Aeschylus, Agamemnon 1478–1480

Miryam Librán Moreno

HE CHORUS OF ELDERS, after being informed of Agamemnon's murder at the hands of his wife Clytemnestra, addresses the daimon who has engendered so many misfortunes for the race of the Tantalids (1468–1471). Clytemnestra approves of the chorus's new insight into what has just transpired (1476) and calls the daimon τοιπάχυντον, "thrice-gorged." Tyndareus' daughter goes on to explain that from such a daimon comes the desire to lick blood, so that a new discharge of pus is brought forth before the old wound is healed (1478–1480). I give Page's text:

έκ τοῦ γὰς ἔςως αίματολοιχὸς νείς αι τρέφεται· ποὶν καταλῆξαι τὸ παλαιὸν ἄγος, νέος ἰγώς. 1480

1479 νείφαι Wellauer post Portum et Casaubon (νείφηι) : νείφει codd. : νείκει Scaliger : ἦφι Vossius : τείφει Margoliouth : καὶ νῷ Housman, JPh 16 (1888) 282–283 : μοῖφ' ἐκτφ. Burges : δεινὸς νεΙ καὶεὶ νεΙ κάεὶ νεΙ καὶ ἀεὶ Blaydes : νειφιτφοφεῖται νεΙ νειφιτφαφεῖται Headlam, CR 14 (1900) 119 : νεαφοτφοφεῖται Thomson : νεῖται dub. West.

vείφαι, the Portus-Casaubon-Wellauer conjecture for the MSS. reading νείφει, has become, in M. L. West's words,² the modern vulgate, accepted as such and printed by F. A. Paley,³

Greek, Roman, and Byzantine Studies 49 (2009) 477–485 © 2009 GRBS

¹ Aeschyli septem quae supersunt tragoedias edidit D. Page (Oxford 1972). For the apparatus criticus I have consulted also Th. Stanley, Thomae Stanleii Commentarius in Aeschyli Tragoedias Samuele Butlero editus (Halle 1832) 468; A. Wellauer, Aeschyli tragoediae II (Leipzig 1824) 111; C. J. Blomfield, Aeschyli Agamemnon (London 1826); R. D. Dawe, Repertory of Conjectures on Aeschylus (Leiden 1965) 119.

² Studies in Aeschylus (Stuttgart 1990) 222.

³ The Tragedies of Aeschylus (Oxford 1861) 436.

U. von Wilamowitz,⁴ A. W. Verrall,⁵ H. Weir Smyth,⁶ G. Italie,⁷ E. Fraenkel,⁸ J. Denniston-D. Page,⁹ and Page. West¹⁰ indicated his doubts by putting vɛ(oɛt between daggers and placing the colon at the end of 1479 in parentheses. Wilamowitz¹¹ paraphrased 1478–1480: "libido sanguinis imis visceribus insita nova sanies, novum virus."¹²

Fraenkel offered two reasons in support of νείοαι:13

- (1) From Hsch. ν 245 νειφαί· κατωτάται. οἱ δὲ κοιλίας τὰ κατώτατα and schol. Lycoph. 895 τὸ δὲ ἐννηφοῖς τοῖς κοίλοις ἢ τοῖς καθύγφοις, νείφαι might be taken to mean "lowest, deepest, *imis medullis*" and not just "bowels." This usage agrees with the usual site where desire is engendered and lodged in Greek and Latin poetry.¹⁴
- (2) There would be no need to posit the ellipse of a verb if νέος ἰχώς were put in an apposition to ἔςως αἰματολοιχὸς νείςαι τρέφεται, "The craving caused by the δαίμων, a craving for murder (αἰματολοιχός), manifests itself in fresh disease (before the old one has ceased)."¹⁵
 - ⁴ Aeschyli tragoediae (Berlin 1914).
 - ⁵ The Agamemnon of Aeschylus (London 1904).
 - ⁶ Aeschylus II (London/New York 1926).
 - ⁷ Index Aeschyleus³ (Leiden 1964).
 - ⁸ Aeschylus, Agamemnon I-III (Oxford 1950).
 - ⁹ Aeschylus. Agamemnon (Oxford 1957).
 - ¹⁰ Aeschyli tragoediae cum incerti poetae Prometheo² (Stuttgart/Leipzig 1998).
 - ¹¹ Aeschyli tragoediae 236 on Ag. 1480.
- ¹² Other editors and translators offer the following translations: "For therefrom is bred this craving of the maw for blood to lick, ever new gore (?), ere the old woe be done" (Verrall); "For it is by his doing that a craving for blood to lap is nourished in the belly, new pus before the old woe has ceased" (Fraenkel); "From him it cometh that the lust for lapping blood is fostered in the maw; ere ever the ancient wound is healed, fresh blood is spilled" (Smyth).
 - ¹³ Aeschylus, Agamemnon III 701–702.
- ¹⁴ E.g. Catull. 76.20–21 eripite hanc pestem perniciemque mihi, / quae mihi sub-repens imos ut torpor in artus. Cf. R. Pichon, Index verborum amatoriorum (Paris 1902) 198 s.v. "medullas"; A. S. Pease, Publi Vergili Maronis Aeneidos liber quartus (Cambridge 1935) 144, on Aen. 4.66.
 - ¹⁵ Fraenkel, Aeschylus, Agamemnon III 702, following R. H. Klausen, Aeschyli

