Hyperbaton in the Greek Literary Sentence

Daniel Markovic

My aim in this study is to draw attention to the rhetorical use of hyperbaton, i.e., a wider than necessary separation of two or more syntactically closely connected words or groups of words, for signaling or reinforcing the end of syntactical and semantic units in the Greek (and, by analogy, Latin) literary sentence. I argue that, since one of the most salient functions of hyperbaton in Greek literary language is to signal or reinforce the end of a colon\(^1\) or sentence,\(^2\) the figure belongs to the set of those rhetorical devices that should

---

\(^1\) I use the term “colon” to designate a syntactically, semantically, and rhythmically more or less complete segment of the sentence, i.e., the written equivalent of the intonational unit in spoken language (for W. Chafe’s term “intonational unit” see E. J. Bakker, *Poetry in Speech: Orality and Homeric Discourse* [Ithaca 1997 47 ff.]). My notion of colon has been formed by E. Fraenkel’s fundamental studies of the colon in Greek and Latin literature (“Kolon und Satz: Beobachtungen zur Gliederung des antiken Satzes, I,” *NachrGötting* [1932] 197–213 [= *Kleine Beiträge* I 73–92]; “Kolon und Satz: Beobachtungen zur Gliederung des Antiken Satzes, II,” *NachrGötting* [1933] 319–354 [= *Kleine Beitr. I* 93–130]; *Noch einmal Kolon und Satz* [Munich 1965]); the results of Fraenkel’s pioneering work have been amply confirmed, e.g., by studies in the development of ancient punctuation (R. W. Müller, *Rhetorische und syntaktische Interpunktion: Untersuchungen zur Pausenbezeichnung im antiken Latein* [Tübingen 1964] 124–127), and by application of pragmatic analysis of language use on Ancient Greek (H. J. M. Dik, *Word Order in Ancient Greek: A Pragmatic Account of Word Order Variation in Herodotus* [Amsterdam 1995] 36 n.67).

\(^2\) By sentence I mean the syntactically and semantically complete unit the end of which would in modern languages be marked by a period.
be considered the ancestors of the later developed system of punctuation.

The words “wider than necessary separation” in my definition of hyperbaton perhaps deserve further explanation, especially since, as my examples will show, the definition includes not only the separation of a noun and its attribute, but also the separation of such phrases as a verb and its direct or indirect object, a verb and its adverb (including tmesis), a verb and a complementary infinitive, or the elements of a prepositional phrase. First, “necessary” indicates that I do not include separation caused by the standard rules of Greek grammar, such as the placement of postpositive particles after one or more words at the beginning of an utterance, or placement of attributive genitive between the article and the noun. Second, “wider” indicates that I do not count as a case of hyperbaton an instance in which, for example, a verb and its object are separated only by the subject. On the other hand, “wider” does not always refer to actual distance, since the separation of an adjective and a noun by a verb is one of the most common cases of hyperbaton. In general, only when a part of speech is arguably placed farther away from its governing word (or vice versa) than it should be, I label the case as an instance of hyperbaton. The narrower notion of hyperbaton as separation of the substantive from its attribute, dominant in recent literature, threatens to obscure the underlying principles of the phenomenon I wish to explore.

The use of hyperbaton for signaling or reinforcing the end of

---

3 Also: genitive and the verb it depends on; subject and predicate; parts of a genitive absolute; interrogative pronoun and a noun; demonstrative pronoun and a noun; accusative and infinitive; comparative and genitive of comparison. Cf. L. Lindhamer, Zur Wortstellung im Griechischen: Eine Untersuchung über die Spaltung syntaktisch eng zusammengehöriger Glieder durch das Verbum (Leipzig 1908) 9–45 (who limits Spaltung to the cases in which the phrase is divided by a verb); also J. Schnayder, “Hyperbaton Xenophontis exempli illustratur,” Eos 56 (1966) 57–58 (whose list of phrases is wider).


syntactical and semantic units belongs to the features of oral tradition. Working in this tradition, or under its strong influence, Classical Greek authors had at their disposal a number of devices to reinforce the intonational segmentation of speech in a way that enabled the listening audience to follow it easily, clearly distinguishing its constituent parts. Some of these devices were purely lexical—for example, postpositive particles in both Greek and Latin clearly mark the beginning of an utterance. Other devices exploit the rhythmical, morphological, and semantic properties of the words. In poetry, it was the metrical structure of the verse that would provide the author with the means to clearly articulate his speech, and the audience with a set of expectations that could direct and facilitate their reception. But various other devices could be used for additional reinforcement of metrical structure. Among them, certain figures of speech have a prominent place. For example, consider the instances of repetition, chiasmus, and paraisa in the following verses from the *Iliad*:


8 Quotations from Homer are from the Teubner text of M. L. West, *Homeri Ilias* (Stuttgart 1998).

But this man wishes to be above all other men, he wishes to dominate all, and rule over them all, and command over them all … (1.287–289)

