## New Fragments of Scholia on Sophocles' Ajax

## Morton Smith

**T**HE MONASTERY OF MAR SABA, a few miles southeast of Jerusalem, has a number of late Greek manuscripts, fragments of older manuscripts, and printed books containing considerable manuscript material. A catalogue of seventy-six of these items, by the present author, has been submitted for publication to *Nea Sion*, the periodical of the Patriarchate of Jerusalem. Among the items catalogued is one (No. 21) which may be of interest to classical scholars. This is a copy of the book  $E\dot{v}\chi a\dot{\iota} \tau o\hat{\upsilon} \lambda \nu \chi \nu \kappa o\hat{\upsilon} \kappa a\dot{\iota}$  $\tau o\hat{\upsilon} \, \delta \rho \theta \rho o \upsilon$ , ed. Venice, 1746, in which are pasted to the inside of the front and back covers two pages from a fifteenth century paper manuscript of the *Ajax* of Sophocles, 188 x 123 mm., with marginal scholia and interlinear glosses (see Plates 3 and 4).

The text and scholia are in dark brown ink in a good book hand, rather square, the hand of a practiced writer writing carefully but rapidly. Many ligatures and abbreviations are used in the scholia and even more in the interlinear glosses, which are in a pale yellow ink, as are the headings indicating the speakers. In the glosses the hand is slightly hastier, not so square, more fluent than in the text and scholia. In the text, a few letters omitted in first writing have been added above the lines, the places for the addition being indicated by sublinear carets. These corrections were made by the original hand before the writing of the glosses, which avoid them.

At present only the verso of the first page and the recto of the second can be read, though enough can be seen where the paper is loose to prove that text, glosses, and scholia continued on the sides now pasted down. *Folio 1 verso* (Plate 3) contains lines 32 through 44; 2 recto (plate 4), lines 45 through 56. In line 32 the text reads

min agaian un propris ouper vopers Reac . MAD PAY: אמו הי הביו ווחו אשר עומי וומי ועל אי אייני איי wonwog &vairanzapi 35 Towniol אין חושומו שיל מעבצ ל איי. Trommo Duppos de Sovuernía Down on gos wou gos mou lo wie מוז מדוי מי לף סב הסי לברומיף למוחמין העוסטו, יש והפי רוומי אין ווקסע שטיי בעקרא ע. 40 202 a Baupin JUC IT and Na on) Le y a bol and the month of the service of the former of t Soxwir in upiny mpar por vada 40' vw אישיודים איש עישה באוספ ראיוגודם איי שיסוט א במע מעוד או במ א מעעעה איס איסאי א מסע ביו מעוד ב וחיף עסאסדוקטר עריקר המאיב אא טמען הודמיני.

אישידאי איאאאי יפודידיאז אפייי א אמילטי א דע דעי ביוטי ידוסב ילי גיעטייל באוברטי xieva ça op we win work planted to The super Travie, 45 יהוינוטוווטא אשובוומוכ אב איי אביי אי שי New ayo עטאודעף ב לטעונב של אוסב ס קושי ודינים " דוני דסובי . אי אנתו התפני קוו אמי וחידו ל עושי עה אב ודי nan anidianaichivaparriliaim ana Mancentrof Japanasma i Two this map for Suntop are ino 14 Tomme Baryon an Inter an art אייטשו איז חנטי שרעוניאר אייטאר אייטאר Addite maker wohow of our programme 55 เมื่อพี่เพลงนั่ง,เม่นุยะเสมิปันรูมงสต่งอง Hing page por unitologing is Dant The sea we was the of the gay a many tow I got and