Regardless, Denniston and Page on the one hand and West on the other offered a number of objections of considerable weight against Wellauer's conjecture. To begin with, the bowels are not the site of any feeling in Greek poetry. ¹⁶ The form veig- for veigig- occurs very seldom, and there are no other parallels for the pro-substantival use of the adjective veigos in the whole of Greek literature save for Hsch. v 245. ¹⁷ Furthermore, there is just one verb (τρέφεται) to govern two substantives (ἔρως, ἰχώρ), and the ellipse of the main verb in the following clause (πρὶν καταλῆξαι τὸ παλαιὸν ἄχος, νέος ἰχώρ) makes for a very unusual nominal sentence. ¹⁸ Additionally, if νείφαι were the correct reading, the appearance of the form νείφει in the Mss. would be hard to account for. ¹⁹

In my opinion, Fraenkel's reasons do not support adequately the Portus-Casaubon-Wellauer conjecture. First, and leaving aside the matter of the adjectival or pro-substantival nature of νείφαι, Hesychius' gloss cannot be adduced in order to document the meaning "entrails" (= medullae) for a pro-substantival usage of νείφαι. If anything, it clearly points towards the meaning "abdomen, bowels" (ἡ κάτω κοιλία, Ar. Ran. 485).²⁰ The

quae supersunt I.1 (Gotha 1833) 274; F. W. Schneidewin, Aeschylos Agamemnon (Berlin 1856) 177; N. Wecklein, Äschylos Orestie I (Leipzig/Berlin 1888) 128.

¹⁶ West, Studies 222; Denniston/Page, Aeschylus. Agamemnon 206.

¹⁷ Denniston/Page, Aeschylus. Agamemnon 206; cf. R. Pfeiffer, Callimachus I (Oxford 1949) 45 on Callim. Aet. fr.43.15; T. W. Peile, The Agamemnon of Aeschylus² (London 1844) 325; G. Burges, The New Readings Contained in Hermann's Posthumous Edition of Aeschylus (London 1853) 81. Callim. Aet. fr. 43.15, Poll. Onom. 2.209, and Hippoc. Coa Praes. 579 (V 718 Littré) all use the form νειαιο-.

¹⁸ Denniston/Page, Aeschylus. Agamemnon 206, West, Studies 222.

¹⁹ G. Hermann, Aeschyli Tragoediae (Leipzig/Berlin 1852), believed νείφει to be the dative of an unattested substantive νείφος.

²⁰ Hsch. ν 246 νειφὴ κοιλίη· κοιλία ἐσχάτη; cf. Choerob. De orthographia 241.22–24 νείαφα· σημαίνει δὲ τὸ ἔσχατον μέφος τῆς γαστφὸς ... εἰς αὐτὴν γὰφ ποφεύεται τὰ ἐσθιόμενα; Erotian. Hippocr.coll. 97.9; Suda ν 282; Eust. Il. II 144.22 Erbse; schol. Il. 5.239 νειαίφη δ' ἐν γαστφί· τῷ παχεῖ ἐντέφῳ ἢ τῆ κύστει; schol. Nic. Alex. 20 νειαίφης] (a) νείαιφα τὸ βάθος τῆς γαστφός, (b) τῆς κάτω τῆς κοιλίης. See also Blomfield, Aeschyli Agamemnon 305: "infima pars ventris. viscera. κοιλία ἐσχάτη. Hesych. eadem quae νείαιφα. Hom. Il. Ε 539 νειαίφη δ' ἐν γαστφί"; Schneidewin, Aeschylos Agamemnon 177.