In prose, on the other hand, the meter is replaced by a less prominent rhythm. Thus for example Aristotle considers the paean ending with a long syllable to be a convenient signal for the end of a period (*Rh. 3.8 1409a*). Since in prose rhythm cannot support the entire structure of discourse, the figures of speech become even more important for its organization. In
the absence of metrical pattern, the dominant σχήματα λέξεως of early Greek literary prose, such as antithesis, isocolon, and chiasmus, are used to structure the speech. The following example from the Corpus Hippocraticum provides illustration for such use of antithesis, isocolon, and homoioteleuton:

[2] ἀλλ’ ὁσὶν [δὲ] ὑπὸ ψύξεως φανερῶς αὐτοῦ μόνον γίνεται, μηδὲνς ἄλλου συμπαραγεγομένου, πάσιν αὐτῇ ἢ ἀπαλλαγῇ, ἐκ μὲν τῆς ψύξεως διαθερμανθῆναι, ἐκ δὲ τοῦ καύματος διαψυχῆναι. ...

But those who are manifestly affected by cold alone, accompanied by nothing else, they all suffer the same change, warming up from the cold, cooling down from the heat, ...

(VM 18.3)

The great number of the σχήματα λέξεως which reinforce syntactical structure of speech reflects grammatical flexibility of the word order in Greek (and Latin). This flexibility was exploited particularly for demarcation or reinforcement of the colon or sentence ending. Postponement of the verb to the last place in the period, a feature prominent in Demosthenes, and one of the main characteristics of the periodic style in Golden Latin prose, is one well-known instance of such a manipulation of the word order. The effect of the postponement of the verb in the periodic style is that the main verb, being extracted to the final position in the period, emphatically completes its sense and rounds it off. Demarcation of the end of the whole utterance is thus achieved by a deliberate extrapolation of the

9 I.e., the figures of linguistic formulation.

10 S. R. Slings (“Figures of Speech and their Lookalikes: Two Further Exercises in the Pragmatics of the Greek Sentence,” in E. J. Bakker [ed.], Grammar as Interpretation: Greek Literature in its Linguistic Context [Leiden/New York 1997] 171–192) shows how the figures such as anaphora, antithesis, and chiasmus are used as organizational strategies within the clause or sentence in non-literary language. For example, analyzed according to the principles of functional grammar, many cases of anaphora show that, instead of being a literary “ornament,” the figure is used to divide transmitted information into chunks, and thus functions as a “chunking device.” In claiming these figures as property of grammar rather than style, Slings revives the ancient feud between the grammarians and rhetoricians over the domain of figures and tropes.

11 I quote from the Budé text of J. Jouanna, Hippocrate II.1 De l’ancienne médecine (Paris 1990).
verb to the end of the utterance. This feature is usually combined with a rhythmic clausula, so that the two effects, semantic completion and rhythmical signal, reinforce each other.

The effect of completion and rounding off the sense of a syntactical unit may also be achieved by hyperbaton. The figure consists of at least two separated elements of the same phrase, and it is the second or the last element of the phrase, the one that comes after the intervening part(s), that closes the sense of the syntactical unit and thus indicates its end. For example:

[3] ἐπιπλέοντες γὰρ τὰς νήσους κατεστρέφοντο, καὶ μᾶλλον ἵσσοι μὴ διαρκῇ εἶχον χάραν. κατὰ γὰρ δὲ …

For attacking with ships they subdued the islands, especially those men who did not possess sufficient land. By land … (Thuc. 1.15.1)  

The second element of the phrase separated by the verb εἶχον, namely the noun χάραν, completes the sense of the phrase and rounds off the syntactical and semantic unit, as the continuation of the text, κατὰ γὰρ δὲ, confirms.

This function of hyperbaton in the Greek literary sentence has so far been mainly overlooked by scholars. As for poetry, it is obvious that hyperbaton is one of the inevitable consequences of the metrical structure superimposed on speech, and prima facie the figure did not seem to call for further inquiry, apart from the study of the connection between the poetic word order and its metrical convenience. An analogous trend was developed in the study of prose: Roman rhetorical textbooks connect hyperbaton with the motive of seeking for a

---

12 Quotations from Thucydidides are from the OCT of H. S. Jones and J. E. Powell, Thucydidis historiae (Oxford 1963).

13 With very few exceptions, J. de Vries (Untersuchungen über die Sperrung von Substantiv und Attribut in der Sprache der attischen Redner [diss. Göttingen 1938] 4–11, 35–74) divides the cases of separation in Attic orators into two groups, the first comprising the separations that occur at the end of the sentence; in connection with this group, de Vries discusses the cohesive role of separation in the structure of the sentence of Attic orations. P. Hummel (La syntaxe de Pindare [Louvain/Paris 1993] 443–444) makes a general observation that separation in Pindar underlines syntactic cohesion and outlines the contours of syntactical units.
clausula, i.e., rhythmic ending of colon or period,\textsuperscript{14} and this connection has inevitably directed scholarly attention from the semantic aspect of separation to the rhythmic effect sought in the second element of hyperbaton, channeling research into the task of cataloguing the most prominent metrical patterns that serve as clausulae.\textsuperscript{15}

