710 πυρῇ O<sup>1</sup> 711 μαλεροῦ O<sup>1</sup> 714 ὄμῶς uel ἄδην O<sup>1</sup> 738 Ήφαίστου κλυτὸν ἔργον L O<sup>1</sup>

*Liber quartus*

7 ὑπ' ἄγκεα τῆλ' ἀπὸ Τροίης L 9 περίβλυσαν O<sup>1</sup> 10 ἀενάου O<sup>1</sup> 27 κείνῳ O<sup>1</sup> 28 φεύξεσθαι O<sup>1</sup> 39 τοὺς δ' ἔτ' ἐγὼ O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 52 αὐτὸς O<sup>1</sup> 65 νηνσὶν ἐδόρπεον O<sup>1</sup> 93 οἱ O<sup>1</sup> 98 ἔτι ζώοντος ἐμεῖο O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 99 ἥ καὶ σοῦ καὶ αὐτοῦ L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 108 φραζώμεσθα O<sup>1</sup> 128 τούνεκεν ἐν O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 130 ἐνφροσύνης τε καὶ O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 139 ἀμβροσίνην ἐκέραιρον L 140 ἐς O<sup>1</sup> • τράπεν O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 144 Νηλῆος ἐνὶς O<sup>1</sup> 154 ιδ' O<sup>1</sup> 172 αὐτή θ' ἥ Θέτις ἀργυρόπεζα O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p 191 ὅσσαι ἄμ' O<sup>1</sup> 193 ὡκυτάτοιο O<sup>1</sup> 200 καὶ τότε O<sup>1</sup> 203 τῷ δὴ ἀρ' ἐγχριφθεὶς uel τῷ δ' ἄρ' ἐνιχριφθεὶς p : τῷ δὴ ἀρ' ἐγχριφθεὶς O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> 369 μήτι παντοίη L O<sup>2</sup> 389 κάλλιπεν οὐκ ἐθελοντὶ L 425 καρποῖο τυχῆσαι L 427 ἐς τέλος ὁδὲ L 428 καὶ ἀσπορον ἀνθεμόεν κρῖ L 429 μέλλον ἥθι (sic) ... ἀλδαίνεσθαι L 431 ἔτ' ἄχνον L 508 καρπαλίμως δ' εὐληρα λάβε L 511 καὶ ... ἀφρῷ Scaliger obelo notauerat O<sup>2</sup> 537 ἐν ἀγῶνι δρόμου πέρι L 550 τοῖς δ' αἶψα τάθη δρόμος L 558 ἔκαστος ἐκέκλετο L 566 ἐσθλὸς ἀνὴρ L

*Liber quintus*

36 ὁνπερ ἀπάντη O<sup>2</sup> 52 πάντῃ O<sup>2</sup> 53 διειργόμεναι O<sup>2</sup> 63 ἐφέποντο O<sup>2</sup> 72 μειδιόων O<sup>2</sup> 88 ἥδιόων non legitur O<sup>2</sup> 101 δέδεντο δὲ O<sup>2</sup> 108 ἀάσπετον O<sup>2</sup> 115 κεκασμένον O<sup>2</sup> 120 Ἐκτορέοιο O<sup>2</sup> 125 ὁκ' O<sup>2</sup> 152 ἐμοὶ πίθεσθε O<sup>2</sup> 170 δηριόωνται O<sup>2</sup> 173 μήσεται O<sup>2</sup> 190 ἀλλὰ σοὶ ἀμφιμέμηλε θράσος L O<sup>2</sup> 202 ὃς γ' O<sup>2</sup> 213 ἐρύσσαι O<sup>2</sup> 217 περιδείδιες O<sup>2</sup> 229 ἐριδιμάνοντε O<sup>2</sup> 237 ἐνένιπτεν O<sup>2</sup> 240 με ἐφησθα O<sup>2</sup> 251 ἀμφὶ πόνοισι O<sup>2</sup> 262 κράτος O<sup>2</sup> 263 εὐφροσύνησι ... ἡνορέη δὲ O<sup>2</sup> 267 μὲν O<sup>2</sup> 275 μέσσον O<sup>2</sup> 278 ἔκτοσθ' ἔστησας μόνος O<sup>2</sup> 287 ἐγχείην O<sup>2</sup> 314 μόγησα O<sup>2</sup> 322 ἄρ' αὐτὸς

O<sup>2</sup> 323 ἄτῃ ἀνιηρῇ O<sup>2</sup> 335 κατεδύσατο O<sup>2</sup> 336 ἵσαν O<sup>2</sup> 338 Προμηθέα μητιόεντα O<sup>2</sup> 353 οὐτε μιν ὑπνος O<sup>2</sup> 354 ἀλλὰ γ' ἐοῖσιν L O<sup>2</sup> • ἐν ἔντεσιν L 356 νᾶνς O<sup>2</sup> 362 κεῦνος ἔρεξεν L 367 Πληιάς O<sup>2</sup> 370 ὅπῃ μὶν O<sup>2</sup> 375 ΛΕΙΠΕΙ ΣΤΙΧΟΣ O<sup>2</sup> 380 ἐπ' ἐσχάρῃ O<sup>2</sup> 382 ἀμφί γε πᾶσαν O<sup>2</sup> 396 τ'οὐρανὸν αἰπὺν L : δ'οὐρανὸν εὐρὺν O<sup>2</sup> 399 ἡ δέ ἐ κύσεν ἐλοῦσα O<sup>2</sup> 410 χεῦνε O<sup>2</sup> 422 ἀασάμεσθα O<sup>2</sup> 429 Κεφαλλήνων O<sup>2</sup> 439 ἀρνειοῦ O<sup>2</sup> 446 τοκέες O<sup>2</sup> 469 χερσὶν O<sup>2</sup> • κακῆ ... ἄτῃ O<sup>2</sup> 478 ἐσθλὸς O<sup>2</sup> 491 δέμας O<sup>2</sup> 496 ἐκ τεγέων O<sup>2</sup> 502 *deest versus post ἀργαλέας qualis iste: εἴχον.* ὁδ' ἥστο κέαρ τετιμένος ἡύτε κοῦρος L 504 ὅτε παρ' L 509 νέκυν πέρι νηήσαντο ἔκβαλεν ἥτορ L 523 ληιδίην σφετέρην ἄλοχον L 619 L