usage of νειφή as a metonym for "entrails as the locus of desire" is, so far as I can tell, undocumented. Rather, such a notion was usually conveyed by the phrase ὑπὸ σπλάγχνοις (= medullae).²¹ Desire manifests itself also in the lungs πλευμόνες,²² heart ὑπὸ καφδίην,²³ breast ἐν στήθεσσι,²⁴ στέφνοις,²⁵ spirit θυμῷ,²⁶ soul ψυχῆ,²⁷ brains φφένες,²⁸ liver ἡπαφ,²⁹ and even the bones.³⁰ I have been unable to locate a single passage where the belly³¹ or the bowels are said to be the seat of ἔφως.

With regard to the second of Fraenkel's reasons in support of the modern vulgate, i.e., that νέος ἰχώς is put in apposition to ἔςως αἰματολοιχὸς νείςαι τρέφεται, it is certainly true that the meaning of the phrase, so construed, would fit the common amatory motif of desire as sickness.³² There are indeeed parallels for the conceit of love as an infested or suppurating wound

²¹ Herod. 1.57; Theoc. 7.99; Mosch. 1.17; Dion. Hal. *AR* 11.35.4; *Anth. Gr.* 5.56.4, 6.260.6; Opp. *Hal.* 4.17. See also W. Headlam and A. D. Knox, *Herodas. The Mimes and Fragments* (Cambridge 1922) 42; A. S. F. Gow, *Theocritus* II (Cambridge 1950) 156.

²² Soph. fr.941.7, 15 R.

²³ Archil. fr.191.1 West², Ap. Rhod. 3.296, Theoc. 11.15, Opp. *Hal.* 4.12. Cf. Eur. *Hipp.* 1274; Bion 1.17; *Anth.Gr.* 5.224.1, 12.17.1, 12.83.2, 12.130.4, 16.199.5.

²⁴ Hom. *Il.* 14.316, Hes. *Theog.* 122, Archil. fr.191.3.

²⁵ Anth. Gr. 5.293.4.

²⁶ Hom. Il. 14.316; Anth. Gr. 5.47.2, 9.442.2; Mus. 240.

²⁷ Eur. *Hipp.* 527; fr.431.3 K.; *Anth.Gr.* 5.267.10, 10.29.2, 12.80.1, 12.91.1, 12.92.8, 12.157.2.

²⁸ Hom. *Il.* 3.442, 14.294; Archil. fr.191.3; Sapph. fr.47.2; Eur. fr.138a.3 K.; Ap. Rhod. 3.1019; Theoc. 2.7, 13.48; Opp. *Hal.* 4.13.

²⁹ Theoc. 11.16, 13.71; *Anth.Gr.* 5.224.1; Anacreont. 33.27–28; schol. Theoc. 11.16.

³⁰ Archil. fr.193.3, Theoc. 3.17, Ap. Rhod. 3.763, Anth. Gr. 7.31.1.

³¹ The only apparent exception occurs in Achaeus' Aethon (TrGF II 20 F 6), ἐν κενῆ γὰο γαστοὶ τῶν καλῶν ἔρως / οὐκ ἔστι, but this is clearly a metaphorical use.

³² E.g. A. La Penna, "Note sul linguaggio erotico dell'elegia latina," *Maia* 4 (1951) 187–209, at 207–208; E. Calderón, "Los tópicos eróticos en la elegía helenística," *Emerita* 65 (1997) 1–15, at 5.

(ἕλκος, *ulcus*).³³ While this is true, it must be born in mind that there are no parallels in the whole of Greek literature to support the notion that it is ἔρως itself that is the pus (ἰχώρ)³⁴ rather than the wound (ἕλκος) from which the purulent discharge oozes forth. The difference is very significant, and can be illustrated e.g. by *Anth.Gr.* 5.225, ἕλκος ἔχω τὸν ἔρωτα· ῥέει δέ μοι ἕλκεος ἰχὼρ / δάκρυον, ἀτειλῆς οὕποτε τερσομένης (Macedonius Consul).³⁵

Therefore, $v \in (\bar{Qa}\iota)$ is not sufficiently defended and cannot stand. On such grounds, West³⁶ was inclined to assume that the MSS. reading $v \in (\bar{Qa}\iota)$ conceals a verb and not a noun. Such had been the diagnosis made by D. S. Margoliouth³⁷ and A. E. Housman³⁸ as well,³⁹ and hinted at by Blomfield.⁴⁰ For my part, I submit that $v \in (\bar{Qa}\iota)$ is indeed the corruption of a verb, which I believe to be $v \in (\bar{Qa}\iota)$. In support of my conjecture I offer the following arguments (i–iv) from Aeschylean and Homeric usage, as well as from dramatic meaning.