The most important reason, however, for overlooking this function of hyperbaton was the dominant understanding of the semantic effect of the figure in terms of emphasis\textsuperscript{16} and focus.\textsuperscript{17} The explanation of the mechanism of separation in Latin prose which J. Marouzeau offered in 1922 may be used to illustrate this approach. In separation, writes Marouzeau, the arrangement of words is such that the reader or listener has to preserve in mind the attribute until the moment when the noun will occur; it is this suspension, this prolongation in the consciousness of the listener, that puts an emphasis on the attribute.\textsuperscript{18} Similarly, in the most recent work on hyperbaton in Greek, Devine and Stephens interpret hyperbaton exclusively in connection with the focus in the sentence.\textsuperscript{19} It is beyond any doubt that

\textsuperscript{14}E.g., Rhet.Her. 4.44; Quint. Inst. 8.6.64. Cf. E. Norden, Die antike Kunstprosa (Leipzig/Berlin 1909) 65–69; de Foucault, RevPhil 38 (1964) 68.

\textsuperscript{15}E.g., Norden, Kunstprosa 909–960.

\textsuperscript{16}Concluding his chapter on hyperbaton with discussion of Lindhamer’s list of five motives for its use in Greek, J. D. Denniston (Greek Prose Style [Oxford 1952] 58–59) is doubtful regarding the fourth motive, namely seeking for clausulae, on account of the uncertainty of their rhythmic laws in Greek. Regarding emphasis, he writes: “The fifth motive [sc. emphasis] seems to be far more important than the rest.”

\textsuperscript{17}In pragmatic language analysis, a clause is explained as a chunk of new information connected to the already-given information; the connecting element, the thing “about” which a predication is given, is called topic; focus is the most important new information in reference to the given topic (see Dik, Word Order 23–30).

\textsuperscript{18}J. Marouzeau, L’ordre des mots dans la phrase latine (Paris 1922) 219. Cf. de Foucault, RevPhil 38 (1964) 68.

\textsuperscript{19}E.g., they conclude that the main type of hyperbaton in Greek, namely a discontinuous phrase in which the noun is preceded by its modifier, is in prose consistently associated with strong focus; in verse they allow that the hyperbaton encodes not only strong focus, but also weak focus (Devine and Stephens, Discontinuous Syntax 107).
this approach yields valuable interpretative results; what I wish to suggest here is that it does not exhaust all the aspects of the use of this figure in Greek (and Latin) literature.\textsuperscript{20}

Thus I argue that the suspension described by Marouzeau is one of the most effective ways of signaling or reinforcing the end of a syntactical and semantic unit, and the pause it entails. But it is not only the expectation created by an attribute and fulfilled by the eventual occurrence of the noun that effectively rounds off a clause; in the cases where an appositive attribute follows the noun, the link that our mind creates after being directed back towards the preceding separated noun rounds off the clause in an equally efficient way. For example:

\begin{quote}
[4] τοῦ δὲ ἑπτηγμονοῦ χειμῶνος ἄρχομένου εὐθὺς οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι, ἐπειδὴ τὰ Κάρνεια ἦγαγον, ἔξεστινευσάν, καὶ ἀρχικόμενοι ἐς Τεγέαν λόγους προύσεμπαν ἐς τὸ Ἀργὸς ξυμβατηρίους. ἤσαν δὲ αὐτοὶς...
\end{quote}

After the beginning of the following winter the Lacedaemonians, after they celebrated the Carnean festival, immediately marched out, and upon arriving at Tegea they sent to Argos proposals for agreement. They had ... (Thuc. 5.76.1)

In the second part of the sentence, the adjective ξυμβατηρίους is separated from the noun it modifies, namely λόγους (the focus, i.e., predication about the topic, οἱ Λακεδαιμόνιοι). Here it is not the fulfillment of expectation that rounds off the unit, since λόγους does not create any immediate expectation in the mind of the reader; the sentence is rather rounded off by the fact that ξυμβατηρίους adds new information to λόγους, creating a connection that spans the last section of the sentence.\textsuperscript{21}

By now I have described two main types of separation, the one in which the second element closes the sense of the phrase

\begin{quote}
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{20} The third prominent way of interpretation, which I do not discuss here as it is not relevant for my argument, stems from Ps.-Longinus, according to whom hyperbaton conveys emotional excitement: Subl. 22. Cf. de Vries’ “Auszdrucksintensität” (Untersuchungen 77 ff.).

\textsuperscript{21} Also, note that the structure of the sentence conforms to O. Behaghel’s law of increasing cola (“Beziehungen zwischen Umfang und Reihenfolge von Satzgliedern,” IndogermForsch 25 [1909] 110–142).
by fulfilling expectation, and the other in which the second element closes the sense of the phrase by adding a circumstance.\textsuperscript{22}

In the remainder of this study I seek to provide some typical examples of the use of hyperbaton for demarcation of the end of colon or sentence in Greek verse and literary prose. My examples come from Homeric poetry, elegy, and fifth-century poetry and prose.