*Liber sextus*

ἄλλος δ' ἀλλήλοισιν ἐπώχετο L 31 πολέμοιο δυσηχέος O<sup>2</sup> 35 ἄσῃ Ἀρηα O<sup>2</sup> 79 ἄμφω γάρ κεν ιόντε L 82 ἐπὶ κρατεροῦ τοκῆος L 158 ἄμφω δεικανώντο L 181 μετ' ἀγακλυτῶν L 224 μέγ' ἐσίνετο πᾶσαν ἀλωὴν L 349 ὠρώρει L 378 εὐκεάτοιο O<sup>2</sup> 391 μῆδετ' ἐπεσσύμενος L 398 πρωίας L 466 διὰ χθονὸς ἡύτ' ἀλούσης *forsan* L 495–6 ἀιψα δ' ἐπὶ σφετέρας ἐκόμισσαν ἑταῖροι / νῆας L 580 ἐχέφρονα Δηϊονῆα L 617 φέκεν εὐκτέανον L 630 ἔφθιτο δ' αἰών L 645 βροτοῖς βαθὺν ἡέρα L O<sup>2</sup>

*Liber septimus*

15 ἐπεὶ κλυτὰ μῆδεα L 61 ἰητήρια νούσων L 206 εὶ μὴ σεῖο πατὴρ τὸν ἵσον Διὶ τίον Ἀχαιοὶ L 225 ἡμετέρου δε γάμοιο θεοῖς L 290 δαμῆμεναι εἴνεκ' Ἀχαιῶν L 291 καὶ ἄξιον Αἰακίδησιν L 306 ἥ ἔνι συμφορέονται • λείπει στίχος L 321 πουλὺς δ' ἄμφ' ἔνα χῶρον L 360 μέμηνε θεός μεγάθυμος L 381 Ἰλιον ἄμφὶ πόλιν τε φέρων κλέος Ατρείδησι L 397 αἱψα δὲ δὴ O<sup>2</sup> 407 αἱψα Καλυδναίας L 408 τόνυν Πρωτεσιλάου L 411 ἀναίνεται ἄκρα L 434 πατρὶ ἐῷ L 549 δύμεναι O<sup>2</sup> 572 οὖ δὲ κελαινῆς L 633 αὖτ' O<sup>2</sup> 715 καὶ ἄλσεα ῥωπήεντα L

*Liber octauus*

72 σὺν νέφεα ρήξωσι L 113 Ὄδυσσηος ἐύφρονος L 170 ὥς μένεν ἄτρομος δῆος L 347 περὶ στεροπῆσιν ἔλαμπε L 437–440 πέλει ἄλγος / ἔσσεται (omittit 438–439) L

*Liber nouenus*

53 φρένας O<sup>2</sup> 56 εἶδον O<sup>2</sup> 62 πορὰ νῆας O<sup>2</sup> 128 πεζῶν O<sup>2</sup> 174 εἰσετ' ἐιόντος *aut* ἐνθάδ' ἐόντος L 175 θύντιος L 186 ὕππω uel ὕππου O<sup>2</sup> 318 αἰπὺν βέρεθρον L 347 ἀπομαίνεται ἥτορ L 353 Λήμνον κοίλην L O<sup>2</sup> 360 δὴ γάρ μιν ἐπὴν ἔλε λιμὸς *deest versum* L 448 ἄφων O<sup>2</sup> 449 ποτὶ χθόνα δῖαν ἄγεσκον L 462 ὡκύτερον ποίησε νοήματος L 503 εἰδόμενοι φύλλοισιν L 512 νῦν δέχου ἔπτα γυναῖκας L 519 εἴπερ τις ἔτι ἥλιτεν εἴνεκ' ἐμεῖο L

*Liber decimus*

**30** αὐτὰρ ἐπιβρίσουσιν *L 65* δεινὴ γὰρ ἄγε θεὸς *L 69* πῦρ βρέμει αἰθόμενον *L 109* σὺν ἄλγει *L 131* πονέουσαν ἐπὶχθονός *L 135* αὐθὶ δ' ἵησι *L 173* ἐξ ὥρέων κελαδεινὰ *L 194* πεπότητο *O<sup>2</sup> 210* θοὸν βέλος *L 351* ἐλπομένου Ὄδυσσηος *L 405* ὡς ὕφελον μ' ἐδάσαντο πάρος τάδε *L 406* ὅσσον ἀνιερῆς *L 413* κώκυσ' *O<sup>2</sup> 415* οἴη δ' ἐν ξυνοχῇσι περιτρέφεται *L 426* βιότου κλυτὸν *L 462* ἥρα φέροντες *L 463* ὑστατίην *L 481* Εὐάδην Καπανῆος ... μελέεσσιν *L 484* ὑποκάββαλε τέφρῃ *L*

