## Unpublished Conjectures on Sophocles by Jeremiah Markland

## P. J. Finglass

COPY of a reprint of Thomas Johnson's edition of Sophocles in the National Art Library in London's Victoria and Albert Museum¹ contains undated autograph notes by Jeremiah Markland, that acute but reclusive eighteenth-century English critic.² Markland had previously sent notes on Sophocles to the printer responsible for this very edition.³ He may have transferred the contents of his copy of these notes into the margins of the book when it appeared, in which case some of the notes would date to before 1758; but others might have been entered subsequently, up to his death in 1779.

I dedicate this paper to the memory of Colin Austin, who so helped and encouraged me in my work on classical scholarship.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> T. Johnson (ed.), Sophoclis tragoediae septem scholiis veteribus illustratae I–II (London 1758). The shelfmark is Dyce 9298.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> For Markland's life and scholarship see C. Collard, "Jeremiah Markland (1693–1776)," *PCPS* N.S. 22 (1976) 1–13 = *Tragedy, Euripides and Euripideans* (Exeter 2007) 213–228 (with additions). He is best known today for his work on Euripides and Latin poetry. Collard's list of Markland's marginalia in the British Library (12 n.34 = 225–226 n.34, supplemented at 288 nn.34, 36) does not include anything on Sophocles.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> "Mr. Markland assisted Mr. Bowyer in an edition of Seven Plays of Sophocles, 1758, by the notes which he communicated to him" (J. Nichols, Literary Anecdotes of the Eighteenth Century; comprizing biographical memoirs of William Bowyer, Printer, F.S.A. and many of his learned friends IV [London 1812] 286). The edition does not attribute any conjectures to Markland; perhaps Bowyer for whatever reason was unable to incorporate Markland's contribution in the reprint. See also Collard (n.2) 3 = 215.

The discovery of these marginalia enables us to reattribute many conjectures to Markland which modern editions assign to later scholars; he also now shares the credit for several put forward by his contemporaries in their marginalia. In the list that follows, asterisks and plus signs signify emendations printed respectively in the text or the apparatus of the OCT.<sup>4</sup> I add in brackets the name of the earliest scholar known to me to have published each conjecture, together with the date of publication, if available. I write  $\Pi$  or Ms(s) if the conjecture has subsequently appeared in an ancient or a mediaeval manuscript unknown in Markland's day. I do not record emendations found in the books which Markland published and which are hence already known to be his.

```
Aj.
  *54 removes comma after λείας (Schaefer 1810)
  *77 introduces aposiopesis (Brunck 1786)
  *79 οὔκουν (Brunck 1786)
  +85 \delta \epsilon \delta o \rho \kappa \acute{o} \tau o s (anon. ap. Dindorf 1869)
  194 ποδὶ (πόδα Morstadt 1864)
  *649 xai (Musgrave pre-1780, published 1800)
  *756 \dot{\epsilon}\theta' (MS, Bothe 1826) and \dot{\epsilon}\nu (Lobeck 1809)
  *778 ĕθ' (Lobeck 1809)
  782 ἀφυστερήμεθα (Wakefield 1792)
  842 ἐκγόνων τ' (MSS, Musgrave pre-1780, published 1800)
  1009 \tau \epsilon \sigma \hat{\omega} \nu (M. Schmidt testibus Lloyd-Jones/Wilson 1990)
  *1096 λόγοις· ἐπεί (Mekler 1885)
  *1098 τόνδ' (MS)
  *1274 ἐντός (MSS)
El.
  199 μομφάν (Wakefield 1792)<sup>5</sup>
```

- <sup>4</sup> H. Lloyd-Jones and N. G. Wilson (eds.), *Sophoclis Fabulae* (Oxford 1990, revised 1992).
- <sup>5</sup> This reading is partially obscured by the binder's shears; but the last stroke of the mu, as well as the accent on the alpha, suggests that this was the original word.

```
*337 ἄλλα (Dindorf 1830)
  363 \mu \dot{\eta} 'κλι\pi \epsilon \hat{\imath} \nu (Lobeck teste Jebb)
  +480 κλυούσα (MSS)
  950 μόνω (Cobet 1858)
  *1127 σ' (MS, Brunck 1786)
  *1139 \sigma' (MSS, Schaefer 1808)
  *1226 exous (Valckenaer pre-1775)
  *1420 παλίρρυτον (Bothe 1806)
OT
  +8 del. (Wunder 1840)
  68 δè σκοπῶν (Nauck 1867)
  90 σῷ λόγῳ (Nauck 1876)
  +114 εφασκον (Kousis)<sup>6</sup>
  *458 αὐτὸς (MS, Burges and Bothe teste Erfurdt 1809)
  *581 οὔκουν ...; (Brunck 1779)
  601 οὔτε δράστης (Hartung 1851)
  628 εἰκτέον (Henneberger 1849)
  +634 \tau \dot{\eta} \nu \delta' (Doederlein 1847)
  725 χρήζη γ', ἔρευναν (Eggert 1868)
  849 \mu\epsilon\tau\alpha\beta\alpha\lambda\epsilon\hat{\imath}\nu (gloss, Blaydes 1859)
  977 οδ (Blaydes 1859)
  *1025 \tau v \chi \acute{\omega} v (Bothe 1826)
  *1180 αύτὸς (Heimsoeth)
  *1208b αύτὸς (Brunck 1779)
  1256 \theta' (Blaydes 1859)
  *1281 del. (Dindorf 1825)
  +1368 \, \mathring{\eta}\sigma\theta' < \mathring{a}\nu >  (Porson pre-1808, Purgold 1802)
Ant.
  2 οἰσθά τι (Meineke teste Jebb)
  25 \theta \epsilon \hat{ois} (Brunck 1786)
  *48 <\mu'> (Brunck 1786)
  *215 vvv (Blaydes 1859)
```