_Hexameter: Homer_

Let us consider the opening lines of the _Iliad:_

\[5\] \begin{align*}
\text{Μήνιν ἀείδε, θεά, Πηληνάδεω Ἄχιλής,} \notag \\
\text{οὐλομένην, ἦ μορί ‘Ἄχαιοις ἄλγε ἔθηκεν.} \notag \\
\text{πολλάς δ’ ἱφθίμους ψυχάς ‘Αἴδι προῖσαν} \notag \\
\text{ηρώων, αὐτοῖς δὲ ἐλώρια τεῦχε κύνησιν} \notag \\
\text{οἰωνοῖσι τε πάσι, Δίός δ’ ἐτελείετο βουλή,} \notag \\
\text{ἐξ οὗ δὴ τά πρῶτα διαστήμην ἔρισαντε} \notag \\
\text{‘Ἀτρείδης τε ἄναξ ἀνδρῶν καὶ δίος Ἄχιλλεύς.} \notag
\end{align*}

The wrath sing, goddess, of the son of Peleus, Achilles, the deadly wrath, which put on the Achaeans infinite griefs, and hurled many mighty souls of heroes to Hades, and gave their bodies as prey to the dogs and all the birds, and the will of Zeus was accomplished, since the moment when first the son of Atreus, lord of the people, and divine Achilles stood apart in quarrel. (Il. 1.1–7)

The first line is framed by the opening noun and its attributes,\textsuperscript{23} and the editor (M. L. West) adds a comma after the attributes, at the end of the verse. In line 2, οὐλομένην is in apposition to μήνιν. Once again, the punctuation of the editor indicates that the participle is followed by a pause. The same sort of separation occurs in lines 3 and 4, where ἡρώων, fol-

\textsuperscript{22} The two modes remind one of the distinctive features of Aristotle’s λέξεις κατεστραμμένη and λέξεις εἰρομένη (Rh. 1409a ff.). Cf. Bakker, _Poetry_ 36–39.

\textsuperscript{23} Fraenkel (Noch einmal) demonstrates that in both Greek and Latin the insertion of a vocative occurs strictly at the boundary between the cola or semi-cola. Pragmatic analysis of language use confirms and clarifies his findings. According to this analysis, μήνιν ἀείδε is a self-contained clause consisting of the topic (μήνιν) and the focus (ἀείδε), while Πηληνάδεω Ἄχιλής is a tail (“tail” provides additional information about the clause). Cf. S. R. Slings, “Information Unit and Metrical Unit,” in A. Bagordo and B. Zimmermann (eds.), _Bakchylides_ (Munich 2000) 113–130, at 114–115.
followed by a pause, modifies πολλάς ἱφθίμους ψυχάς from the preceding line.

In line 5, after the attribute Διός the sense is not complete until we reach the noun βουλή, which rounds off the clause framed by the two separated elements. For this type I shall use the term “framing hyperbaton.”

In line 2, μορία and ἀλέγεα are followed by the verb ἔθηκεν, which completes the sense and ends the clause. The reason why in this case the separated adjective and noun do not round off a clause is the fact that they cause another separation, i.e., that they are interlaced with another separated phrase, namely the verb ἔθηκεν and its indirect object, Ἀχιοί. The conclusive effect of the second hyperbaton is strengthened by interlacing with the preceding one. I shall call this type “interlaced hyperbaton.”

The most frequent type of hyperbaton in Homer occurs at the end of the verse, so that the end of a syntactical unit coincides with the end of the metrical unit, as in the following example:

But this man wishes to be above all other men (Il. 1.287)

Since in most such cases the phrase is separated by a verb occupying the dactyl in the penultimate foot, it is clear that in Homeric poetry this pattern of separation should be interpreted in connection with the fixed metrical position in which the verb occurs.24 This type I shall call “closing hyperbaton.”

When the end of a colon does not coincide with the end of the verse, it coincides with some other metrical boundary, e.g., bucolic diairesis:

[7] οὐ μὲν σοὶ ποτὲ ἴσον ἔχω γέρας, ὡσπότ’ Ἀχιοί
I never have a prize equal to yours … (Il. 1.163)

or penthemimeral caesura:

[8] τιμήν πέρ’ μοι ὄφελλεν Ὀλύμπιος ἐγγυαλίζαι
Zeus ψηφιβρεμέτης …

24 See C. W. Conrad, From Epic to Lyric: A Study in the History of Traditional Word-Order in Greek and Latin Poetry (New York 1990) 63. For the type of hyperbaton in other genres see de Foucault, RevPhil 38 (1964) 59–69.
Olympian Zeus who thunders on high should at least grant me honor; … (Il. 1.353–354)

Another kind of separation, tmesis, also regularly signals the end of a syntactical unit which coincides with the end of the verse:


But he sent him away harshly, and charged him with a strong command: (Il. 1.379)

In this example, we see again two separated interlaced phrases: the phrase κρατερὸν μοῦθον is separated by ἐπὶ, the first part of the following separated phrase the second part of which, ἔτελλεν, will actually round off the colon. Even if tmesis is apparently not felt in Homer as strongly as in later Greek poetry and prose, the syntactic link between the adverb and verb is strong enough to allow the main point of the present analysis.