*Liber undecimus*

**3** ἐκάτερθε πόληος *L 23* Λητὰ δῖ *L O<sup>2</sup> 39* κακαὶ δέ ἔ Κῆρες *L 40* ἔλλαβε πένθος *L 56* ἐσσυμένων ἡμερσε καρήατος ἄορι τύψας *L 70* δορὶ ... μακρῷ *O<sup>2</sup> 76* χραύσασα *O<sup>2</sup> 79* Πολύειδον *O<sup>2</sup> 89* ἔφθασε *O<sup>2</sup> 96* θαλέθουσι *O<sup>2</sup> 112* ΛΕΙΠΕΙ ΣΤΙΧΟΣ *O<sup>2</sup> 194* κραταὶην *L O<sup>2</sup> 195* σειρᾶ *O<sup>2</sup>* (instead of στερρὸν ἔτ') **218** κιῶν κατεναντία *L O<sup>2</sup> 228* ὑπὲκ Βορέαο θυέλλης *L 280* οἰδ' ἔχον ἀλλήλοισι φόνον *L 365* ὥρ' *O<sup>2</sup> 419* ὑπ' ἀκαμάτοιο ἐρωῆς *L 474* Ποίαντος ἔνς *L*

*Liber duodecimus*

**58** κεκληγότες *O<sup>2</sup> 134* ἄλλοθεν ἄλλος ἐπ' ἔργον *L 135* ὑπ' ὀκρυόεντι σιδήρῳ *L 197* ὑπερθε χολούμενος *L 234* ἐὸν λόχον *L 393* ἐμπρησέμεν ἵππον *L 498* ΛΕΙΠΕΙ *O<sup>2</sup> 502* ἔξυπαλύξαι *O<sup>2</sup>*

*Liber decimus tertius*

**26** τάχα δ' ἄμ φατὰ *L 75* ΛΕΙΠΕΙ *O<sup>2</sup> 164* καὶ ὡς βεβαρηότες οἴνῳ *L 169* ἀμύμονα Μύγδονος υῖα *L 191* ὅ τις *O<sup>2</sup> 236* ὅμβριμον ἄορ *L 243* εὐθαλπέος ὥρῃ *L O<sup>2</sup> 244* ή δε μέγ' ἡμύνουσα *L uel* ἰλλίζουσα *O<sup>2</sup> 247* ΛΕΙΠΕΙ *O<sup>2</sup> 259* ἐσχατόεντα *O<sup>2</sup> 260* ἐϋγλαγέων *O<sup>2</sup> 261* θέῃ *O<sup>2</sup> 285* ὄρνυται *O<sup>2</sup> 291* ἄλλοι δ' ἄλλοίσις ἴνδαλμασι *O<sup>2</sup> 320* τὸν δ' ἀπαλῆς μάλα χειρὸς *L 345* οὔνεκα ἵς χρυσοῖο *L 348* νὺξ δὲ μί' ἥμιν ἔφηνη *L 396* Πάρις ζείνοιο Διὸς *L 462* ΛΕΙΠΕΙ *O<sup>2</sup> 488* ἡύτ' ὅρος λασίοισι *L 489* τὸ μὲν οὗτ' ἀνέμου Βόρεαο θύελλαι *L 413* ἄλλὰ ὑπὲκ πύρος *O<sup>2</sup> 492* Ἡφαίστοιο βίηφι *L 499* διν ἄγεν *L •* ή δ' ἄλλάλητο *L 514* με *O<sup>2</sup>*

*Liber decimus quartus*

**33** συσὶν νήπια τέκνα *L 36* μίγδα περιγρύζουσι *L O<sup>2</sup> 51* μετὰ φρεσὶν αἰδομένη τε *L 60* ἐπεσβολίησι χαλέψαι *L 145* πάντες *O<sup>2</sup>* (instead of Δαναοὶ) **159** καί με πάρος μεμαυνᾶν *L 205* δτι *O<sup>2</sup> 211* μέμνηθ' *O<sup>2</sup> 214* Πολυνξείνην *O<sup>2</sup> 258* τὴν δ' ἄγον ἡύτε πόρτιν ἐπὶ θανάτοιο θυηλὰς *L*: τὴν δ' ἄγον ἐς θανάτοιο θυηλὰς ἡύτε πόρτιν *O<sup>2</sup> 283* ἵησι νέον σπαργεύσα *L 319* αἴματι πορφύροντι *L 325–326* Γονυμήδεος ἱρὰ / δώματα *L 338* μακρὴν πολέμοιο *O<sup>2</sup> 344* μείλιχοι, οὐδὲ τι. κῦμα *O<sup>2</sup> 411* ἀμφιάλοιο *O<sup>2</sup> 496* ψάμμιον ἀναβλύεσκε *L 582* κατ' Ἐγκελάδοιο δαίφρων *L 620* τοὺς δὲ Ποσειδάων μὲν ἐκλονέεν *L*

For all his notes Scaliger used a manuscript or, more probably, an edition which follows the text of the family derived from H.<sup>30</sup> There are some suggestions made on readings found exclusively in H,<sup>31</sup> and conjectures to missing words in H.<sup>32</sup> Moreover, at 12.498, according to O<sup>2</sup>, he points out a missing line, missing only in the H family.

Some of his conjectures often agree with the readings of a specific manuscript or manuscripts, most of them belonging to the H family. This is the case regarding agreement with R,<sup>33</sup> L,<sup>34</sup> V,<sup>35</sup> C,<sup>36</sup> C<sup>pc</sup>,<sup>37</sup> D,<sup>38</sup> Lasc<sup>1</sup>,<sup>39</sup> Lasc<sup>2</sup>,<sup>40</sup> and also with the corrector of H (H<sup>c</sup>).<sup>41</sup>

<sup>30</sup> I use the sigla of F. Vian, *Quinti Smyrnaei Posthomericorum libri XIV I–III* (Paris 1963–1969).