<sup>6</sup> Markland crosses this conjecture out, suggesting that he later rejected it. So also with *Ant.* 599 and *Trach.* 440, below.

```
263 ἔφυγε τὸ μὴ δέναι (Porson 1801)
  296 νόσημ' (Pallis teste Jebb)
  *384 \dot{\eta} (MS, Brunck 1779)
  *406 \dot{\eta}\rho\dot{\epsilon}\theta\eta (MS, Schaefer 1811)
  +599 \delta \pi \epsilon \rho (MS, Hermann 1823) [crossed out]
  *742 question mark (Hermann 1823)
  +754 < \mu' > (Blaydes 1905)
  +1056 \delta \epsilon \gamma \epsilon \text{ (Seager 1813)}
  +1238 \epsilon \mu \beta \dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \epsilon \iota (Π, Mitchell 1842)
  1305 βάξεις (Heimsoeth 1865)
  1350 \mu \eta \delta \dot{\epsilon} \nu (MSS)
Trach.
  +71 \tau \lambda \alpha i \eta (Walter 1884)<sup>7</sup>
  +88–89 del. (Hermann 1822)
  +90 \mu \eta < o\dot{v} > (Valckenaer pre-1775)
  +93 \pi \dot{\upsilon}\thetaοιο (Blaydes 1871)
  +98 yas (Schneidewin 1854)
  +114 < \epsilon \nu > (Erfurdt 1802)
  +171 \, \tilde{\omega}_{\rm S} \, (\text{Blaydes } 1871)
  *205 δόμος (Burges teste Dindorf 1885)
  +206 \epsilon \phi \epsilon \sigma \tau i o \iota \sigma \iota \nu \text{ (Blaydes 1871)}
  302 οἴκων (Blaydes 1871)
  313 εἶκεν (Schneidewin 1854)
  *326 δακρυρροεῖ (MSS, Brunck 1786)
  *328 \alpha \dot{v} \tau \dot{\eta} (MSS)
  344 κείνους (Blaydes 1871)
  +356–357 del. (Wunder 1841)
  377 \hat{\eta} (Blaydes 1871)
  +440 \pi \epsilon \phi \dot{\nu} \kappa \alpha \sigma' (Nauck 1866) [crossed out]
  *549 \tau \hat{\omega} \nu \delta' (Zippmann 1868)
  628 προσφθέγματ' (Hermann 1848)
  *747 κου (MS, Valckenaer pre-1775)
  866 \epsilon \ddot{v}σημον (Hense 1880; noluit Walter 1877)
```