In example [5], the apposition οὐλομένη did not coincide with the end of the line. According to traditional syntactical analysis, this is an apposition with a force of added predicate: “and it was a death-dealing wrath”, according to pragmatic language analysis, the participle is a tail, and thus a self-contained informational unit.

In the cases in which the second element of hyperbaton marks the end of a verse, but does not seem to mark the end of a syntactical unit, one can always rely on Fraenkel’s classification of the phrases that are occasionally expanded into cola. For example:

[10] οὐ γὰρ ἐγὼ Τρῶων ἐνεκ’ ἥλυθον αἰχμητάων
dεῦρο μαχησόμενος …

For I did not come in order to fight here because of the Trojan spearmen … (Il. 1.152–153)

In this case, the circumstantial participial phrase δεῦρο μαχησόμενος ...

25 Conrad, From Epic 68.

26 See Slings, in Bagordo and Zimmermann, Bakchylides 115. Cf. the appositive role of Ἀχιλλεύς in δ’ ὠς οἱ σχέδοι ἠλθεν Ἄχιλλεύς (Il. 22.131), adduced by Bakker, Poetry 92.

27 Informational units basically correspond to intonational units in oral communication. See Slings, in Bagordo and Zimmermann, Bakchylides 114.
sóμενος is treated as an individual colon; in the way of an apposition, the phrase brings one’s mind back to the verb ἦλθον, and thus entails a pause.

A wide span of hyperbaton in Homer is rare, but possible:


σοὶ κοίλησι παρὰ νησί βαρείας χείρας ἐποίησει

σομπάντων Δαναῶν.

No one among all the Danaans will, while I am alive and look upon the earth, put his heavy hands upon you by the hollow ships, (Il. 1.88–90)

According to my statistical analysis, in 611 verses of Book One of the Iliad, hyperbaton occurs 83 times (including 11 cases of tmesis), i.e., once in 7.4 lines. The second element in it always marks the end of a syntactical and semantic unit, except if it is the first hyperbaton in an interlaced group (7 cases). The most frequent type is closing hyperbaton (55 instances), much less frequent framing hyperbaton (14), and the least frequent interlaced hyperbaton (7).

Elegy: Theognidea

As in Homer, the most frequent type of hyperbaton in the Theognidea is the closing hyperbaton, the second element of which occurs at the end of the verse (either hexameter or pentameter), as for example:


this verse came through your immortal lips. (18)

Hyperbaton may also mark the end of a colon shorter than the whole verse, and then its second element most often coincides with caesura, for example:

[13] ἦ με φίλει καθάρον θέμενος νέον. ἦ μ’ ἀποιητῶν

either love me with an honest mind, or … (89)

28 Cf. Fraenkel 1932 and 1933, passim. Bakker (Poetry 146–155) demonstrates a high degree of coincidence of informational units with metrical units in Homeric poetry.

29 For a detailed discussion of the patterns of separation of substantive and attribute regarding the metrical structure of the verse in Homer see Conrad, From Epic 45–71.

30 The category of interlaced includes two or in rare cases three hyperbata, all of which are reckoned in the totals given here and below.

Hyperbaton may also span a greater length within a couplet, for example:

[14] οὐδεὶς πω ξεῖνον Πολυπαϊδῆ ἡξαπατήσας
       οὐδ’ ἵκετην θνητῶν ἀθανάτους ἔλαβεν.
       No mortal man, Polypaïdes, upon deceiving a guest-friend or
       a suppliant, has ever escaped the notice of the immortals.
       (143–144)

In the previous example hyperbaton frames a colon. Hyperbaton that frames a verse is less frequent:

[15] ἄρθρωποι αἰὲν ἔχων ὄνομα
       having a name that will never perish among mankind (246)

Interlacing may be complex:

[16] εἶ μ’ ἐθέλεις πλάνειν. κεφαλῆς ἀμίαντον ἀπ’ ἄκρης
       αἰὲν λευκὸν ὕδωρ μεῖσαι ἡμετέρης.
       If you want to wash me, from the top of my head unpolluted
       clean water will always flow, (447–448)

Interlaced elements may occupy a whole line:

[17] ἀλλὰ σε πέμψει
       ἀγλαὰ Μουσάων δώρα ἱσσεφάνων.
       but you will be accompanied with the splendid gifts of the
       violet-crowned Muses; (249–250)

In the Theognidea, tmesis is avoided. The sample of the same
length as Book One of the Iliad contains no instance of this sort
of separation.

A noteworthy phenomenon in elegy is the concentric group-
ing of hyperbaton, as in

[18] κέρδεα δημοσίω σὺν κακῶι ἐρχόμενα.
       gains that come with public disaster. (50)

As in the case of interlacing, we may observe that the inner hy-
perbaton reinforces the outer one.