- ³³ A. C. Pearson, *The Fragments of Sophocles* II (Cambridge 1917) 252, "sore or stab"; R. D. Brown, *Lucretius on Love and Sex* (Leiden 1987) 209–210, "festering wound or sore." See e.g. Soph. *Ant.* 652, Eur. *Alc.* 878, Theoc. 11.15, Bion 1.17.
- ³⁴ On the meaning of iχώρ here as "purulent discharge" see W. Headlam, "Aeschylea," *CR* 12 (1898) 245–249, at 247; Wilamowitz, *Aeschyli tragoediae* 236, "nova sanies"; Denniston/Page, *Aeschylus. Agamemnon* 206.
- 35 N. Hopkinson, *Greek Poetry of the Imperial Period. An Anthology* (Cambridge 1994) 89, "His love is a running sore that discharges tears." On the strength of this passage I had initially considered that νείφει τφέφεται might be a corruption of ν \hat{q} \hat{q} ε \hat{c} εται <τε>, a rising tricolon, but while such a conjecture may be palaeographically easier, it creates more problems than it solves, construction-wise.
 - ³⁶ Studies in Aeschylus 222.
 - ³⁷ Aeschyli Agamemno (London 1884): τείφει] νείφει.
- ³⁸ "The Agamemnon of Aeschylus," *JPh* 16 (1888) 244–290, at 282–283 (= *The Classical Papers of A. E. Housman I* [Cambridge 1972] 55–90, at 84).
- ³⁹ For his part, G. Thomson, *The Oresteia of Aeschylus* II (Cambridge 1938) 110, took νείφει τρέφεται to be a corruption of the unfamiliar compound νεαφοτροφεῖται, "to be nourished anew."
 - ⁴⁰ Aeschyli Agamemnon 306: "in hoc loco subaudiendum videtur ῥέει."

(i) Confusion of v and κ, although not frequent, can be documented in the MSS. of *Agamemnon*:⁴¹

17 ἐντέμνων MVT : ἐκτέμνων (ss. F ἐν) FG

541 ἐκδακούειν Τ : ἐνδακούειν

1473 ἐκνόμως schol. vet. in T^{c} : ἐννόμως T (antem correctionem) FG

while confusion of initial v and μ appears in Pers. 310 νιμώμενοι Μ : μυμώμενοι Ο.⁴²

(ii) The verb κείρω and its compounds such as ἐπικείρω are documented in the Aeschylean corpus with the meaning "desecare, vastare" (Supp. 666, Pers. 953)43 and "demetere, occidere" (Pers. 921, 951),44 as well as in Sophocles.45 Therefore, it is safe to posit that κείοω belongs to the tragic lexis. The thricegorged δαίμων of Tantalus' race (1476–1477) is ultimately the cause of the hunger for blood that devours (αείρει) them. Notice that in *Pers.* 921 (as well as in Soph. fr.210.37, 46) the subject of the action expressed by ἐπικείρω is a δαίμων also: οθς νθν δαίμων ἐπέκειρεν. It might be countered that κείρω construed without need of an explicit direct object is a remarkable construction, but on the other hand it is quite easy to supply a pronominal direct object from the previous line (1476–1477, τὸν τριπάχυντον / δαίμονα γέννης τῆσδε κικλήσκων). So far as I can tell, κείρω construed in absolute use is documented at least once in the Homeric corpus with the meaning "eat up, destroy utterly," in Od. 1.376-378 (= 2.141-143) εί δ' ὕμιν δοκέει τόδε λωΐτερον καὶ ἄμεινον / ἔμμεναι, άνδοὸς ένὸς βίστον νήποινον ὀλέσθαι, / κείσετ'. Doubtlessly Aeschylus might have come across these lines. Furthermore, there are a number of passages in the Aeschylean corpus where a transitive verb does not take a pronominal personal object as

⁴¹ v might also have been affected by the nearness of νέος (1480).

⁴² R. D. Dawe, *The Collation and Investigation of Manuscripts of Aeschylus* (Cambridge 1964) 121–122.

