# 'Most likely to succeed': Degree Adverbs Specifying Probability in Classical Greek 

## Helma Dik

This paper argues that in Classical Greek a number of degree adverbs ( $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$, $\eta \kappa \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$, and $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda o v$, among others) can play a role in specifying the likelihood of potential events, acquiring the meaning 'most likely', 'least likely', and 'more likely', respectively, in certain contexts.
Introduction: adverbs and modal expressions in Classical Greek
Since the role of adverbs, other than the modal 'particle' ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{w}$, in Ancient Greek modal expressions has received little attention in general, I will start with the best-known case of interaction of an adverb with the modal force of the predicate. It is documented in grammars of Ancient Greek that the potential optative ('it is possible that X') accompanied by a negation expresses what Gildersleeve calls 'total negation', ${ }^{1}$ in other words, it should be paraphrased with 'it is not possible that X ' rather than with 'it is possible that not-X'. ${ }^{2}$ Consider (1), from a speech by the Corinthians at Sparta (Thuc. 1.121.4):3
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 $\delta 1 \delta \alpha \chi \hat{n}$.
The excellence that nature has given us cannot become theirs through instruction.
The claim made by the speaker is not that instruction could possibly not yield results; rather, the claim is that instruction could not possibly yield results. Formally, one would analyze the combination of adverb and modal as one in which the adverb has scope over (is hierarchically superior to) the modal.

This phenomenon practically exhausts the discussion of adverbs in modal expressions by the standard reference grammars, with two exceptions. Goodwin, who does not in fact discuss the negative, does mention a further adverb as especially frequent in combination with the modal particle ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\circ} v,{ }^{4}$ namely $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha$ 'perhaps' (Thuc. 1.81.1):


Perhaps some of us are emboldened by our superiority in arms and numbers, which enable us freely to invade and lay waste their territory.
It is understandable that it is only $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha$ that was singled out by Goodwin, since the adverb is so frequently found with ${ }_{\alpha} v$ in the classical period and $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha$ öv can even be used as a stand-alone expression. ${ }^{5}$

Often the adverb |  |
| :---: |
| $\sigma$ |
|  |
|  | is found in combination with $\tau \alpha \alpha^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{\prime} \nu$ (6.78.3):

${ }^{4}$ W. W. Goodwin, Syntax of the Moods and Tenses of the Greek Verb (Philadelphia 1890) §221. In the classical period, the predominant meaning of $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha$ is 'perhaps'. I leave $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha$ 'quickly', which barely survives in the classical period (its place having been taken by $\tau \alpha \chi \varepsilon ́ \omega \varsigma$ ), out of consideration here.
${ }^{5}$ Gildersleeve $\S 458$; LSJ s.v. $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha$. Since $\neq \alpha v$ cannot normally be used in the absence of a verb with which it is construed, this is strong evidence for $\tau \alpha ́ \chi$ ’ ${ }^{\prime} \nu v$ being felt as a fixed combination. Furthermore, in Thucydides we find one example (6.2.4) of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha \not{\alpha} \nu \delta \dot{\varepsilon}$, instead of the expected ordering $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \alpha \delta^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \nu v$, which again is evidence for the combination being treated as a unit.


And if he should err in judgment, when he has to lament his own ills he may perhaps some day wish once more to become envious of our good fortune.
While the potential optative can range from requests, to polite assertions of fact, to actual expression that an event expressed by the verb may possibly take place, $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha$ explicitly attributes at least some degree of probability to the event. ${ }^{6}$

In the case of the negative, the composite meaning ('not possible' vs. 'possible...that not') demonstrates the hierarchy of adverb and predicate. As for adverbs meaning 'perhaps' ('íows or $\tau \alpha \prime \chi \alpha$ ), these cannot be used to indicate the manner in which a state of affairs takes place; their usage is confined to this same high level of 'attitudinal disjunct', that is, they are adverbs which express the speaker's attitude to the state of affairs. ${ }^{7}$

There is one further comment in Schwyzer-Debrunner, unaccompanied by illustration, which reads, in full, "Der Wahrscheinlichkeitsgrad kann durch Adverbia wie そ̌ $\sigma \omega \varsigma$, ep. $\rho \varepsilon i \alpha \alpha$ näher bestimmt werden." ${ }^{8}$ In a nutshell, this is the point I want to make in this paper, since this interpretation still seems to be overlooked too often as a possibility. I will argue that there are additional adverbs, namely $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau \alpha, \mu \alpha ́ \lambda 1 \sigma \tau \alpha, \eta \not \approx \kappa 1 \sigma \tau \alpha$, and $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \nsim \tau \alpha \alpha$, that should be interpreted as further specifying the likelihood of the potential event. Unlike $\tau \alpha \chi \alpha$, these adverbs

