The Mask of the *Pseudokore*
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Among the new comedy masks listed by Pollux, two which belong to the group classed as 'young women' are named *pseudokore* and *hetera pseudokore* and are described by him (4.152) as follows:

&eta; δε ψευδοκόρη λευκοτέρα την χροιάν, και περὶ το βρέγμα δέδεται τὰς τρίχας, καὶ ἕοικε νεογάμῳ. &eta; δ' ἑτέρα ψευδοκόρη διαγινώκεται μόνῳ τῶν ἀδιακρίτω τῆς κόμης.

"The *pseudokore* is paler and her hair is bound round the front part of the head, and she resembles a newly-wedded bride. The second *pseudokore* is distinguished from the first only by not having her hair parted."

If Pollux is describing masks that were actually used by actors in theatrical performances, the *pseudokore* masks pose a difficulty since such a stock-type does not appear in Greek comedy. In an attempt to find a female dramatic character that could be an appropriate candidate for donning this mask, Carl Robert was the first to interpret the term *pseudokore* as referring to a young woman who has been raped before her marriage and therefore is not a *kore*, a 'virgin', but rather a *pseudokore*, namely a 'pseudo-virgin'.

Robert's interpretation is questionable in two respects. If ἕοικε νεογάμῳ means, as Robert understands it, ‘a young married woman’, then the term *pseudokore* is otiose, for we assume that, in the natural course of events, all women once married, are not virgins any more; therefore, if we follow Robert's reasoning, all of them, regardless of their premarital history, should be considered *pseudokorai* and don that mask. Moreover, the combining element *pseudo-* denotes pretension, deception, falsehood. Accordingly we should expect the *pseudokore* to pretend that she is a virgin. Yet none of the female characters mentioned by Robert, or,

1 C. Robert, *Die Masken der neueren attischen Komedie* (Halle 1911) 41 n.2, 74; Robert's interpretation is adopted by M. Bieber, *Die Denkmaler zum Theaterwesen im Altertum* (Berlin and Leipzig 1920) 167 (no.158); 170 (no.175); *idem, RE* 14 (1930) 2102,7; 2013,8; *idem, The History of the Greek and Roman Theater* (Princeton 1961) 97.

2 According to Robert (supra n.1) the description ἕοικε νεογάμῳ applies only to *pseudokore* and not to *hetera pseudokore*; see below.
for that matter, any other female character in comedy, ever pretends to be a virgin when she is not. Thus, if we were to accept Robert’s interpretation, we would have to assume that the combining element *pseudo-*, if prefixed to the noun *kore*, conveys the idea of simple negation, while in all other compound words in which it is found it denotes pretension and deception.

Simon accepts Robert’s interpretation that the *pseudokore* is a pseudo-virgin, but she challenges his assertion that the *pseudokore* is a married woman raped before her marriage, like Pamphile in the *Epitrepontes*, and that the *hetera pseudokore* is a wronged girl not yet married, like Phaedria in the *Aulularia* and Glycerium in the *Andria*.³ As Robert himself admitted and as Simon correctly emphasizes, such girls do not appear on stage, and there is no need to have a mask for them. Therefore Simon proposes to construe the term *pseudokore* as referring to a girl who lives in concubinage with her lover but whose respectable father will be found in the course of the play, whereupon she will be recognized as a *kore*, *i.e.*, a *puella honesta*, and a legitimate marriage with her lover would become possible. The term *pseudokore* applies only to a concubine who may be lifted from the status of concubinage to legitimate marriage and who *EOLKE*, which—according to Simon—does not mean ‘newly-wedded bride’ but is a description of the relationship between the girl and her lover; he loves her as if she were his legitimate wife, and she does not simulate her affection, as a *hetaera* does, but loves him truly in return.

Although the motif of the long-lost girl—separated from her family by unfortunate incidents and ultimately found to be the daughter of a respectable citizen who lives next door to her lover’s family—is a stock theme of New Comedy, it is highly unlikely that she can be identified as *pseudokore*. The difficulty lies again (a) in the notion of deception implied in the combining element *pseudo-*, which is not accounted for by Simon’s interpretation, and (b) in the description of the mask as resembling a ‘newly wedded bride’. Simon’s claim (p.103) that these words pertain to ‘*die Situation und das Gehaben der Pseudokoren, nicht aber auf eine Besonderheit an der Maske*’ is untenable, for Pollux throughout his catalogue describes only peculiarities of masks and not the behaviour of the stock-type that

dons it or the situation in which he is involved. Moreover, it is quite
difficult to believe that the words ‘resembles a newly wedded bride’
can carry the burden of Simon’s explanation, viz., a girl in a state of
concubinage who is truly loved by her lover and loves him in return.