<sup>7</sup> Walter writes  $\tau \lambda \epsilon i \eta$  (K. Walter, "Kritische bemerkungen zu Sophokles," *Philologus* 42 [1884] 266–274, at 274), which is presumably a misprint.

```
968 at ter (Musgrave pre-1780, published 1800)
  *977 γέρον (MSS)
  999 del. \kappa \alpha \tau \alpha \delta \epsilon \rho \chi \theta \hat{\eta} \nu \alpha \iota (Fröhlich 1815)
  1112 \langle \sigma \phi' \rangle \epsilon i \sigma \sigma \rho \hat{\omega} (Blaydes 1871)
Phil.
  +43 \phi o \rho \beta \dot{\eta} \nu (Burges teste Nauck)
  203 <του> before τειρομένου (*after it, Porson 1802)
  242 \, \mathring{\omega} 'κ φίλης (Blaydes 1870)
  +256 \pi \omega (MSS)
  +304 del. (Bergk 1858)
  +369 ὧ σχέτλιοι 'τολμήσατ' (Valckenaer pre-1775)
  *371 κυρεῦ (Porson 1801)
  *457 δειλὸς (Brunck 1786)
  478 \,\mu\acute{\epsilon}\rho os \,(Blaydes \,1870)
  *491 δεράδα (Toup 1780)
  +558 πέφυκά γ', ἀσφαλης (Blaydes 1870)
  +572 ov (Dissen 1813)
  *614 ηκουσ' (MSS, Valckenaer pre-1775)
  786 ἐργάζη (-ει Wecklein 1869)
  *994 o\ddot{v} \phi \eta \mu'. ('O\delta.) \dot{\epsilon} \gamma \dot{\omega} \delta \dot{\epsilon} (Gernhard 1803 post Wakefield
          1794)
  *1035 \dot{\delta}\lambda\epsilon\hat{\iota}\sigma\theta\epsilon (Brunck 1786)
  *1071 λειφθήσομαι δη (Wakefield 1794)
  *1238 ταὐτὰ (MSS)
  +1265 \nu \acute{\epsilon}o\nu (Schneidewin 1855)
  *1288 del. οὐκ (Porson 1801)
  +1330 \text{ ov} \tau \text{os} (Brunck 1786)
  *1386 \epsilon \chi \theta \rho o i \sigma i \mu' (Valckenaer pre-1775, ap. Burges 1833)
  +1406 Ήρακλέους (Brunck 1786)
  1422 κἀκ (Wakefield 1794)
OC
  *42 äv (Vauvilliers 1781)
  +307 \, \tilde{\epsilon} \rho \pi \epsilon \iota \, (Brunck \, 1786)
  +454 \ \eta \nu \epsilon \sigma \epsilon \nu  (Wunder 1867)
  +534 \, \alpha \ddot{v} \tau' \, \ddot{\alpha} \rho' \, (MSS)
  +572 κἀκ (Blaydes 1859)
  *644 marks aposiopesis (Brunck 1786)
```

```
+646 κρατήσεις (Nauck 1861)
728 ἐγγενεῖs (Brunck 1786)
*735 τηλικόσδε (Brunck 1786)
*769a del. (Valckenaer 1768)
*922 punctuates before \beta i \alpha (Brunck 1786)
*1012 \theta' (MS)
*1121 \tau \dot{\eta} \nu (Musgrave pre-1780, published 1800)
1192 ἔα 'υτὸν (Brunck 1786)
*1199 βαια (Musgrave pre-1780, published 1800)
1266 \tau \alpha \hat{v} \tau \alpha (Elmsley 1813)
1270 \ddot{\epsilon}στ' \dot{a}ποστρο\phi\dot{\eta} (Blaydes 1859)
+1345 \theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega  (MSS)
*1361 μεμνημένω (Blaydes 1859)
+1379 τοιοῦδ' (Kunhardt 1838)
+1418 α̈ν (Vauvilliers 1781)
1523 κείμαι (Blaydes 1859)
*1562 ἐξανύσαι (Vauvilliers 1781)
*1698 μηδαμὰ (Brunck 1786)
*1752 νὺξ ἀπόκειται (J. F. Martin)
1773 ὅσα\piερ (Blaydes 1859)
```

There are also some emendations which I have not seen attributed to a later scholar, but which are worth recording in case they are of interest to future editors:

```
Aj.

813–814 delendi ("pueriliter")

El.

1210 τέφρας
1449 τοῦ φιλτάτου

OT

105 ἀκούσας
308 αὖ
407 μαντεῖα ῥᾶστα
1005 μάλιστ' ἐς

Ant.

27 μὴ οὐ
414 λόγοισι
```

```
745 η̂ ...;
748 οὔκουν ...;
1014 delendus

Phil.
55 πλέκων

OC
1375 ἐξαφῆκ'
```

In all, that makes 55 emendations accepted by the editors of the OCT, 38 found in their apparatus, and 42 that appear in neither. By citing these figures I do not mean to imply that the Oxford editors' assessment of each conjecture is necessarily the correct one. But the figures do provide a rough guide to the significance of this discovery. Markland's name has hitherto barely featured in the apparatus of critical editions of Sophocles. Now he stands revealed as one of the most prolific and successful emenders of his text. Taken with the recent haul of unpublished scholarship on Sophocles by (among others) Valckenaer and Pierson,<sup>8</sup> Markland's conjectures mean that the contribution of the eighteenth century to the purification of Sophocles' text is now far greater than had been imagined. This has considerable implications for our understanding of the history of classical scholarship on Greek dramatic texts.<sup>9</sup>

February, 2011

Department of Classics University of Nottingham Nottingham NG7 2RD, U.K. patrick.finglass@nottingham.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> P. J. Finglass, "Unpublished Conjectures at Leiden on the Greek Dramatists," *GRBS* 49 (2009) 187–221.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> I am grateful to *GRBS*'s anonymous referee for helpful comments.