In elegy, vocative is used quite often to separate two syn-
tactically close elements, e.g., Πολυπαϊδῆ in line 143 [14].

In the first 610 lines of Theognidea, hyperbaton occurs 146
times, i.e., once in 4.2 lines. Most prominent is the closing
hyperbaton (76 instances), while the percentages of framing
and interlaced hyperbata are approximately the same (22 and
24 instances respectively).

*Lyric meters: Pindar*

Pindar’s use of hyperbaton displays the same patterns we
have seen in Homer and in elegy. Hyperbaton rounds off the
syntactical units the end of which regularly coincides with the end of a metrical unit, such as verse:

[19] Ἄριστον μὲν ὑδόρ, ὃ δὲ χρυσὸς αἰθόμενον πῦρ
 ἂτε διωκρέπει νυκτὶ μεγάλορος ἔξοχα πλούτον.
 Best is water, and gold like blazing fire shines in the night far above man-exalting wealth; (Ol. 1.1–2)\(^{32}\)

Or caesura:\(^{33}\)

[20] σὺν ἀρματὶ θεῷ κλείζειν ἐπίκουρον ἐφόρων ὁδὸν λόγων
 παρ’ εὐδείπλον ἐλθὼν Κρόνιον. ἐμὸι μὲν …
 With the swift chariot, having found as help in celebration in song a path of words, having reached the far-seen hill of Cronos. I … (Ol. 1.110–111)

When a separated phrase does not mark the end of a clause, it is interlaced with another separated phrase, e.g.,

[21] Ἐν ἀνδρῶν, ἐν θεῶν γένος· ἐκ μιᾶς δὲ πνεόμεν
 ματρὸς ἀμφότεροι: \(^{34}\)
 One is the race of man, one the race of gods; but we both breath from one mother; (Nem. 6.1–2)

Or:

[22] Χάρις δ’, ἀπερ ἀπαντα τεύχει τὰ μείλιχα θνατοῖς\(^{35}\)
 and Grace, who brings to mortals all that is soothing (Ol. 1.30)

As in elegy, interlacing in Pindar is often more complex than in Homer:

[23] Δέιτ’ ἐν χορόν, Ὀλύμπιοι,
 ἐπὶ τε κλητάν πέμπτες χάριν, θεοί.
 Come join the band of dancers, Olympian gods, and bestow on them your well-known goodwill, (Dith. fr.75)\(^{36}\)

Finally, wider separation is also more frequent than in Homer:


\(^{33}\) I.e., a regular word-end in the middle of a line.

\(^{34}\) For the subject and predicate closing a syntactical unit compare verses 11–12 and 26 of \textit{Iliad} 1.

\(^{35}\) Conveniently combined with hexameter ending. For the indirect object and verb closing a syntactical unit compare verses 2, 260–261, 348, and 545 of \textit{Iliad} 1.

In 116 lines of Pindar’s *Olympian* 1, hyperbaton occurs 42 times, i.e., once in 2.8 lines. The distribution of the three main types of hyperbaton closely corresponds to the ratio we saw in Homer: I count 24 cases of closing, 8 of framing, and 5 of interlaced hyperbaton.\(^3\)

Iambic trimeter: Sophocles

In respect of the use of hyperbaton to mark the end of a syntactical and semantic unit, the iambic trimeters of the tragedians both follow the Homeric tradition and continue the tendencies of lyric meters. Let us examine some examples from Sophocles’ *Antigone*.

First, the tragedians show a strong awareness of the line as a compositional unit, and thus hyperbaton frames a whole line more frequently than in Homer, e.g.,

\[
\piοιαν \ παρεξέλθοῦσα \ δαιμόνων \ δίκην;
\]

What divine law have I transgressed? (921)

Hyperbaton may have a wide span, and, for example, frame a sentence occupying two lines:

\[
\tauιν \ δ’, \ αυ ταλαίφων, \ ει \ τάδ’ \ εν \ τούτοις, \ εγ’ \ λόγους’ \ αν \ ειθ’ \ άπτουσα \ προσθείμην \ πλέον:
\]

\(^3\) For most prominent *Satzfiguren* in Pindar see A.-I. Sulzer, *Klytaissi Daidsalosemen Hymnon Ptychais* (Bern 1970).

\(^3\) We may briefly compare these results to those of W. Breitenbach’s study of the separation of grammatically correlated words in the choral odes of Euripides (Untersuchungen zur Sprache der euripideischen Lyrik [Stuttgart 1934] 242–262). Breitenbach, whose criteria of separation are somewhat different from mine, lists for example 59 cases of separation in 336 lyric lines of *Alestis*; these, according to my count, comprise 23 cases of closing, 18 of framing, and 9 of interlaced hyperbaton (Breitenbach recognizes the last category as *Kreuzung*).