<sup>31</sup> For instance, 1.12, 3–3, 5.36, 10.406, 12.58.

<sup>32</sup> Cf. 1.16, 33, 103, 116, 220, 298, 332, 451, 540, 541, 546, 549, 675, 722, 2.110, 134, 232, 618, 632<sup>2</sup>, 3.105, 123, 125, 206, 210, 446, 499, 653, 714, 4.52, 99, 566, 7.206, 321, 360, 381, 397, 407, 8.170, 347, 9.30, 206, 318, 10.30, 131, 11.218, 13.396, 14.145.

<sup>33</sup> 1.169, 227 (also Rhodomann), 282 (also with M), 406, 558, 592, 2.115 (also with B<sup>s1</sup>), 154 (with R<sup>pc</sup>), 436, 509, 562, 595, 3.110, 154<sup>2</sup> [also with L<sup>s1</sup> and Lasc<sup>2</sup>], 213 (also with L), 302 (also with L) 333 [also with L<sup>s1</sup>], 495, 582, 610 (and also with P), 4.140<sup>1</sup>, 144 (with R<sup>pc</sup>), 5.63 (and also with Lasc<sup>2</sup>), 217 (and also with L<sup>s1</sup>), 263 (also with Bruxell. 2946–50), 287 (also with Lasc<sup>2</sup>), 422 (also with H<sup>c</sup> and L), 446 (also with L<sup>pt</sup>), 469, 7.306, 9.519 (also with V and N), 13.462.

<sup>34</sup> 1.637, 3.154<sup>2</sup> (with L<sup>s1</sup>, R, and Lasc<sup>2</sup>), 213 (and R), 302 (and R), 7.306, 9.56 (also with B and Lasc<sup>2</sup>).

<sup>35</sup> 2.51, 3.165, 5.190, 354<sup>1</sup>, 9.519 (also with N and R), 13.26 (with L<sup>mg</sup> and R<sup>pc</sup>).

<sup>36</sup> 2.1, 658 (C<sup>pc</sup> and also B).

<sup>37</sup> 2.154, 2.658 (and also B), 10.481.

<sup>38</sup> 3.264 (also with M and H<sup>c</sup>), 8.437–440.

<sup>39</sup> 1.491 (also with Lasc<sup>2</sup>), 502 (also with Lasc<sup>2</sup>).

<sup>40</sup> 1.491 (also with Lasc<sup>1</sup>), 502 (also with Lasc<sup>1</sup>), 772, 2.59, 529, 3.17, 154<sup>2</sup>, 5.190 (also with H<sup>c</sup>).

<sup>41</sup> 2.331 (also with Y), 3.234 (also with M and R), 264 [also with M and D], 419, 421 (also with Y), 492, 499<sup>1</sup>, 5.190 (also with Lasc<sup>2</sup>), 6.181, 391

However, there are also agreements with manuscripts of the Y family and, while some of them are common to all witnesses of that family,<sup>42</sup> others are found exclusively in manuscript P<sup>43</sup> or M.<sup>44</sup>

On the other hand, there are numerous conjectures attributed to Scaliger in these witnesses that are usually thought to have been proposed by L. Rhodomann, editor of Books XII–XIV (*Cointi Smyrnæi ... Ilii Excidi libri duo. Reditus Graecorum, capta Troja, liber unus* [Leipzig 1577], included at the end of the second volume of M. Neander's *Opus aureum et scholasticum*),<sup>45</sup> and later of what can be called, in A. W. James' words,<sup>46</sup> “the first truly critical edition” of the text (L. Rhodomann, *Quinti Calabri Paraleipomena, id est Derelicta ab Homero, XIV libris comprehensa* [Hanau 1604]).<sup>47</sup> Some of his corrections were also

---

(also with Nr), 14.36, 205, 582.

<sup>42</sup> 1.33, 71, 77, 143, 192 (also with L<sup>pr</sup>), 205, 333, 345 (also with U and Q), 406, 420, 469, 536, 540, 541, 552, 711, 779, 804, 806, 827, 2.30, 34, 71, 130, 157, 175, 331 (also with H<sup>c</sup>), 373<sup>1</sup>, 408, 439 (also with U and Q), 441, 464, 535, 545, 566, 573, 609, 664, 3.72, 89, 101, 129, 222, 258, 275, 299, 398, 421 (also with H<sup>c</sup>), 437, 467 (also with D, U, and Q), 474 (also with H<sup>c</sup>), 496 (also with H<sup>c</sup>), 501, 527, 531, 710, 7.397.

<sup>43</sup> This is the case with his conjectures to 3.266, 714, 4.39, 65, 98 (ἐμεῖο DUP<sup>c</sup>QU), 128 (also with L), 154, 191 (also with H<sup>c</sup>), 193, 537 (also proposed by Rhodomann), 558 (also with Rhodomann and Canter), 5.52, 120 (also with H<sup>c</sup>), 152, 170, 229 (also with H<sup>c</sup>), 439, 6.31, 79 (also with Nr), 617, 7.61 (also with N<sup>c</sup>), 206, 321, 407, 434, 572, 8.72, 9.449, 10.109 (also with H<sup>c</sup>), 194, 11.194 (also with H<sup>c</sup>), 13.235, 14.60 (also with R<sup>pc</sup>), 205.

<sup>44</sup> 1.282 (also with R)

<sup>45</sup> M. Neander was also the author of the Latin translation that accompanies Rhodomann's edition (cf. *Illi excidi* 11).

<sup>46</sup> “Quintus of Smyrna and Virgil,” in M. Baumbach et al., *Quintus Smyrnaeus: Transforming Homer in Second Sophistic Epic* (Berlin 2007) 146.