[^1]place the likelihood of events at an extreme: either extremely high, or extremely low. ${ }^{9}$

But before I turn to the additional adverbs for which I claim this use, I should point out an important distinction between the two adverbs mentioned in Schwyzer-Debrunner. As a result of their meaning 'perhaps', the use of $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \alpha \alpha$ with the potential optative, which is widespread, is unlikely to lead to interpretative difficulty. With $\dot{\rho} \varepsilon i \alpha \alpha$, the situation is different. The English adverb 'easily' is most readily associated with manner (an 'adjunct') as in, 'I could easily see the match from my courtside seat' (answering, 'how was your view at the French Open?') but can be used as an attitudinal disjunct as well ('On clay, I can easily see Djokovic beat Federer', answering, 'Do you think he can beat him?'), where the speaker asserts her belief about the odds of Djokovic beating Federer, not about the ease with which the spectator follows the match. In both uses, the adverb $\dot{\rho} \varepsilon i \alpha$ can be translated by the same English word, so while it is potentially ambiguous, nevertheless, like そ̌ $\sigma \omega$ s and $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha$, it is unlikely to confuse a modern reader. First consider Homer Il. 17.70-71:10


Easily then would Atreus' son have carried off the glorious armor of the son of Panthous, if Phoebus Apollo had not begrudged it
him, ...
My preference is to read this instance along the lines suggested by Schwyzer-Debrunner, with $\dot{\rho} \varepsilon i \alpha$ indicating a high degree of likelihood. One could paraphrase with 'it could easily have happened

[^2]that Menelaos...', indicating that the narrator presents this as a likely scenario before the divine interference of the $\varepsilon i \quad \mu \eta$ clause. ${ }^{11}$ This seems preferable to an interpretation as a Manner Adjunct, roughly 'Menelaos would now have carried off the armor with ease', but the latter interpretation is not impossible. ${ }^{12}$

In (5), on the other hand, where Nestor has asked if the horses he admires were given by a god, Odysseus denies this, but acknowledges that it would be easy for gods to give such a gift, or rather an even better one (Il. 10.556-557):

«̈ $\pi \pi \frac{1}{}$
Easily might a god if he wished bestow even better horses than these, since the gods are mightier far.
In this case, the adverb 'easily' is more likely a comment on the ease with which gods can accomplish anything (as in $\dot{\rho \varepsilon i ̂ \alpha ~} \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \lambda$ '
 the likelihood of this gift imminently happening.
In later Greek, I would argue that in its classical form, $\dot{\rho} \alpha \delta_{i} \dot{\omega} \omega s$ can also be found to express a higher likelihood, as in this statement in Xenophon about Socrates (Mem. 4.4.4):


${ }^{11}$ On the mood of $\varphi \varepsilon$ ṕor and for further references see M. Edwards, The Iliad: A Commentary V (Cambridge 1990) 70. Chantraine (quoted by Edwards) translates "on eût vu..." (one could have seen...) which makes explicit that we should read this as authorial comment by the narrator.
${ }^{12}$ As a further test, one can try to substitute 'certainly' for 'easily' and consider whether the sense of the sentence fundamentally changes as a result. I would argue that 'certainly' fits better in (4) and (6) than in (5). This test is inspired by Donatus' comment on Ter. Andr. 720, cited in TLL s.v. facilis (VI. 1 70.76-77), 'facile' adverbium confirmantis est, id est 'liquido' et 'manifesto'. veteres 'facile' dicebant pro 'certo'. The TLL itself describes this use of the adverb facile as "magis de 'opinione', fere i. q. 'certo', 'sine dubio'." Quirk et al., Comprehensive Grammar 589, compare 'easily' in modal expressions with 'well': 'They might easily have been arrested' $\approx$ 'They might well have been arrested'.
$\theta \alpha v \varepsilon i ̂ v$.
but even though he could easily have been acquitted by the jury, if only he had made even a slight compromise, he preferred to be true to the laws and die.
As was the case with $\dot{\rho} \varepsilon i \alpha$ in (4), the adverb 'easily' does not describe the manner in which the event of acquitting is carried out, but the likelihood of it happening.
In conclusion to this section, a quick note on the position of the adverbs is perhaps in order. Instances (4) through (6), in which I argue for a different status of the adverbs, as adjunct (5) or as disjunct ((4) and (6)), all feature the adverbs at the beginning or as close to the beginning of the clause as they can be following a Setting ( $\varepsilon v \theta \theta \alpha$ ) or connector ( $\dot{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha}$ ). Whereas there is a strong tendency in English for style disjuncts ('frankly', 'briefly') to be placed at the periphery of the sentence, and one might see this as a direct reflection of the wide semantic scope of these adverbs, this tendency is less strong for attitudinal disjuncts (compare the examples in n.12). For the adverbs studied below, I see insufficient evidence as yet that adjunct versus disjunct status matters to their position in the clause. This lack of differentiation may simply be due to the fact that superlatives generally will present highly salient information, and are therefore likely to appear in preverbal Focus position, which will often be clause-initial. ${ }^{13}$
$\mu \alpha ́ \lambda \imath \sigma \tau \alpha, \pi \lambda \varepsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau \alpha, \eta_{\kappa} \kappa \iota \tau \alpha \alpha, \dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ as expressions of likelihood
Having discussed the sparse references in the literature to these expressions of probability, I now turn to further adverbs which, like $\dot{\rho} \varepsilon i \hat{\alpha}$ and $\dot{\rho} \alpha \delta i ́ \omega \varsigma$, can play a variety of roles in clauses, but which, unlike these, must be translated differently depending on their role in the clause. Translators and com-