To sum up: the hitherto accepted interpretation of *pseudokore* as a
pseudo-virgin does not satisfactorily explain the words *EOLK€ v€oyaJ1-CfJ*
and does not account for the notion of deception implied in that term.
These two difficulties would find their explanation if we assume that
the *pseudokore* is not a kore at all but a male dressed as a bride and
substituted for her on the wedding day.

The substitution of another person for the one who is the subject
of passion is a popular narrative topic. It “belongs to the most wide­
spread folk-lore traditions.”

Frazer describes it as a marriage custom
known under the name of ‘False Bride’: ‘... when the bridegroom
or his representative comes to fetch the bride from her home, a false
bride is substituted for the real one ... or even a man being palmed off
as the bride.”

In the extant Greek and Roman comedies we have
only one example of such a substitution, in the mock-wedding cere­
mony at the end of Plautus’ *Casina*, when Chalinus is dressed up as a
bride and wed to Olympio. Skutsch, however, found a parallel for the
dressing up of Chalinus as Casina in the story of Hercules and Omphale
told by Ovid (*Fasti* 2.303ff), where Hercules dressed as Omphale
receives her lover Faunus. A number of plays on the Hercules–Omphale
theme are known in the Greek comic tradition, and they suggest
that the “transvestite motif, as it is developed in Plautus’ *Casina,*” was
known in Greek drama. Moreover, titles of plays (such as *Maccus
Virgo*) from literary Atellan farce, which was influenced by the themes
of Greek New Comedy, suggest dressing of males in female clothes.

---

6 F. Skutsch, “Ein Prolog des Diphilos und eine Komödie des Plautus,” *RhM* 55 (1900)
272ff; cf. also F. Bömer, *P. Ovidius Naso, Die Fasten* II (Heidelberg 1958) 104; E. G. Suhr,
“Hercules and Omphale,” *AFA* 57 (1953) 251ff.
7 The titles of the plays are collected by W. T. MacCary, “The Comic Tradition and Comic
8 MacCary, *op.cit.* (supra n.7) 197ff; cf. also J. M. Cody, “The senex amator in Plautus’
Casina,” *Hermes* 104 (1976) 473ff; see also T. B. L. Webster, *Studies in Later Greek Comedy*
(Manchester 1953) 161; W. R. Halliday, *The Greek Questions of Plutarch* (Oxford 1928) 188,
212ff.
9 P. Frassinetti, *Atellanae Fabulae* (Roma 1967) 37, and 103 note on Kalendae Martiae; Cody,
*op.cit.* (supra n.8) 475: “... the later Atellan literary farce was influenced by the themes of
All female parts in Greek comedy were played by male actors dressed in female costumes and masks. An actor playing the part of a male dressed as a bride needs a special mask which would indicate that the actor donning it is a male disguised as a female. Such a special mask is that of the *pseudokore*. The features of the mask have to be different from those of a *kore*-mask, and the difference has to be easily discernible, so that the audience on seeing the mask would be aware of the impersonation. Chalinus, dressed up as a bride, would have to be recognizable as a false-bride—among other means, also by the mask of the *pseudokore* that indicated the deception. 10

If this interpretation of the *pseudokore* mask is correct, it may add an argument in favour of the Greek origin of the false-bride scene in Plautus' *Casina*.
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Greek New Comedy”; O. Szemerényi, “The Origins of Roman Drama and Greek Tragedy,” *Hermes* 103 (1975) 319: “Greek drama seems . . . to be the sole fountain-head of European stage-craft.”

10 For identifications of *pseudokore* masks, cf. T. B. L. Webster, *Monuments Illustrating New Comedy* (London 1969) 22ff. As an almost certain identification of mask no.34, Webster cites terracotta C.309 in H. B. Walters, *Catalogue of the Terracottas in the Department of Greek and Roman Antiquities, British Museum* (London 1903), a photograph of which appears in Pickard-Cambridge, *op. cit.* (supra n.3) fig. 133. The terracotta statuette of a pregnant woman proposed as an identification by Bieber, *Theater* (supra n.1) 97, fig. 355, does not fit Pollux’s description. She has her hair “bound on the upper head,” whereas Pollux describes the hair as “bound round the front part of the head.” The difficulty presented by Pollux’s distinction of two *pseudokore* masks is treated by Webster, *op. cit.* (supra n.3) 86, who rightly rejects Simon’s theory of slightly distinct character types only to invent a similar theory of his own. We should note that the masks did not come into being all at once (cf. Pickard-Cambridge, *op. cit.* [supra n.3] 229, “There was no clear break at the beginning of New Comedy, and some [masks] have origins in Middle Comedy and earlier”). Perhaps one of the masks of the *pseudokore* that Pollux describes was used in Middle Comedy and the second added in New Comedy. Such a chronological divergence would account for the difference in hair-style, which tends to follow trends of fashion. Pollux describes a ‘stock’ of masks used by a theatrical troupe. There may very well have been a choice of several masks for each stock character, used alternatively in different performances.