<sup>47</sup> For instance, 1.48, 111, 137, 150, 187, 190, 192, 195, 196, 227, 231, 245, 298, 357, 395, 420, 457, 490, 518, 519, 599, 618, 648, 651, 675, 773, 2.1, 116, 126, 131, 157<sup>2</sup>, 206, 245, 286, 373<sup>2</sup>, 385, 408 (present already at B and R), 418, 421, 451, 486, 510, 551, 568, 575, 626, 3.90, 94, 100, 155 (also in B), 201 (also at R), 253, 259, 307, 353, 380, 382, 386, 473 (also at L<sup>pc</sup>), 534<sup>1</sup>, 662, 691, 696<sup>2</sup>, 711, 4.28, 139, 203, 425, 431, 550, 5.101, 173, 240,

preserved in *Londinensis*, Burney 515, f. 19,<sup>48</sup> and were published as an appendix to the Frankfurt edition of 1614.<sup>49</sup>

Sometimes his suggestions agree with earlier proposals. This is the case at 7.411, 9.62, 9.318, 11.70, 13.169, 413, where he agrees with J. Brodaeus;<sup>50</sup> 1.369, 395, 637, 2.72, 7.434, with C. Dausque;<sup>51</sup> and 4.93, 5.108, 13.489, with F. Sylburg.<sup>52</sup>

Much more significant is the fact that there are also numerous original conjectures. Some remained unnoticed by later editors and researchers, who proposed them independently. Therefore they should now be ascribed to Scaliger. This happens at the following *loci*:

- 5.53 διειργόμεναι O<sup>2</sup> (this correction is assigned to J. P. d'Orville by Vian, although in O<sup>2</sup> it is attributed to Scaliger).  
 1.472 κακῶν O<sup>1</sup>, 627 παρὰ O<sup>1</sup>, 3.460 ἀάσπετα O<sup>1</sup>, 12.502 ἔξυπαλύξαι<sup>53</sup> O<sup>2</sup>, 13.243 εὐθαλπέος ὕρῃ<sup>54</sup> L O<sup>2</sup> (he anticipates

---

251, 367, 370, 399, 523, 6.158, 349, 7.15, 206, 290, 291, 715, 8.113, 9.53, 128, 174, 462, 519, 10.69, 426, 462, 481, 484, 11.39, 40, 12.393, 13.320, 348, 488, 499<sup>2</sup>, 14.33 (also at B<sup>pc</sup>), 14.60 (Scaliger agrees here also with P and R<sup>pc</sup>), 211 (and R), 283, 319 (and R<sup>sl</sup>), 325–326, 344. Cf A. H. Köchly, *Quinti Smyrnaei Posthomericorum libri XIV* (Leipzig 1850) CXIII: “Sed horum [= Brodaeus, Canterus, Sylburgius, Falkenburgius, Scaliger] pleraque et levia sunt et fere etiam a Rhodomanno inuenta sunt.”

<sup>48</sup> Cf. J. Forshall, *Catalogue of Manuscripts in the British Museum. New Series: The Burney Manuscripts I.2* (London 1840) 158; Vian, *Histoire* 13.

<sup>49</sup> *Troia expugnata* (1614) 749–779.

<sup>50</sup> J. Brodaeus, *Annotationes in Oppiani Cynegeticon libros IIII, Quinti Calabri Paralipomenon Homeri lib. XIV, Coluthi Thebani de Helenea raptu lib. unum* (Basel 1552) 320, 338, 343, 367, 397, 404.

<sup>51</sup> Dausque's conjectures were published as critical notes in *Troia expugnata* (1614) 1–309 (as an appendix at the end of the book); see especially pp. 27, 29, 36, 46, and 156.

<sup>52</sup> An Aldine edition with Sylburg's manuscript notes is in the library of the University of Leiden (see n.6 above). There are also notes attributed to him in the margins of *Oxonensis d'Orville* 405.

<sup>53</sup> D'Orville already noted that this correction had been proposed before by Scaliger (O<sup>2</sup> p. 737, “cum futuro saepe … sic tamen etiam Scal.”).

<sup>54</sup> Vian attributes this conjecture to Rhodomann (1577).

- J. C. de Pauw's proposals).<sup>55</sup>  
 12.135 ὑπ' ὄκρυόεντι σιδήρῳ *L*, 13.247 ΛΕΙΠΕΙ *O<sup>2</sup>*, and 261 θέη  
*O<sup>2</sup>* (he anticipates T. C. Tychsen's conjectures).<sup>56</sup>  
 13.514 με *O<sup>2</sup>* (Scaliger anticipates C. G. Heyne's proposal here).<sup>57</sup>  
 9.353 Δήμυνον κοίλην *L O<sup>2</sup>* (he anticipates E. Gerhard's conjecture).<sup>58</sup>  
 2.392 τεθνεώτος *O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p*, 5.619 νέκυν πέρι νηήσαντο *L*, 11.228  
 ὑπὲκ Βορέαο θυέλλης *L*, and 365 ὥρ' *O<sup>2</sup>* (F. E. H. Spitzner later  
 proposed the same conjectures).<sup>59</sup>  
 5.335 κατεδύσατο *O<sup>2</sup>*, 6.5 ἄλλος δ' ἀλλήλοισιν ἐπώχετο *L* (he an-  
 ticipates A. Köchly's conjecture).<sup>60</sup>  
 7.549 δύμεναι *O<sup>2</sup>* (he anticipates G. Hermann's proposal).<sup>61</sup>  
 5.362 κεῖνος ἔρεξεν *L*, 11.23 Λητὼ δῖ' *L O<sup>2</sup>* (he anticipates C. L.  
 Struve's conjectures).<sup>62</sup>  
 2.666 ἐκέδασσε δ' ὥρ' ἀχλύν *L*, 6.580 ἐχέφρονα Δηϊονῆα *L<sup>63</sup>* (he  
 anticipates A. Zimmermann's suggestions).<sup>64</sup>

<sup>55</sup> See de Pauw, *Quinti Calabri praetermissorum* 46, 58, 184, 737, and 774.