[^3]mentators have often overlooked that a number of quite frequent adverbs can be used to express a very high or low degree of likelihood.

I will primarily discuss instances from Thucydides' Histories, in which I first noticed the phenomenon, but this is by no means in order to claim that this construction is exclusively Thucydidean. It is, however, a staple of Thucydidean discourse to speculate about an opponent's strategy and it is such contexts where, I argue, we can see these adverbs used to specify very high or very low probability. Since I have been able to find as little discussion in commentaries as in the reference grammars, translations are practically my only evidence for earlier scholars' interpretations, and I will therefore quote from these translations quite extensively. My aim in doing so is twofold: obviously, translations that do use expresssions of likelihood support the present argument; on the other hand, translations that do not use such expressions are often quite unsatisfactory in their treatment of the adverbs in question, showing, one hopes, that the present paper is filling a lacuna in the scholarship. In any case, the presence of third-party translations should make it easier for readers to make up their own minds.
The adverbs I will concentrate on are $\mu \alpha \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$ and $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha$ to express high likelihood, and $\not \eta \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ and $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ to express low likelihood; I will include a few examples of the comparatives $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda$ ov and $\hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma o v$ in the notes. In contrast to $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha$ and í $\sigma \omega \varsigma$, these adverbs are all quite frequent in Thucydides, and they are found in many more contexts than statements of potential events. As a heuristic for finding such statements, I have looked for combinations of the adverbs with ơv. ${ }^{14}$ To be sure, the modal particle ${ }_{\alpha} \nu$ is not a necessary ingredient for

[^4]statements of potential or likely events. Likelihood can be expressed lexically by means of $\varepsilon$ íkós, for instance, or it can be left implicit. In Aristotle's Rhetoric, we find statements not containing explicit modals explained by statements that do contain these, as at 1397b12-13: ${ }^{15}$






Another topic is derived from the more and less. For instance, if not even the gods know everything, hardly [can] men; for this amounts to saying that if a predicate, which is more probably affirmable of one thing, does not belong to it, it is clear that it does not belong to another of which it is less probably affirmable. And to say that a man who beats his father also beats his neighbors, is an instance of the rule that, if the less exists, the more also exists. For people are less likely to hit their father than their neighbors.
Despite the absence of explicit modals, we can easily understand them following an adverb such as $\sigma \chi 0 \lambda \hat{\eta}$ 'scarcely'; similarly, I translate $\hat{\eta} \tau \tau 0 v ~ \tau ט ́ \pi \tau o v \sigma \iota v$ with 'are less likely to hit', not 'hit less', despite the indicative mood.

Turning, however, to the collocations with ơv now, only about one in fifteen occurrences of $\mu \alpha \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$ in Thucydides cooccurs with $\ddot{\alpha}^{\prime} v$ within a clause that is either a potential optative, or an infinitive in indirect statement. In the table below, I give the number of occurrences of these words (and of $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha^{16}$ and
${ }^{15}$ The last sentence of this passage is included in the Ross's OCT edition, but not found in all mss. The translation of the passage up to that point is that of the Loeb edition, by J. H. Freese (1926).
${ }^{16}$ To the few instances of $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha$ could be added $\tau \alpha \chi \dot{\prime}$ in 6.17.4. The one instance in which $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha$ is not accompanied by ${ }_{\alpha} \quad v$ (8.94) does not speculate about an eventuality but about the reason for an action that actually took
 arrangement."
$\imath \sigma \omega \varsigma$ for the sake of comparison) immediately adjacent to ${ }^{\circ} \nu$, separated at most by $\delta \varepsilon ́$ or $\gamma \alpha \dot{\alpha} \rho$, as well as their total number of occurrences. These are the instances where the two words will most likely be felt as a unit. There are also instances of further separation, in which I would nevertheless argue for a likelihood interpretation, but most examples where I would argue for a likelihood interpretation have immediate adjacency. ${ }^{17}$