TU. TX TELES POEMORS TO JOVA 5% ALVERE - MARXIERE THY MAY יייאבישה בי בטלקחהו אמלשע אני אי בהבאצניו אי דףיאאי אורפדנ אמע י ידאי שבועמרו דשו שניי אמולמר מילעאודת אר בי את אטאני באפטאו zer on Jah Leber anageitas. auger gaverede Basigeundige DEPERTE TENTE our Dischopois neap 2 MBENOV THE EXILSON . OTS HIS DOENY אל א אניבוב - אין ביל דועני אין איאוראי דצ יוז (TETE) TRUTE TROETE SHERY הערי דבי שבי לצ אביי י הי בי גיא אווים ידי דהי הי אידר שני דו היצא האש · Dev Tov TREADS Sidya De Tille 6 TRETIONES TRUTH , M' TUT The HULLANY & TITEZESI , TWYTH TH TROIS SUTHILKY MATHER TO I TOT WING WY BE WYE BUY דאי ביקיאבערוץ י ארבסטנאו דאו קעיע XERVITY SE DOIVAS THEW SLOTONS M צוק התף שליז אמרותו, דז העוז נידלט

MS. MAR SABA 21, folio 2 recto

PLATE 4 Smith

 $di\sigma\sigma\omega$  for  $d\sigma\sigma\omega$ ; in 33,  $\sigma\sigma\nu$  with L; in 45,  $d\xi\epsilon\pi\rho\alpha\xi\epsilon\nu$  with A against L, its only significant variation from the text of Jebb. The last word of line 52 has been obliterated and there are a few minor mistakes, mostly iotacisms and omissions of final *nu*. *Iota* subscript is written only once (in  $\eta\xi\epsilon$ , line 40). The interlinear glosses add explanatory words, or give more familiar (but mostly classical) equivalents for the words in the text.

The scholia are written beside lines 32, 37, 39, 41, 50, 52, and 55. Those beside 50 and 52 are introduced by capital *epsilons* (in that beside 50 this letter serves as the first letter of the scholion, in that beside 52 it does not). The beginnings of the lines of the other scholia have been lost. Since some of the scholia are among those printed in the edition of Brunck (*Scholia graeca in Sophoclem*, ed. altera, Oxford, 1810), mostly among the Byzantine scholia which he prints as footnotes to his pages, it can be seen that about six letters have been lost from the beginnings of the lines of the lines of the scholia on 1 *verso*, and a like number from the ends of the lines of 2 *recto*. The scholia read as follows:

Beside line 32 = Brunck, page 4, footnote on 33, from  $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ aitiatik $\hat{\omega} \nu$  to end, + Brunck, page 5, footnote on 40,  $\pi \rho \delta_S \pi \sigma \delta_0 \nu$  $\sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \delta \nu \dot{a} \phi \sigma \rho \hat{\omega} \nu$ . The MS has replaced the  $-i\kappa \hat{\omega} \nu$  of aitiatik $\hat{\omega} \nu$ by what seems to be  $\lambda \uparrow$ , reads où  $\delta \hat{\epsilon}$  for the first  $\sigma \tilde{v} \tau \epsilon$ , and 'Attik $\hat{\omega}$ 's for 'Attikoî's. Between the two passages paralleled from Brunck it has the words  $\kappa a \lambda \pi \rho \dots \nu$ .

Beside line 37 = Brunck, page 5, footnote on 40, from  $\delta\eta\lambda o\nu \delta\tau i$  $\pi\rho\delta s \pi \sigma \delta \nu \sigma \kappa \sigma \pi \delta \nu$  to end. The MS adds  $\kappa a i$  after  $\delta\eta\lambda o\nu \delta\tau i$ , has an *iota* (or a blot?) between  $\pi\rho\delta s$  and  $\pi \sigma \delta \nu$ , omits  $\delta\nu\tau i$ before  $\epsilon\pi i\rho\delta\eta\mu a\tau os$ , and probably again before  $\tau\sigma v$ , and omits  $\eta\lambda\theta\epsilon\nu$  after  $\tau a\chi v s$ .