<sup>56</sup> *Quinti Smyrnæi Posthomericorum libri XIV. Nunc primum ad librotum manuscrip-  
 torum fidem et uirorum doctorum conjecturas recensuit et supplevit Thom. Christ. Tychsen.  
 Accesserunt observationes Chr. Gottl. Heynii* (Strasbourg 1807) 298, 326–327 (I  
 have confirmed that at 12.135 Tychsen edited ὄκρυόεντι, not ὄκριόεντι, as  
 Zimmermann and Vian report *ad loc.* On the spelling confusion cf. LSJ s. v.  
 ὄκρυόεις: “freq. confused with ὄκριόεις”).

<sup>57</sup> *Quinti Smyrnæi Posthomericorum libri XIV* (Strasbourg 1807).

<sup>58</sup> *Lectiones Apollonianaæ* (Leipzig 1816) 219.

<sup>59</sup> *Mantissa obseruationum criticarum et grammaticarum in Quinti Smyrnæi Post-  
 homericorum libros XIV* (Leipzig 1816) 232, 259, and his *Obseruationes criticae et  
 grammaticæ in Quinti Smyrnæi Posthomericæ* (Leipzig 1839) 83–84, 262.

<sup>60</sup> *Quinti Smyrnæi Posthomericorum libri XIV* 289 and 312.

<sup>61</sup> *Zeitsch.f.Altertumsw.* 7.31–33 (1840) 257–275 (review of the editions of  
 Spitzner [1839] and Köchly [1838]) [= *Gothfredi Hermanni Opuscula VIII*  
 (Leipzig 1877) 24–45].

<sup>62</sup> *Grammaticische und kritische Bemerkungen zu Quintus Smyrnaeus* (Königsberg  
 1816–1817 [= *Opuscula selecta I* (Leipzig 1854) 29 (he suggested ἔρεξεν;  
 Köchly proposed ἔρεξε) and 42].

<sup>63</sup> Δηϊονῆα, proposed by Scaliger here, is an Aetolian warrior, not to be  
 confused with the Trojan warrior of the same name mentioned at *Quintus  
 Smyrn.* 10.167.

<sup>64</sup> *Quintus Smyrnaeus. Tryphiodorus. Colluthus* (Leipzig 1891) 59 and 165.

6.224 μέγ' ἐσίνετο πᾶσαν ἀλωήν *L* (he anticipates H. Bonitz's conjecture,<sup>65</sup> followed later by F. S. Lehrs and A. Köchly).

9.360 δὴ γάρ μιν ἐπὴν ἔλε λιμὸς *deest versum L* (here Scaliger anticipates M. L. West's suggestion).<sup>66</sup>

Some of Scaliger's other original conjectures have been accepted by recent editors, such as the suggestions he made to 1.195, 196, 686, 2.56, 85, 154, 593, 600, 627, 3.411, 431, 4.130, 140, 5.375, 6.378, 7.381, 10.65, 415, 11.56, 13.75, and 191.<sup>67</sup> Others have been deemed worthy of mention in editors' apparatus criticus. This is the case with his proposals to 1.670, 2.471, 3.480, 666, 5.72, 375, 6.35, 9.361, 10.405, 11.102, 452(2), and 14.159.<sup>68</sup>

However, other suggestions have remained forgotten and perhaps should at least be mentioned in the apparatus criticus of any new edition.<sup>69</sup>

<sup>65</sup> "Symbolae criticae in Quintum Smyrnaeum," *Zeitsch.f.Altertumsw.* 3.152–155 (1836) 1234.

<sup>66</sup> See Vian, *Quintus de Smyrne* II 194 (cf. also I LIII).

<sup>67</sup> I have not found a witness for Scaliger's conjectures to 2.628, 9.361, 11.102, and 11.452, although they are mentioned by Vian in his apparatus criticus.

<sup>68</sup> For this selection I follow Zimmermann and Vian.

<sup>69</sup> For Scaliger's other original conjectures, see his proposals for 1.153, 195, 196, 228, 232, 299, 332, 388, 389(1), 451, 479, 587 (accepted by Tychsen and F. S. Lehrsius), 606, 670, 693, 696, 700, 706, 711, 723, 746; 2.50, 56, 57, 64, 110, 129, 206, 230, 245(2), 252, 255, 287, 288, 305, 306, 316, 317, 400, 471, 518, 593 (accepted by Köchly), 596, 600 (accepted by Köchly), 618, 627, 632, 662; 3.3, 44, 105, 123, 125, 129, 133, 154(1), 206, 210, 219, 224, 269, 363, 411, 419, 423, 431, 451, 452, 466, 480 (accepted by Tychsen and F. S. Lehrsius), 482, 499, 534(2), 621, 622(2), 632, 714; 4.7, 39, 99, 130 (accepted by C. L. Struve, Spitzner, and Köchly), 140(2) (accepted by Tychsen, F. S. Lehrsius, and Koechly), 172, 389, 427, 428, 508, 566, 5.36, 72, 88, 202, 237, 267, 278, 314, 322, 323, 356, 375, 396, 491, 496, 502, 509, 6.35, 378, 466, 495–496, 630, 645; 7.206, 225, 360, 381, 408, 633, 715, 8.170, 347, 9.62, 347, 512, 519, 10.30, 65, 131, 135, 173, 351, 405, 406, 413, 415, 11.3, 56, 76, 79, 89, 96, 112, 195, 218, 280, 12.58, 134, 234, 13.75, 244, 259, 285, 291, 345, 396, 14.51, 145, 159, 258, 338, 411, 496, 620.