| Adverb | Adverb + ${ }_{\alpha}{ }^{\prime}$ | Total occurrences |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau \alpha \dot{\chi} \chi \alpha$ | 10 | 11 |
| 'ı' $\omega$ ¢s | 4 | 10 |
| $\pi \lambda \varepsilon \imath ิ \sigma \tau \alpha$ | 7 | 34 |
| $\mu \alpha{ }^{\prime} \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$ | 19 | 316 |
| ท̆кıб $\tau \alpha$ | 8 | 57 |
| $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi 1 \sigma \tau \alpha$ | 2 | 10 |
| $\mu \hat{\alpha} \lambda \lambda \mathrm{ov}$ | 8 | 363 |
| $\hat{\eta} \sigma \sigma o v$ | 2 | 72 |

It will be immediately apparent that the proposed interpretation will hold for only a small minority of the total instances of use of these adverbs, and the question arises how one would go about demonstrating that other possible translations should be discarded. Clearly, it would be necessary to show that more frequent interpretations such as degree expressions at the level of the predicate itself ('they were most fortunate'), or internal object interpretations ('they won the fewest victories') are excluded. In making the case, then, I will focus on clauses in which degree expressions or internal objects are incompatible

[^5]with the predicate, and on those where other Manner expressions or internal objects are present. First, however, I will illustrate the conundrum one faces when the particular predicate is compatible with various interpretations of the adverb.

In fact, the title of this paper is my proposed translation of a phrase that Thucydides uses four times in all: $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i \bar{i} \tau \tau^{\prime}{ }_{\alpha} \nu$ $\dot{o} \rho \theta_{o} \hat{\imath}(v) \tau o$. Below is the first occurrence of the phrase in the Histories (3.30.4), and the various translations by Smith (Loeb, 1919-1923), Warner (Penguin, 1954), and Crawley (Everyman's Library, 1874):


 $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau ’$ òv ỏp $\theta_{0}$ îto."
Let us not shrink from the danger, remembering that the element of surprise in warfare is precisely of this nature. And if a general guards against such surprises in his own case, and, whenever he sees an opportunity to employ them in the case of the enemy, makes the attempt, he will win the greatest success (Smith)
... a good general is the one who ... (Warner)
... is what makes a successful general (Crawley)
For $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \tau \alpha$, an interpretation as internal object is not impossible here. The use of the present tense ( $\varphi \cup \lambda \alpha \sigma \sigma \sigma o \tau \tau$, $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \iota \chi \varepsilon \iota-$ poín, ỏ $\rho \theta$ oìto) suggests a habitual attitude which can lead to success on multiple occasions. A translation as 'greatest success', however, is problematic, since ópӨó $\omega$, unlike English 'prosper' or 'succeed', is not compatible with degree expressions (one stays upright, or, in the negative, one fails to do so). All four instances of the phrase (repeated at 3.42.4, 5.9.4, and 5.111.4) allow for an interpretation of $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \sigma \tau$ ' $\partial ้ v$ óp $\theta$ oîto as 'would be successful on most occasions ${ }^{\prime} .{ }^{18}$ I would argue, however, that they would also lend themselves to an interpretation as 'would be

[^6]most likely to succeed'. The following passage is of interest, in that the antonym $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi 1 \sigma \tau \alpha$ follows in the next sentence, and one of the translators, Smith, in fact adopts an interpretation of this second adverb as an expression of likelihood. ${ }^{19}$ However, it will be seen that Crawley ('fewer blunders') follows ClassenSteup's lead in construing $\dot{\varepsilon} \lambda \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi ı \sigma \tau \alpha$ as the internal object of $\dot{\alpha} \mu \alpha \rho \tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} v \varepsilon{ }^{2}$, which is equally defensible. 3.42.4:


indeed, [the city] would prosper most if its citizens of this stamp had no eloquence at all, for then the people would be least likely to blunder through their influence (Smith)
it would be better for the country if they could not speak at all, as we should then make fewer blunders (Crawley)
it would be the best possible thing for the city (Warner)
In the remaining two instances of the phrase, Smith adopts 'most likely' in his translation of $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i \sigma \tau$ ' $\grave{\alpha} \nu .{ }^{20}$ Questions of translations aside, however, I should point out that in the case of a description of repeated, or repeatable, situations, the distinction between a frequency expression and a likelihood expression is really a distinction without a difference: 'will succeed at nine out of ten occasions' is equivalent to 'is ninety percent likely to succeed'. ${ }^{21}$
${ }^{19}$ For a similar pairing compare Pl. Prm. 137B $\tau i ́ \varsigma ~ o u ̂ v, ~ \varepsilon i ̉ \pi \varepsilon i ̂ v, ~ \mu o i ̀ ~ \alpha ̉ \pi о к \rho ı-~$

 say the youngest? He would be least likely to be over-curious and most likely to say what he thinks" (transl. Fowler, Loeb, 1926).