⁴³ Italie, *Index Aeschyleus* 152 s.v. **κείρω** 2.

⁴⁴ Italie, *Index Aeschyleus* 106 s.v. ἐπικείρω.

 $^{^{45}}$ Soph. fr.210.37 R., & δαίμον, & δύσδαιμον, & κείρας [έ]μέ, 46 δαίμων ἕκειρεν ἐν δίκα σε, δαίμων.

would be expected. 46 To limit ourselves to Agamemnon, see Ag. 69 (ὑποκαίων οὕτ' ἐπιλείβων), 369 (ἔποαξεν ὡς ἔκρανεν), 47 917 (αἰνεῖν, cf. 1403), 1069 (ἐποικτίρω), 1082 (ἀπώλεσας), 1128 (τύπτει), 1562 (ἐκτίνει). Thus, the construction of κείρω without an explicit direct object is compatible with Aeschylean practice. 48

I turn now to arguments from dramatic sense and meaning. My contention here is that Aeschylus portrays the daimon as a savage beast that causes a monstrous lust for blood to prey (χείφει) on the race of the Tantalids, and whose repeated bites leave infected wounds that do not cease to suppurate. Such a conceit would resonate with the portrayal of other monstrous deities in the *Agamemnon*, such as the Erinyes.

(iii) What dramatic purpose might κείφει serve, and would it offer a better sense than νείφει? The daimon who arouses the desire to lap blood (ἔφως αἰματολοιχός) is described as "thricegorged" (τριπάχυντον 1476) and assimilated to a crow perched (ἐκταθείς) on top of a corpse⁴⁹ (1472–1473). The bestial nature of the daimon comes through also in the use of χηλῆ βαφεία (1660) to describe its clawed or cloven feet.⁵⁰ Therefore, the feeling he engenders, ἔφως αἰματολοιχός, acquires the traits of a flesh-eating beast that licks blood, an image that can be illustrated from Ag. 827–828 ἀμηστὴς λέων / ἄδην ἕλειξεν αἵματος τυφαννικοῦ, Sept. 692 ἀμοδακής ... ἵμεφος.⁵¹ Precisely, one of the meanings of κείφω in Homer is "tear, eat greedily, of

⁴⁶ H. Friis Johansen and E. W. Whittle, *Aeschylus. The Suppliants* II (Copenhagen 1980) 596–597; see also W. S. Barrett, *Euripides. Hippolytus* (Oxford 1964) 325, on ellipse of the object in Eur. *Hipp.* 848–851.

⁴⁷ See Fraenkel's note, Aeschylus, Agamemnon II 193.

⁴⁸ For a parallel of an asyndetic verb without a direct object see T. W. C. Stinton, *Euripides and the Judgement of Paris* (London 1965) 1–77, at 71, on Eur. *Andr.* 289. As for the explicative asyndeton that the reading κείφει (or any other verb) would introduce in 1478–1480, see e.g. *Ag.* 951, 1261, 1284, 1428, and Fraenkel, *Aeschylus, Agamemnon* II 432–433, III 582, 597, 673.

⁴⁹ Fraenkel, Aeschylus, Agamemnon III 699–700.

⁵⁰ See Italie, *Index Aeschyleus* 237 s.v. "ungula, unguis." H. D. Broadhead, *The Persae of Aeschylus* (Cambridge 1960) 84.

 $^{^{51}}$ Cf. also Opp. $\it{Hal.}\,\,2.453$ τόσσος ἔρως καὶ τοῖσιν ἐν ἰχθύσιν αἴματος ἀνδρών.

beasts" (LSJ s.v. III.1):⁵² Il. 11.560 (a donkey) μείφει τ' εἰσελθῶν βαθὺ λήϊον (cf. Lycoph. Alex. 215 λέοντα μείφοντα ὀδόντι μαὶ γνάθοις λήϊον), Il. 21.203–204 (eels and fishes) τὸν μὲν ἄᾳ' ἐγχέλυές τε μαὶ ἰχθύες ἀμφεπένοντο / δημὸν ἐφεπτόμενοι ἐπνεφρίδιον μείφοντες, Od. 11.578 (vultures) γῦπε δέ μιν ἐμάτερθε παφημένω ἡπαφ ἔμειφον. It would be expected for such a beast-like daimon to be said to "devour" (μείφει) the race of Tantalus, just as its intervention is said to "bite" the chorus's heart: 1469–1471 δαῖμον, ὸς ... / μράτος <τ'> ἰσόψυχον ἐμ γυναιμῶν / μαφδιόδημτον ἐμοὶ μφατύνεις. ⁵³ Furthermore, the daimon is closely linked to acts of human flesh-eating in that he metes out punishment for the cannibalistic feast served by Atreus: 1501–1502 τοῦδ' ὁ παλαιὸς δριμὺς ἀλάστωρ / Ἀτρέως χαλεποῦ θοινατῆρος.