1.472 οὐδ' ἔστι κακῶν δεος O<sup>1</sup>

The unanimous reading of the manuscripts is κακὸν. Köchly proposed κακοῦ, which was accepted by Vian. Scaliger's proposal, κακῶν, attested in O<sup>1</sup> and also proposed later by de Pauw, should also be considered since ο and ω were often confused by the copyists.<sup>70</sup>

2.57 δῶμεν ἔως οὐ κτῆσιν L : ἀψ δόμεν ώς οὐ κτῆσιν O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p

The manuscripts have ώς οὐ κτῆσιν. Dausque emended ἐκδόμεν ώς οὐ κτῆσιν, while Rhodomann proposed ἔως instead of ώς. Scaliger's suggestions are worthy of consideration, since both follow the metrical pattern of the first foot of the hexameter.

2.230 εὗτε Γύης μελάθροισι L O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p

The reading of the manuscripts is obscure (γαίης [γένης H] Ω). Rhodomann proposed ἄνεμος; in his first edition Köchly pointed out a lacuna after εὗτ', while in the second he added θοὸς; and Zimmermann αἰγὶς, accepted by Vian, although αἰγὶς with the meaning of "storm" or "hurricane" is not attested in epic.<sup>71</sup> Scaliger's conjecture, Γύης, the name of one of the giants mentioned at Hes. *Theog.* 149, should at least be mentioned in the apparatus criticus, although the variant Γύης should be preferred to Scaliger's Γύης, a misreading of Hesiod (also attested as a variant at Apollod. 1.1.1 and Ov. *Tr.* 4.7.18) that has now been discounted.<sup>72</sup>

2.662 γὰρ <μέγα> Ζηνὸς O<sup>1</sup> O<sup>2</sup> p

The manuscript reading is δείδιε γὰρ Ζηνὸς ἄδην ἄλληκτον ἐνπήν, which is metrically incorrect. Rhodomann added που,

<sup>70</sup> Cf. R. Browning, *Medieval and Modern Greek* (Cambridge 1969) 26; J. D. G. Dunn, *World Biblical Commentary XXXVIIIa Romans 1–8* (Dallas 1988) 245; G. Horrocks, *Greek: A History of Language and its Speakers*<sup>2</sup> (Chichester/Malden 2010) 165.

<sup>71</sup> For this meaning see LSJ s.v. II. For the sense "aegis" see Quintus Smyrn. 14.457. Its compound is attested with the meaning "storm" (Quintus Smyrn. 4.572, 5.84, 365, 7.457, 10.412).

<sup>72</sup> See M. L. West, *Hesiod. Theogony* (Oxford 1966) 210.

and de Pauw δὴ, which was accepted by Vian. In favour of Scaliger's proposal see Quintus Smyrn. 5.217, where Zimmermann proposed <μέγα> δείδιες.

3.154 ἴνας <τ’> ἐγκεφάλοι Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup>ρ

The use of ικάνω + accusative is more frequent in Homer (and later epic) than its use with ἔξ/εις + accusative (cf. LSJ s.v.): reading proposed by Rhodomann.

3.482 λευγαλέος Ο<sup>1</sup> Ο<sup>2</sup>ρ

Scaliger's suggestions imply a small change in the text transmitted by the manuscripts (λευγαλέον) and makes Rhodomann's proposal redundant for Quintus Smyrn. 3.481 (πένθος instead of θυμὸς).

4.7 ὑπ’ ἄγκεα τῆλ’ ἀπὸ Τροίης *L*

τ’ ἡδ’ [τῆδ’ DQ] ἄντροιο is the reading of the manuscripts. Τηλάνδροιο, a conjecture accepted by modern editors, was proposed by de Pauw. According to Pliny, Telandrus was a Lycian city (*NH* 5.101, *Lycia LXX quondam oppida habuit, nunc XXVI habet. ex his celeberrima praeter supra dicta ... Telandrus*). According to others, it was Carian (Steph. Byz. Τήλανδρος). If de Pauw's conjecture is accepted, it refers to a valley close to the river Glaucus. Scaliger's correction solves the inconsistency. For the confusion between τῆδ’ and τῆλ’ see Quintus Smyrn. 5.447. Furthermore, Τροίη appears fourteen times in the same metrical position in Quintus Smyrn.

5.496 ἐκ τεγέων Ο<sup>2</sup>

The reading of the manuscripts is ἐκ τέκεων. It is maintained by Vian. Hermann in his second edition proposed αἱ τέκεων, Struve ἐκ λέχεων, Zimmermann εὐτύκτους. Scaliger's conjecture should at least be mentioned in the apparatus criticus alongside the other proposals.

5.509 ἐκβαλεν ἥτορ *L*

The unanimous reading of the manuscripts is ἐβλαβεν. Vian accepts this; Bonitz, Spitzner, and Lehrs proposed ἐβλάβῃ, and Zimmermann ἐβλάβετ'. While in Quintus Smyrn. ἐβλαβεν and its variants are attested only here, ἐκβαλε(v) is attested

three times and always in the same metrical position (6.563, 593, and 13.122).

7.225 ἡμετέρου δε γάμοιο θεοῖς [... μελήσει] *L*

The use of the third person singular of μέλω with the object in the genitive and the person in the dative is very common (cf. LSJ s.v. I.4). For the use of *plurales maiestatis* in Greek see H. L. Jones, *The Poetic Plural of Greek Tragedy, in the Light of Homeric Usage* (New York 1910) 127–131, A. Shewan, “The *Pluralis Maiestatis* in Homer,” *CQ* 7 (1913) 129–131, E. Schwyzer, *Griechische Grammatik*<sup>2</sup> II (Munich 1950) 45–46, A. G. Katsouris, “Plural in Place of Singular,” *RhM* 120 (1977) 228–240, and V. Bers, *Greek Poetic Syntax in the Classical Age* (New Haven 1984) 49–52.