${ }_{21}$ A further quite similar example is in 6.9.2, vouiち $\omega v \dot{o} \mu o i ́ \omega s$ $\alpha \gamma \alpha \theta$ òv



In order to make the case, then, that an expression of likelihood is indeed a good reading for which support can be found elsewhere in Thucydides, the following discussion will consider examples in which both a degree expression and an internal object seem problematic, due to the semantics of the verb or the presence of other internal objects or degree expressions in the sentence.
Compatibility of the adverb with the predicate
In (9), the potential statement happens to be in indirect dis-
 material to the argument that the adverb $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$ in this case expresses a high degree of likelihood that the event will come to pass (3.53.1):



 iैбov $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{1 \sigma \tau}{ }^{\prime} \hat{\alpha} v \varphi \varepsilon ́ \rho \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha 1$.
When we surrendered our city, Lacedaemonians, trusting in your good faith, we had no thought that we should have to undergo a trial like this, but supposed it would be a more regular procedure; and when we consented to be on trial before you, and you alone as judges, as we now are, we believed that we should be most likely to obtain fair treatment (Smith).
The presence of the definite $\tau$ ò '̂oov 'justice' makes it hard to interpret $\mu \alpha \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$ in the normal sense of 'to the highest degree'. Smith as well as Crawley and Warner translate $\mu \alpha \alpha_{1} \lambda_{\sigma} \tau \alpha$ with 'most likely'. ${ }^{22}$ Classen-Steup do not offer comment on $\mu \alpha^{\prime} \lambda_{1}-$ $\sigma \tau \alpha$, but translate with 'sicherlich', 'certainly'. ${ }^{23}$ This, however, obscures the fact that comparison still plays a role: The Spartans, compared to others, are considered most likely to do the right thing.
${ }^{22}$ Unlike $\pi \lambda \varepsilon i ̂ \sigma \tau \alpha$, of course, $\mu \alpha \alpha_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$ can only be an adverb, so that the internal object interpretation is excluded as well.
${ }^{23}$ J. Classen and J. Steup, Thukydides. Erklärt von 7. Classen. Bearbeitet von 7. Steup III (Berlin 1892) 107.

Demosthenes offers a parallel, in which the verb and its complement, 'obtain the peace treaty', again would not seem to be compatible with an expression of degree or extent (Dem. 19.317):
 рŋ́vทร тטхદiิ.
He [Philip] then composed a letter to you, as the best means of obtaining the peace he desired (transl. Vince, Loeb 1926).
The translator appears to construe $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$ closely with the relative pronoun ('the best means'), but what this means is rather unclear. ${ }^{24}$ Instead, I propose: "a letter, with which he thought he was most likely to obtain the peace treaty."

A fairly close parallel is offered by clauses with the phrasing ov̋ $\tau \omega \varsigma$ òv $\mu \alpha \alpha_{1} \lambda_{\sigma \tau \alpha}$, as in (11), again from Demosthenes. An adoption is deemed most likely to lead to the preservation of a family (43.12):



for he thought, men of the jury, that of his surviving relatives this boy was nearest to him, and that in this way their house would best be preserved and kept from extinction (Vince).
While the translator inserts 'best', I believe $\delta 1 \alpha \sigma \varphi \varrho \zeta \varepsilon \sigma \theta \alpha 1$ is another instance of a verb that is not readily compatible with degree expressions: survival is what is at stake here. The full string used here, ov̋ $\tau \omega \varsigma \not{\alpha} v \mu \alpha \alpha^{\prime} \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$, is a frequent collocation. ${ }^{25}$
${ }^{24}$ One of the things 'the best means' could mean is the means most likely to be successful (as in, 'email is the best way to reach me' is 'you are most likely to reach me if you use email'). But it is presumably not the medium, but the content that has been selected for its persuasiveness.


 understand $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$ as 'most likely' rather than adding a specification of 'degree' of $\sigma v \gamma \gamma v \omega ́ \mu \eta$ (regularly expressed by forms of $\pi \mathrm{o} \lambda$ v́s rather than adverbially).