(iv) The Erinyes are traditionally said to drink blood. In Ag. 1188–1190 Aeschylus portrays these demons as a chorus of blood-drinking (καὶ μὴν πεπωκώς γ', ... / βρότειον αἶμα) revellers. More relevantly, the Erinyes are described also as beast-like monsters (Ευπ. 192–193 λέοντος ἄντρον αἰματορ-ρόφον / οἰκεῖν τοιαύτας εἰκός) intent on feeding on human blood (264–266 ἀλλ' ἀντιδοῦναι δεῖ σ' ἀπὸ ζῶντος ἑοφεῖν / ἐρυθρὸν ἐκ μελέων πελανόν· ἀπὸ δὲ σοῦ / βοσκὰν φεροίμαν πώματος δυσπότου). 55 Another entity closely related to the action of the alastor, Ares, is portrayed as forcing his way through human blood in order to render justice to the blood clots left out after the eating of Thyestes' children: 56 1509–1512 βιάζε-

⁵² Hsch. κ 2006 κείφει· τέμνει. κατεσθίει, Suda κ 1478, Eust. Il. 3.253.22, schol. Il. 11.559 [κείφει] κόπτει τοῖς ὀδοῦσιν. ἐσθίει. μεταφοφικῶς. See also H. Ebeling, Lexicon Homericum I (Leipzig 1885) 745 s.v. κείφω 2, "depascor."

⁵³ Contrast Ag. 740 δηξίθυμον ἔρωτος ἄνθος. The link between καρδιόδηκτος and δηξίθυμος had been noticed by Blomfield, Aeschyli Agamennon 305, and Schneidewin, Aeschylos Agamennon 176.

⁵⁴ Pearson, The Fragments of Sophocles III 7–8.

⁵⁵ The connection between αἰματολοιχός and the Erinyes as seen in *Eum.* 193, 205, 305 had been noticed already by Klausen, *Aeschyli quae supersunt* 274

⁵⁶ Schneidewin, *Aeschylos Agamemnon* 180, identifies μέλας Άρης with ἔρως αίματολοιχός.

ται δ' ὁμοσπόροις / ἐπιροσαῖσιν αἰμάτων / μέλας Ἄρης, ὅποι δίκαν προβαίνων / πάχναι κουροβόρωι παρέξει. The characterization of the *alastor* as a carnivorous monster that eats up (κείρει) the Tantalids, which I propose, fits well and parallels thematically the gruesome portrayal of other avenging deities, such as the Erinyes and Ares, as devouring fiends⁵⁷ intent on punishing the ghastly feeding of Thyestes' children to his father.

Taking arguments i-iv into account, the text and punctuation I propose for Ag. 1478–1480 are as follows (West's colometry:

έκ τοῦ γὰς ἔςως αἰματολοιχὸς κείςει· τρέφεται πρὶν καταλήξαι τὸ παλαιὸν ἄχος νέος ἰχώς. 1480

It is from him that comes the desire to lick blood that devours (them): before the old wound ceases, new pus is bred.⁵⁸

February, 2009

Dept. de Ciencias de la Antigüedad Facultad de Filosofía y Letras Universidad de Extremadura Avda. de la Universidad, s/n Cáceres 10071, Spain mlibmor@unex.es

⁵⁷ On Ares' portrait as a flesh-eating fiend in *Agamemnon* see Verrall, *The Agamemnon of Aeschylus* 84, and compare Hom. *Il.* 5.289 αἴματος ἀσαι Ἄρηα, Aesch. *Sept.* 244 τούτφ γὰρ Ἄρης βόσκεται, φόνφ βροτῶν.

⁵⁸ This article is a part of a research project (HUM 2005–04375) financed by the M. E. C. of Spain. I wish to express my deep gratitude to the readers for *GRBS* for their helpful suggestions and criticisms. I regret that I was unable to consult A. H. Sommerstein, *Aeschylus* II *Oresteia* (Cambridge [Mass.]/London 2009).