7.633 αὗτ' *O<sup>2</sup>*

The reading of the manuscripts is μνησθέντι ποδώκεος ἄντ' Ἀχιλλῆος. Spitzner proposed ὀμφ'. However, μιμνήσκω in the passive with a genitive is grammatically correct (cf. Hom. *Od.* 4.331; LSJ s.v. II). Phoenix earlier remembers Achilles at Quintus Smyrn. 3.460–485 and 4.293.

9.62 παρὰ νῆας *O<sup>2</sup>*

The phrase in almost all the manuscripts (ὑπὲρ νῆας) makes no sense and is unattested elsewhere. In R and *supra lineam* in several manuscripts ἐπὶ νῆας appears, a reading adopted by all editors. Scaliger's conjecture, proposed also by Brodaeus (*Annotationes* 338), is also possible, and is attested in Homer in this same metrical position (*Il.* 9.657, 10.54, 11.805, cf. also 1.347).

9.347 ἀπομαίνεται ἥτορ *L*

This is an interesting proposal for a very difficult passage (cf. F. Vian, *Recherches sur les Posthomériques de Quintus de Smyrne* [Paris 1959] 169) that should be considered alongside those of Spitzner (μάλα μαίνεται) and Köchly (μέγα μαίνεται).

9.519 εἴπερ τις ἔτι ἥλιτεν εἴνεκ' ἐμεῖο *L*

Tychsen proposed εἰ <καί>, which was accepted by Vian; Zimmermann followed Rhodomann's conjecture εἰ πού. Scaliger's proposal is worthy of mention, at least in the apparatus

criticus, although εῖπερ is rare in epic, found only at Apol. Rhod. 2.442 and Opp. *Hal.* 4.362.

10.135 αὖθι δ' ἵησι *L*

According to Zimmermann, the manuscripts differ, and several conjectures have been proposed, to which Scaliger's correction could well be added. αὖθι is a Homeric word (fifty-six times in Homer; eighteen times in Apol. Rhod.), though it is absent from Quintus Smyrn. Among late epic authors, see Manetho *Astrol.* 6.190 and Nonnus *Dion.* 4.188.

11.3 ἐκάτερθε πόληος *L*

The unanimous reading of the manuscripts makes no sense (ἐκάστοτε πόληος). According to Quintus Smyrn. 11.5–7, at this moment of the battle the Achaeans were outside the city, while the Trojans were inside. Thus, Scaliger's conjecture reflects the situation better than Rhodomann's (ἐκτοσθε πόληος), although both are palaeographically possible. ἐκάτερθε is at the same metrical position in Quintus Smyrn. 2.482, 509, and 12.92. Moreover, ἐκάτερθε πόληος is a Homeric expression (*Od.* 6.263, also at the end of the hexameter).

11.280 οἰδ' ἔχον ἀλλήλοισι φόνον *L*

The manuscripts have πέσχον δ' (P) and νπέσχον (H). Rhodomann, followed by Tychsen and Lehrs, suggested οἴ φέρον. Hermann proposed τεῦχον δ', and this was accepted by Köchly, Zimmermann, and Vian. Scaliger's proposal should at least be mentioned in the apparatus criticus.

12.134 ἄλλοθεν ἄλλος ἐπ' ἔργον *L*

With this conjecture Scaliger fills the gap after ἄλλος, as it appears in R. The other manuscripts have the gap before ἄλλοθεν at the beginning of the line.

13.244 ἡ δε μέγ' ἡμύνουσα *L uel iλλίζουσα O<sup>2</sup>*

The first proposal avoids the image of a severed head issuing a deep sigh. Cf. Hom. *Il.* 8.306–308: μήκων δ' ὡς ἐτέρωσε κάρη βάλεν, ἢ τ' ἐνὶ κῆπῳ / καρπῷ βριθομένη νοτίησι τε εἰαρινῆσιν, / ὡς ἐτέρωσ' ἡμυνσε κάρη πήληκι βαρυνθέν.

14.51 <μετὰ> φρεσὶν αἰδομένη τε *L*

The juncture *μετὰ φρεσί*(v) appears very often and always in this same metrical position in epic from Homer (thirty-four times) onwards, and is also found in Quintus Smyrn. at 10.408. Conversely, Rhodomann's proposal (<*περὶ*> φρεσὶν) is rare in epic (in Homer, only at *Il.* 16.157), except in Quintus Smyrn., who used it eleven times.

14.338 μακρὴν πολέμοιο Ο<sup>2</sup>

The manuscripts have *πολέμοιο μακρὴν φυγόντες*. To solve the metrical problem, Rhodomann adds *προ* to *φυγόντες*, to form a compound verb also attested in Quintus Smyrn. at 11.316. Scaliger's proposal is perhaps an easier solution, since changing the word order makes it unnecessary to add the prefix *προ*.<sup>73</sup>

*September, 2015*

Universidad de Huelva  
Departamento de Filologías Integradas  
Avda. Tres de marzo, s/n  
Huelva 21071, Spain  
vioque@uhu.es

<sup>73</sup> This article was written during a research stay at Clare Hall, Cambridge, financed by a scholarship granted by the Spanish Ministerio de Educación, Cultura y Deporte (PRX14/00049), and forms part of the Research Projects FFI 2008-00940 and P09-HUM-4