Returning to the Thucydidean material, in 3.71 .1 the potential optative is a form of $\delta$ ov $\lambda$ ó $\omega$ 'enslave’; $\eta \boldsymbol{\kappa} \kappa \sigma \tau \alpha$, I would argue, is used in close conjunction with $\alpha \not v$ to express a low degree of likelihood (in this case, Smith's translation in fact uses such wording):




After they had taken these measures the conspirators called the Corcyraeans together and told them that it was all for the best, and that now they would be least likely to be enslaved by the Athenians; and in future they should remain neutral and receive neither party if they came with more than one ship, regarding any larger number as hostile (Smith)
... what they had done was all for the best and would prevent the island being enslaved by Athens ... (Warner)
An expression of degree like $\eta \not \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ 'to the least extent' would seem incompatible with this verb, as with óp $\theta$ ó $\omega .{ }^{26}{ }^{26} \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ is sometimes equated with a strong negation ('minime'); ${ }^{27}$ however, an actual negation here would lead to a reading 'that it was not possible that they would be enslaved', which is not wanted here. ${ }^{28}$
${ }^{26}$ Note, however, that $\eta \kappa \kappa \iota \sigma \tau \alpha$ is also combined with a future indicative in
 $\dot{v} \pi \alpha \kappa о v \sigma o ́ \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$, "how to be least subservient," i.e., how to avoid, but perhaps also, how to be least likely to...
${ }^{27}$ One instance of $\not \models \kappa 1 \sigma \tau \alpha$ ơv in Plato I find hard to decide, but I lean toward a 'minime' as opposed to a 'least likely' interpretation: " $\sigma \kappa \omega ́ \pi \tau \varepsilon 1 \varsigma$, $\grave{\omega}$
 غ̇льорки́б $\alpha \mu$ ı": "You are joking, Socrates." "No, I swear by our common God of Friendship, whose name I would never (be least likely to) take in vain" (Alc. 1 109D).



 $\dot{\eta} \mu \alpha \varsigma \varsigma \nu \rho \alpha \kappa o ́ \sigma ı \iota ~ \delta \varepsilon \delta o v \lambda \omega \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$, "Having ourselves become leaders of those

An example from extended indirect discourse is at 5.36.1:




For in this way the Boeotians would be least likely to be forced to come into the treaty with Athens, since the Lacedaemonians would prefer gaining the friendship and alliance of the Argives, counting that more important than the enmity of the Athenians and the disruption of the treaty (Smith).
Again, with the verb $\dot{\alpha} v \alpha \gamma \kappa \alpha \dot{\zeta} \zeta \omega$ a degree reading seems to make little sense - the Boeotians would not be forced to a lesser degree; rather, the proposed strategy (ovi $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ) makes their alliance with Athens less likely. ${ }^{29}$
Presence of another adverb
A further factor that points in the direction of interpreting
who were before subject to the King, we so continue, thinking that we should in this way be least subject to the Peloponnesians, because we have power with which to defend ourselves" (Smith). I propose to translate instead: "that we should in this way be least likely to be subject..." Also 3.24.1:
 $\tau \alpha v ́ \tau \eta \nu \alpha v ̉ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ ن ̇ \pi о \tau о \pi \eta ̂ \sigma \alpha ı ~ \tau \rho \alpha \pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha ı ~ \tau \grave{\eta} v ~ \varepsilon ̇ \varsigma ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ \pi o \lambda \varepsilon \mu i ́ o v \varsigma, ~ "[T h e ~ P l a-~$ taeans] keeping the sanctuary of the hero Androcrates on the right, believing that they [the Peloponnesians] would be least likely to suspect them of taking that route, which led to the enemy."
${ }^{29}$ In 6.49.2 $\mu \alpha \alpha_{\imath} \sigma \tau \alpha$ seems equally incompatible with $\pi \varepsilon \rho \imath \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha \iota$ 'survive; come out on top': $\alpha i \varphi v i ́ \delta i o l ~ \delta غ ̀ ~ \grave{\imath} v ~ \pi \rho о \sigma \pi \varepsilon ́ \sigma \omega \sigma ı v, ~ \varepsilon ̌ \omega \varsigma ~ \varepsilon ̌ \tau ı ~ \pi \varepsilon \rho ı \delta \varepsilon \varepsilon i ̂ \varsigma ~$




 $\theta \varepsilon ́ \sigma \theta \alpha$, "They made proclamation that all Helots who claimed to have rendered the Lacedaemonians the best service in war should be set apart, ostensibly to be set free. They were, in fact, merely testing them, thinking that those who claimed, each for himself, the first right to be set free would be precisely the men of high spirit who would be the most likely to attack their masters."
$\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$ as a disjunct rather than as an adjunct is the presence of other adverbs in the clause (4.19.2):