Beside line 39: ]'.νω ἐβαρυν ].ὴ γὰρ σκότω-]κάρον ὡς ὁ

Beside line 41: Lines 1–6 = Brunck, page 5, footnote on 42, from  $\beta \dot{\alpha} \sigma \iota \nu \dot{\epsilon} \pi \sigma \iota \eta \sigma \sigma \tau \sigma$  to end. The MS reads  $\kappa \alpha \tau \dot{\alpha}$  for the first  $\kappa \alpha \iota$ ,  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega$  for  $\theta \dot{\epsilon} \lambda \omega \nu$ ,  $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota \nu$  for  $\dot{\epsilon} \mu \pi i \pi \tau \epsilon \iota$ ,  $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \omega \nu$  for  $\phi \dot{\epsilon} \nu \sigma \nu$ ,

MORTON SMITH

and for κυκλοῦντα βάσιν has...λοῦντευβα.. Hereupon follows: ].ἕνεκεν ἐρχόμενος ].τῆς ποιμνΐας ].ει γάρ φαμεν τὸ ]. ἐμπίπτει ὁ ἄνθρωπος ].μίοις τὸ δὲ ἐ ].ὁ ἅνθρωπος βάσιν.

This fragment seems to be related to the scholion of 'Demetrius Triclinius' on line 42, printed in Brunck, page 334, but the parallelism is not close. In the MS it is followed immediately by the last lines of the scholion already written above, beside line 37, from  $\hat{\eta}\xi\epsilon\nu$  to the end. This time the MS varies from Brunck by reading  $\eta$  instead of  $\kappa\alpha i$  before  $\epsilon\kappa i\nu\eta\sigma\epsilon\nu$ , omitting  $o\tilde{\nu}\tau\omega \chi\epsilon\hat{\nu}\rho\alpha$ , omitting  $\delta\epsilon$  after  $\epsilon\sigma\tau\iota$  and  $d\nu\tau\iota$   $\tau\circ\hat{\nu}$  after  $\epsilon\pi\iota\rho\dot{\rho}\eta\mu\alpha\tau\sigma$ s, adding  $\kappa\alpha i$  after  $\epsilon\pi\iota\rho\dot{\rho}\eta\mu\alpha\tau\sigma$ s, and omitting  $\tau\circ\hat{\nu}$  before  $\tau\alpha\chi\epsilon\omega$ s.

Beside line 50 = Brunck, page 5, footnote on 50, from  $\epsilon \pi \epsilon \chi \omega$  to end. No variants from Brunck.

Beside line 52 = Brunck, page 6, footnote on 51, from  $\epsilon \upsilon \phi o \rho o \nu$ to end. The MS reads  $\delta \upsilon \sigma \phi o \rho o \nu$  instead of  $\delta \upsilon \sigma \kappa o \lambda o \nu \delta \epsilon$  and omits  $\tau o \upsilon$  after  $d \nu \tau \iota$ .

Here follows Brunck, page 6, on 55, from  $\delta \iota \dot{a} \tau \dot{o} \tau \eta \nu \pi \rho \dot{\omega} \tau \eta \nu$ to end. The MS adds  $\tau \eta \nu$  before  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \nu$  and reads  $\delta \dot{\epsilon}$  instead of  $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$  after  $\mu \epsilon \tau \alpha \phi \rho \hat{\alpha} s$ . This is the only one of the scholia in the MS to appear also in the editions of P. Elmsley (*Scholia in Sophoclis tragoedias septem*, Oxford, 1825, page 205, on line 56) and P. Papageorgius (*Scholia in Sophoclis tragoedias vetera*, Leipzig, 1888, page 7, on line 56). Papageorgius agrees with our MS by adding  $\tau \eta \nu$  before  $\dot{\rho} \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \nu$ , but he adds it in pointed brackets. Both he and Elmsley read  $\kappa \alpha \dot{\iota}$  with Brunck, against this MS, and both read  $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \alpha$  instead of  $\mu \epsilon \gamma \dot{\alpha} \lambda \omega s$ , against both Brunck and the manuscript.

COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

November 1959