\(^4\) The tendency is comparable to the treatment of the hexameter line by the Neoteric poets in Rome. See H. Patzer, “Zum Sprachstil des neoterischen Hexameters,” *MusHelv* 12 (1955) 77–95, at 80–84.
But, my poor dear, if this is how things are, what more might
I add by trying to loosen or tighten? (39–40)

Sometimes closed lines can be explained as cola only in terms
of Fraenkel's classification, or in terms of pragmatic analysis.
Consider the following example:

[27] ἐγὼ δὲ σῷ ποδαχός ἐσπόμην πόσει
πεδίον ἐπ᾽ ἀκρον.
And I followed your husband on foot to the end of the plain,
(1196–1197)

The hyperbaton closes the line and colon, and the following
prepositional phrase constitutes a separate colon,41 and could
be labeled as tail in terms of pragmatic analysis.

In iambic trimeters of Sophocles, interlaced hyperbatons are
common:

[28] καὶ μείζονι ὅστις ἀντὶ τῆς αὐτοῦ πάτρας
φίλον νομίζει· τοῦτον οὐδέσμοι λέγω.
And the man who has higher esteem for a dear one than for
his fatherland, that man I count nowhere. (182–183)

In interlacing hyperbatons, Sophocles explores various possi-
bilities of interplay with metrical structure. For example, the first
hyperbaton may mark the end of a verse, the second the end of
a colon, coinciding with caesura:

[29] Ἐτεοκλέα μὲν, ὃς πόλεως ὑπερμαχὼν
ἄλωλε τήσθε.
Eteocles, who fell fighting for this city, (194–195)

A whole verse may be composed of two interwoven hyper-
bata:

[30] ἐπὶ γὰρ αὐτὴν εἶλον ἐμφανῶς ἐγὼ
πόλεως ἀπιστήσασαν ἐκ πάσης μόνην.
For since I caught her plainly disobeying me alone from the
whole city, (655–656)

In 891 iambic trimeters of Sophocles’ Antigone, hyperbaton
occurs 201 times, i.e., once in 4.4 lines. Unlike in Homer, the
Theognidea, and Pindar, the prominence of closing hyperbaton
(72 instances) and framing hyperbatons (71) is almost equal;
interlaced hyperbaton is much less prominent (29).

41 For a prepositional phrase as colon cf. Fraenkel 1932 and 1933, passim.
Prose: Antiphon

Antiphon is our earliest representative of Attic oratory. His On the Murder of Herodes is written in plain style, and it is not marked by very frequent or complex hyperbata. Regarding the relationship of hyperbata and the end of syntactical units, we find examples of all the three types, i.e., closing,

[31] πλοῖς τε ἡμῖν ἐγέρνητο, καὶ τάλλῳ ἄνήγατο πλοῖα ἀπαντα, weather favourable for sailing returned, and all the other ships took off, (24)

framing,

[32] ἔπειτα κελευθεὶς τοῖς δικαστῖς ἀνωμότοις πιστεύσαντας τοῖς μαρτυροῦσι φόνου δίκην καταγγέλω, therefore you ask the judges to pass their sentence for murder believing unsworn witnesses, (12)

and interlaced,

[33] καὶ μὴ ἀκριτον ἀποθανεῖν αὐτὸν ὑφ᾽ ὑμῶν. and not that he is put to death by you without a trial. (48)

In the first 50 paragraphs of the speech On the Murder of Herodes hyperbaton occurs 37 times, i.e., once in 1.3 paragraphs. The total number consists of 14 closing, 10 interlaced, and 3 framing hyperbata.

Prose: Thucydides

Hyperbaton is one of the most conspicuous features of the prose edifice of Thucydides. Ps.-Longinus calls him δεινόστατος in regard to his use of hyperbaton (22), and Dionysius of Halicarnassus regards τὸ τροχὸ τῆς ἀρμονίας (roughness of composition) as one of the four most striking features of Thucydidean style. The hyperbata of Thucydides, as Dionysius observes, are often violent, and sometimes, in combination with other features of his style, obscure the sense of the

\[\text{Text: F. Blass and Th. Thalheim, Antiphontis orationes et fragmenta (Leipzig 1914).}\]

\[\text{De Vries, Untersuchungen 111, includes in his list of hyperbata On the Murder of Herodes. My list differs slightly from his (e.g., I do not include cases like 28.8, but I do include 36.5).}\]

\[\text{Along with the poetic words, variety of the figures, and swift expression (Thuc. 24.11).}\]
however, their role in reinforcing the syntactical breaks is indisputable. The patterns of hyperbaton in Thucydides are the same ones we have detected so far; in addition to this, there are noticeable similarities between his hyperbata and the hyperbata we found in lyric and tragic genres. Thus in Thucydides we find complex interlacing:

[34] ὁσο ἰληπτότεροι ἦσαν τοῖς πέλας, τόσον δὲ φανερωτέραν ἔξην αὐτοῖς τὴν ἀρετὴν δίδοσι καὶ δεχομένοις τὰ δίκαια δεικνύοι.

The more difficult to catch they are for their neighbors, the more obvious virtue they should display in offering and accepting just treaties. (1.37.5)

[35] πολλά δ’ ἂν καὶ ἄλλα τις ἀποδείξει τὸ παλαιὸν Ἑλληνικὸν ὁμοιότροπος τῷ νῦν βαρβαρικῷ διαίτημαν.