 $\mu \varepsilon \tau \rho i ́ \omega \varsigma ~ \xi v v \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha \gamma \underset{n}{ }$.
We believe, too, that a permanent reconciliation of bitter enmities is more likely to be secured, not when one party seeks revenge and, because he has gained a decided mastery in the war, tries to bind his opponent by compulsory oaths and thus makes peace with him on unequal terms... (Smith)
Indeed if great enmities are ever to be really settled, we think it will be, not by the system of revenge and military success... (Crawley)
As Smith saw, it is unlikely that $\mu \alpha \lambda_{1} \sigma \tau \alpha$ would be used to further modify $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha i ́ \omega s$ (the superlative $\beta \varepsilon \beta \alpha$ ó $\tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ occurs at 1.138.3 and 6.91.6); ${ }^{30}$ similarly, in 6.24.1 $\dot{\alpha} \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \omega \varsigma$ is already present as a modifier of $\grave{\varepsilon} \kappa \pi \lambda \varepsilon v ิ \sigma \alpha \iota$ :
(15) ó $\mu \varepsilon ̀ v ~ N ı \kappa i ́ \alpha s ~ \tau о \sigma \alpha v ̂ \tau \alpha ~ \varepsilon i ̂ ̃ \varepsilon ~ v o \mu i ́ \zeta \omega v ~ \tau o v ̀ \varsigma ~ A \theta \eta v \alpha i ́ o v s ~ \tau ̣ ̂ ̣ ~$


So much Nicias said, thinking that he would deter the Athenians by the multitude of his requirements, or, if he should be forced to make the expedition, he would in this way set out most safelly.
I propose to translate instead: "in this way, he would be most likely to set out safely." ${ }^{31}$

## Conclusion

More than has been practiced in translations so far, I believe
${ }^{30}$ The superlative $\alpha \sigma \varphi \alpha \lambda \varepsilon ́ \sigma \tau \alpha \tau \alpha$ is found in 1.107.4 and 6.18.7.
${ }^{31}$ Further examples with both $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau \alpha$ and oü $\tau \omega \varsigma$ ('do such and such, for in this way it would be most likely that...') in 6.38.5 $\mu \dot{\alpha} \lambda_{1 \sigma \tau \alpha} \gamma \alpha{ }_{\alpha} \rho$ оок $\widehat{\alpha}$ оैv



$\mu \alpha \lambda_{1 \sigma \tau \alpha}$ and the other adverbs discussed here should be interpreted as expressions of likelihood. In this paper I have not exhausted the possible examples from Thucydides and barely touched other authors. In conclusion, I should therefore perhaps state explicitly that I do not consider this use of degree adverbs to specify likelihood a feature of Thucydides' style or a marker of elevated prose style more generally. In Xenophon's manual on horsemanship we find an example as part of his advice on selecting a good horse, where the point is not finding the best possible horse ( $E q$. 1.17):



He who applies these tests to a colt's shape is sure, in my opinion, to get a beast with good feet, strong, muscular, of the right look and the right size. (transl. Marchant, Loeb, 1925)
Similarly, in Aristophanes' Lysistrata Calonice asks whether abstinence will increase the likelihood of peace (146-148):



Well, what if we did abstain from, uh, what you say, which heaven forbid: would peace be likelier to come on that account? (transl. Henderson, Loeb, 2000)
Neither is it the case that I am proposing that every instance of $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda 1 \sigma \tau$ ’ $\not \partial v$ be interpreted the same way. In Thuc. 5.9.5, a superlative is needed with $\dot{\alpha} \pi \alpha \tau \eta \dot{\eta} \alpha \varsigma$ to balance $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda i \sigma \tau \eta \nu$ and $\mu \varepsilon ́ \gamma 1 \sigma \tau \alpha$ :

 And these stratagems, which do the greatest service to our friends by most completely deceiving our enemies, have the most brilliant name in war. (Crawley)
In quite a few cases, the interpretation is not certain. Is one most likely to terrify the enemy, or could one terrify them most
 a familiar passage to illustrate the problem. I believe Thucydi-
des to say in 2.48.3 that someone with prior knowledge would be the most likely to be able to recognize a return of the plague, but translators and commentators offer a variety of translations: ${ }^{32}$

 $\dot{\alpha} \gamma v o \varepsilon i ̂ v, \tau \alpha \hat{\tau} \tau \alpha \delta \eta \lambda \omega \sigma \omega \ldots$
I shall reveal the things [symptoms] which, if someone should investigate [the disease] on their basis and gain some prior knowledge [of it], he would be most able to recognise it, if it should ever occur again... ${ }^{33}$
... best able (Smith)
... explain the symptoms by which perhaps it may be recognized (Crawley)
... knowledge of which would enable it to be recognized (Warner)
This paper will have fulfilled its purpose if it makes readers more likely to consider this further option when encountering degree adverbs. ${ }^{34}$
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${ }^{32}$ Classen-Steup (II 81) do not translate, but it appears that they connect $\mu \alpha ́ \lambda ı \sigma \tau \alpha$ with $\pi \rho o \varepsilon \imath \delta \dot{\omega} \varsigma:$ "es ist das [ $\mu \grave{\eta} \dot{\alpha} \gamma v o \varepsilon i v$ ] aber möglich durch das $\pi \rho o-$ $\varepsilon \iota \delta \omega ́ s ~ \tau ı$, wenn man sie soviel wie möglich kennen gelernt hat."
${ }^{33}$ Transl. J. Rusten, Thucydides: The Peloponnesian War Book II (Cambridge 1989) 182.
${ }^{34}$ I first became aware of this phenomenon while reading Thucydides Book 5 with my students Branden Kosch and Jonah Radding. I thank them, as well as Elizabeth Asmis, Harm Pinkster, David Sansone, Ruth Scodel, and Peter White for their encouragement and helpful comments. A version of this paper was presented at the APA in Chicago in January 2014.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ B. L. Gildersleeve, Syntax of Classical Greek (New York 1900) §442.
     the same river twice' is perhaps the most generally known example. Here too it is obvious that Heraclitus would not have wanted us to understand, 'you might possibly not step into the same river twice'. Gildersleeve (§442) and A. Rijksbaron, The Syntax and Semantics of the Verb in Classical Greek ${ }^{3}$ (Chicago 2002) 41, 48 ("emphatic negation"), associate this construction primarily with the aorist, but compare A. Lanski, "Emphatic Negation and the Potential Optative," Mnemosyne 66 (2013) 777-783.
    ${ }^{3}$ Unless otherwise indicated, examples are from the OCT Thucydides. Translations are taken from the Loeb (C. F. Smith, 1919).

[^1]:    ${ }^{6}$ This degree of probability is, however, not clearly specified further by $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha$, as noted in LSJ s.v.: "to express any contingency from a probability to bare possibility." For an example of the former one might propose Thuc. 1.77.6 (if you Spartans should come to power, you would $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha$ become unpopular as well); for an example of the latter, Nicias's thought in 6.19.2 that he might $\tau \alpha ́ \chi \alpha$ change people's minds by giving a high estimate of troop levels needed for the expedition.
    ${ }^{7}$ On disjuncts, compare R. S. Quirk, S. Greenbaum, G. Leech, and J. Svartvik, A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language (London 1985) 52, 612-613.
    ${ }^{8}$ E. Schwyzer and A. Debrunner, Griechische Grammatik II (Munich 1959) 324.

[^2]:    ${ }^{9}$ As lower-level adjuncts, the most common use, these adverbs specify the degree to which a predicate holds true, e.g., 'least trustworthy', 'the newspaper people trust most'. Two examples from Thucydides are 1.3.1 $\delta \eta \lambda$ oî $\delta \varepsilon ́$
    
     "most powerful").
    ${ }^{10}$ Translations are taken from Wyatt's revised edition of Murray's Loeb translation (1999).

[^3]:    ${ }^{13}$ This statement assumes a general clause pattern in classical Greek as proposed in H. Dik, Word Order in Ancient Greek: A Pragmatic Account of Word Order Variation in Herodotus (Amsterdam 1995), and Word Order in Greek Tragic Dialogue (Oxford 2007). Refinements and modifications have since been proposed, among others by D. Matić, "Topic, Focus, and Discourse Structure: Ancient Greek Word Order," Studies in Language 27 (2003) 573-633.

[^4]:    ${ }^{14}$ Since the presence of ${ }^{\prime}$ 人 $v$ is indeed only a heuristic, one would expect this use of the adverbs to extend beyond this particular environment. Indeed, I would argue that despite the absence of explicit modal expression Hdt.
     best translated as "he thought he would be most likely to win over the Athenians in this way."

[^5]:    ${ }^{17}$ Giving an 'exact' count is not as straightforward as it may seem. There is in fact only one case of ' $\sigma \omega \varsigma$ ö́v, in Thuc. 2.20.2. The other three counted also feature $\tau \dot{\alpha} \chi \alpha$, as in $\tau \alpha \dot{\alpha} \chi$ ’ $\ddot{\alpha} \nu$ '̂$\sigma \omega \varsigma$. Then, beyond the four passages counted, two more that feature ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \varsigma$ and $\not \approx \nu$ in the same sentence have not been counted because they are not immediately adjacent: 1.82.3 '̂ $\sigma \omega \varsigma .$.
     stances of ${ }^{\prime} \sigma \omega \varsigma$ are found within the same clause as $\ddot{\alpha} v$, while one could argue that $\bar{\imath} \sigma \omega \varsigma \nless \alpha ้ v$ functions as a unit only once.

[^6]:    ${ }^{18}$ A similar argument can be made for 3.37.4, óp $\theta_{0} v \hat{v} \tau \alpha \iota \tau \grave{\alpha} \pi \lambda \varepsilon \varepsilon^{\prime} \omega$, again in a generalizing context, with frequency and probability two sides of the same coin. See below.