And one could point out many other customs in which the old way of life of the Greeks was similar to the one of the barbarians today. (1.6.6)

Also, separation of the two elements of a phrase can be considerably wide:

[36] Ἀγαμεμνῶν τὸ μιὸ δοκεῖ τῶν τότε δυνάμει προὔχον καὶ οὐ τοσοῦτον τοῖς Τυνδάρεω ὀρκοὶς κατειλημένους τοὺς Ἐλένης μνηστήρας ἁγιὼν τὸν στάλον ἀγείραι.

And Agamemnon, it seems to me, gathered his force by surpassing his contemporaries in military power, and not so much by leading the suitors of Helen bound by the oaths to Tyndareus. (1.9.1)

A syntactical unit may also be framed by the two elements of the separated phrase:

[37] ἄλλων γοῦν μεγέθους πέρι ἐν νεὼν καταλόγῳ οὐκ ἐμνήσθη.

Anyway, he did not mention others regarding their size in his “Catalogue of Ships.” (1.10.4)

In some cases the separated unit should be explained in the light of Fraenkel’s classification, or through pragmatic analysis:

[38] κατὰ γὰρ δὲ πόλεμος, ἄθεν τις καὶ δύναμις παρεγένετο, οὐδεὶς ξυνέστη· πάντες δὲ …

45 For example, see the combination of periphrasis and hyperbaton in 3.82.7, discussed by Dionysius at 31.4.

46 As Norden (Kunstprosa 99) puts it, Thucydidean word order abandons clarity of thought, but not the rhythm of the speech.
And on land no war from which strength was gained took place; … (1.15.2)

The framing phrase πόλεμος γινέται is what Fraenkel would call a “weighted subject,” while in terms of its pragmatic function the phrase would be the focus of the sentence, preceded by the topic, and followed by the verb.

In the first 50 paragraphs of Book One of Thucydides, hyperbaton occurs 62 times, i.e., once in 0.8 paragraphs. The number includes 41 instances of closing hyperbaton, 8 of interlaced hyperbaton, and 4 of framing hyperbaton.

**Conclusion**

The examples I have adduced provide illustration of the ways in which hyperbaton is used to reinforce the syntactical break in the Greek literary sentence. As we have seen, the main patterns of arrangement including hyperbaton at the end of a syntactical unit are closing (ABCDC), framing (ABCD), and interlacing (ABCBC). To summarize the results of the statistical analysis in a tabular form:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Closing</td>
<td>611</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framing</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interlaced (2x or 3x)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>146</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

My choice of examples showed that these patterns of hyperbaton cross not only the boundaries between different poetic genres and their particular representatives, but also the more deeply set boundary between poetry and prose. Like some other stylistic features of literary language, hyperbaton, upon close examination, emerges as one of the more prominent or-

---

47 “Erweitertes Subjekt,” Fraenkel 1932 and 1933, passim.
48 Counting as Spaltung only a separation caused by the insertion of a verbal form (verbum finitum, participle, or infinitive), Lindhamer (*Wortstellung* 47–48) has found 26 instances in 104 syntactically favorable sentences in Thucydides.
ganizing strategies of exposition. Initially, hyperbaton belongs to the oral resources of Greek language. In writing, it is systematically exploited for deliberate display of the structure of discourse. Comparison between written works and works meant to communicate the spoken word confirms this tendency. For example, Lindhamer’s study of *Spaltung* shows that in terms of percentages, the works of Plato are behind those of Herodotus and Xenophon.\(^49\) The future path of development of the written (or literary) sentence will lead from the use of aural Σχήμα α λέξεως to the visual system of punctuation, which will eventually define the modern concept of sentence.\(^50\)

In a paper delivered in 1908, J. P. Postgate sums up his comparison between syntactical order in the modern sentence and syntactical order in the ancient sentence: “The modern sentence, to put it roughly, is an arrangement in line, the ancient one within a circle.”\(^51\) I hope that this study clarifies one particular aspect of Postgate’s notion of circular movement in the classical literary sentence.\(^52\)
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\(^{49}\) Lindhamer, *Wortstellung* 64–65. A. Szantyr (*Lateinische Syntax und Stilistik* II.2.2 [Munich 1965] 689–690) outlines a similar development in Latin, i.e., from archaic to artistic hyperbaton.

\(^{50}\) For example, G. Nunberg (*The Linguistics of Punctuation* [Stanford 1990] 19–23) distinguishes two grammars of written language, namely lexical grammar and text grammar. While in lexical grammar the sentence is defined syntactically, prosodically, or semantically, in text grammar it is defined as a unit customarily presented as bracketed by a capital letter and a period.


\(^{52}\) I would like to thank William M. Calder III, Marcus Heckenkamp, and David Sansone for their particularly helpful comments on earlier drafts of this paper. My thanks are also due to the editors and anonymous readers for GRBS, whose suggestions contributed to the improvement of the paper, in particular to Kent Rigsby, for his most generous help in the final stages of editing.